

## Introduction

### Name:

Barbara Menzies

### Email:

Privacy of natural persons

### Business name or organisation (if applicable):

Privacy of natural persons

### Position title (if applicable):

#### Is this an individual submission or on behalf of a group or organisation?

Individual

#### Please indicate which group you most identify with or are involved in?

General public

#### Please specify the group that you most identify with

#### Please indicate which type of group your submission represents.

#### Please specify the group or organisation that your submission is on behalf of.

#### Vision

#### Do you agree or disagree with the overall vision for the minerals and petroleum sector in New Zealand?

Strongly disagree

#### Why?

Because there is no such thing as an environmentally and socially responsible minerals and petroleum sector. The only environmentally and socially responsible thing for these people to do is to cease operating.

#### What is your vision for the minerals and petroleum sector in New Zealand?

To cease operating and withdraw as fast as possible.

#### How can New Zealand sustainably derive value from its petroleum and minerals resources?

by closing them down and switching to solar, wind and wave-sourced energy.

#### Objectives for the minerals and petroleum sector

#### Objective for a sector that: “Responsibly delivers value for New Zealand (a) Supporting a productive, sustainable and inclusive economy (b) Supporting New Zealand's transition to a carbon neutral economy”.

Strongly disagree

#### Why?

See above

#### Objective for a sector that: “Is productive and innovative”.

Strongly disagree

#### Why?

Both descriptions are bullshit and play on the public's understandable fear of unemployment.

**Objective for a sector that: “Is effectively regulated”.**

Strongly disagree

**Why?**

The only effective regulation for these parasites is to regulate them out of existence.

**Are there any other objectives for the minerals and petroleum sector that you would like us to consider in the strategy?**

**Guiding principles**

**Principle: The environment, ecosystems, and biodiversity are respected now and in the long term.**

Strongly agree

**Why?**

Duh

**Principle: Māori cultural interests are understood and respected.**

Strongly agree

**Why?**

They should also drive any action, ssince Tauwi have comprehensively demonstrated that we are incapable of caring for our environment.

**Principle: Support the transition to a carbon neutral economy by 2050.**

Disagree

**Why?**

Needs to be much quicker than 2050

**Principle: The impact on people, communities and regions are managed in a just and inclusive way.**

Neither agree nor disagree

**Why?**

Look at the ETS for a cock-eyed version of this, giving major polluters a free ride then and now.

**Principle: Support a circular economy by meeting resource needs through resource efficiency, recycling and reuse.**

Agree

**Why?**

**Principle: Actions taken within the mineral and petroleum sector should align with the strategic direction of other related sectors and Government strategies.**

Strongly agree

**Why?**

As long as the other strategic directions are Te Tiriti o Waitangi driven.

**Do you agree or disagree with each of the following principles for the Crown?**

**Principle: The Crown honours its duty towards Māori as a Treaty partner, adheres to the Principles of the Treaty of Waitangi and its duty to meet settlement commitments.**

Strongly disagree

**Why?**

The so-called principles of the Treaty, decided by a pakeha court, have no relevance to Te Tiriti o Waitangi, the document that was debated and signed by Maori, and which did NOT cede sovereignty to the British Crown.

**Principle: The Crown receives a fair financial return for its minerals and petroleum.**

Strongly disagree

**Why?**

See above. The resources do not belong to the Crown and never have. The Crown has for almost 2 centuries demonstrated its total incompetence in all areas.

**Principle: The Crown regulates in a way that is fair, transparent, reasonable and proportionate.**

Neither agree nor disagree

**Why?**

zNot holding my breath - track record of Crown so far is the opposite of the above.

**Principle: The Crown honours the rights of current permit holders to continue production or exploration activities under existing permits.**

Strongly disagree

**Why?**

Because the permits should never have been issued in the first place and their results are totally destructive - a public nuisance for which the issuing powers and the companies need to be held accountable.

**Principle: The Crown makes policy decisions based on the best evidence, and accounting for the foreseeable need for minerals and petroleum, both now and for future generations.**

Strongly agree

**Why?**

Not likely to happen - see past and current records

**Principle: The Crown proactively engages and consults with relevant stakeholders and decisions are communicated in a clear and transparent way.**

Strongly agree

**Why?**

Again unlikely to happen, as the Crown has so far shown no capacity/willingness to do so.

**Do you agree or disagree with each of the following principles for Industry?**

**Principle: Pursue continuous improvements in health and safety.**

Strongly agree

**Why?**

Need to commence rather than continue

**Principle: Strive to implement industry best practice in operations.**

Strongly disagree

**Why?**

Industry best practice in operations is an oxymoron - money is all these parasites are interested in.

**Principle: Seek innovative ways to improve the resource efficiency of extraction operations; and minimise the negative impacts of these operations.**

Strongly disagree

**Why?**

Again 'extractive operations' are by their nature destructive, parasitical scavenger behaviours that bring no genuine benefit to the nation or its peoples.

**Principle: Engage with stakeholders and implement management systems to understand and manage impacts, and realise opportunities for redress where needed.**

Strongly disagree

**Why?**

Words, words, words. So far, actions have spoken far more loudly.

**Are there any other principles you would like us to consider in the strategy?**

**Action areas intro**

**Action Area: Modernising the Crown Minerals Act**

Strongly disagree

**Why?**

Modernising won't cut it. The Crown needs to acknowledge its culpability in controlling resources it has no rights over and quit.

**What future actions would you like us to consider under this Action Area?**

**Action Area: Securing affordable resources to meet our minerals and energy needs**

**Why?**

Whatever that means

**What future actions would you like us to consider under this Action Area?**

**Action Area: Improving Treaty partnership**

Strongly disagree

**Why?**

There IS no treaty partnership. What is needed are structures and relationships to give effect to Te Tiriti o Waitangi.

**What future actions would you like us to consider under this Action Area?**

**Action Area: Improving stakeholder and community engagement**

Neither agree nor disagree

**Why?**

Words words words again. Who defines stakeholders and community?

**What future actions would you like us to consider under this Action Area?**

**Action Area: Improving industry compliance**

Neither agree nor disagree

**Why?**

Improving doesn't cut it. Industry (so called) has had a free hand to loot, exploit and destroy for generations. This needs to STOP RIGHT NOW.

**What future actions would you like us to consider under this Action Area?**

**Action Area: Research and investment in better mining and resource use**

Strongly disagree

**Why?**

There is no such thing as 'better' mining and resource use in a country where short-term financial profit will always outweigh genuine wellbeing...of the land, the planet and the peoples of our common home

**What future actions would you like us to consider under this Action Area?**

**Are there any other action areas you would like us to consider as part of advancing this Strategy?**

**Other**

**Are there any other comments you would like to make about the "Minerals and Petroleum Strategy for Aotearoa New Zealand: 2019-2029"?**

I think I have made my views crystal clear

**If you wish to, attach a document to this submission.**

**Use and release of information**

**We intend to upload submissions to our website at [www.mbie.govt.nz](http://www.mbie.govt.nz). Can we include your submission on the website?**

Yes

**Can we include your name?**

Yes

**Can we include your email address?**

No

**Can we include your business name or organisation?**

**Can we include your position title?**

**Can we include the group you most identify with (if submitting as an individual)?**

Yes

**Can we include the group your submission represents (if submitting on behalf of a group or organisation)?**

**If there are any other parts to your submission that you do not want public on the website please note them below:**

**OIA publishing warning**

**If there is information in your submission that you wish to remain confidential, please note them below:**

PROACTIVELY RELEASED