

Introduction

Name:

Yoshimi Brett

Email:

Privacy of natural persons

Business name or organisation (if applicable):

Position title (if applicable):

Is this an individual submission or on behalf of a group or organisation?

Individual

Please indicate which group you most identify with or are involved in?

Environmental

Please specify the group that you most identify with

Please indicate which type of group your submission represents

Please specify the group or organisation that your submission is on behalf of.

Vision

Do you agree or disagree with the overall vision for the minerals and petroleum sector in New Zealand?

Strongly disagree

Why?

Petroleum extraction is not, in any way, environmentally & socially responsible. Responsibility is about stopping any form of extraction and being creative enough to find life, humanity and health in renewables.

What is your vision for the minerals and petroleum sector in New Zealand?

To use our capabilities of creativity, collaboration, and innovation to create a safe, healthy future for generations to come.

How can New Zealand sustainably derive value from its petroleum and minerals resources?

Stop extraction and put the time, energy and \$\$ into wise use of what we have alongside creating strategies and actions that ensure sustainability. This will require honest, generous collaboration where people, organisations, institutions will need to let go of out-dated, destructive mindsets around consumption; profit and 'growth'. It WILL require sacrifice and humility. The longer we wait, the greater the sacrifices.

Objectives for the minerals and petroleum sector

Objective for a sector that: "Responsibly delivers value for New Zealand (a) Supporting a productive, sustainable and inclusive economy (b) Supporting New Zealand's transition to a carbon neutral economy".

Neither agree nor disagree

Why?

Require the sector's definition of 'responsibly'. It's hard to trust after years of inaction despite the evidence.

Objective for a sector that: “Is productive and innovative”.

Neither agree nor disagree

Why?

Based on the sector's past definitions of 'production/profit/progress', a new glossary of definition must be created. Innovative solutions to supply energy for weapons, for instance, does not make it right.

Objective for a sector that: “Is effectively regulated”.

Neither agree nor disagree

Why?

By whom? Effective for who?

Are there any other objectives for the minerals and petroleum sector that you would like us to consider in the strategy?

The carbon drawdown strategy with immediate, medium and long term actions.

Guiding principles

Principle: The environment, ecosystems, and biodiversity are respected now and in the long term.

Strongly agree

Why?

Respect = nourishing, enabling natural ecosystems to regenerate.

Principle: Māori cultural interests are understood and respected.

Strongly agree

Why?

Maori worldview, I think, sees all as one with Nature. Western world view, especially the petroleum sector, sees Nature as something to be used/used up.

Principle: Support the transition to a carbon neutral economy by 2050.

Neither agree nor disagree

Why?

30 years? The transition needs to be completed far earlier.

Principle: The impact on people, communities and regions are managed in a just and inclusive way.

Strongly agree

Why?

Principle: Support a circular economy by meeting resource needs through resource efficiency, recycling and reuse.

Strongly agree

Why?

It's the best solution we have to date. "Support" should be "Demand - Incentivise"

Principle: Actions taken within the mineral and petroleum sector should align with the strategic direction of other related sectors and Government strategies.

Strongly disagree

Why?

Not if 'related sectors' have similar intentions of sucking the life out of our planet.

Do you agree or disagree with each of the following principles for the Crown?

Principle: The Crown honours its duty towards Māori as a Treaty partner, adheres to the Principles of the Treaty of Waitangi and its duty to meet settlement commitments.

Strongly agree

Why?

Principle: The Crown receives a fair financial return for its minerals and petroleum.

Neither agree nor disagree

Why?

Not clear what financial return there'd be if the fairness means in use for the sustainability of our country.

Principle: The Crown regulates in a way that is fair, transparent, reasonable and proportionate.

Neither agree nor disagree

Why?

The petroleum sector will need to accept what they will probably consider 'unfair and disproportionate' in the name sustainability. Sorry, but the transition is so long overdue, the industry will feel pain and loss, as will most of NZ in one way or another. (except those who were alert to the evidence years ago and are living sustainably now.)

Principle: The Crown honours the rights of current permit holders to continue production or exploration activities under existing permits.

Strongly disagree

Why?

We've got 11 years left. Unfortunately, for the survival of life...existing permits must be null and void.

Principle: The Crown makes policy decisions based on the best evidence, and accounting for the foreseeable need for minerals and petroleum, both now and for future generations.

Neither agree nor disagree

Why?

To agree, I would need to totally trust the Crown to be creative enough re-define our 'needs.' At this point, it's hard to trust anyone.

Principle: The Crown proactively engages and consults with relevant stakeholders and decisions are communicated in a clear and transparent way.

Strongly agree

Why?

Do you agree or disagree with each of the following principles for Industry?

Principle: Pursue continuous improvements in health and safety.

Strongly agree

Why?

Principle: Strive to implement industry best practice in operations.

Neither agree nor disagree

Why?

Best practice in how to ensure extinction of species?

Principle: Seek innovative ways to improve the resource efficiency of extraction operations; and minimise the negative impacts of these operations.

Strongly disagree

Why?

STOP EXTRACTION!

Principle: Engage with stakeholders and implement management systems to understand and manage impacts, and realise opportunities for redress where needed.

Neither agree nor disagree

Why?

Impacts should be assessed BEFORE...which would then, rationally, halt all extraction.

Are there any other principles you would like us to consider in the strategy?

Action areas intro

Action Area: Modernising the Crown Minerals Act

Strongly agree

Why?

Focus needs to be on stopping all activities that emit carbon and on reducing current carbon levels.

What future actions would you like us to consider under this Action Area?

Action Area: Securing affordable resources to meet our minerals and energy needs

Neither agree nor disagree

Why?

'Affordability' cannot be the focus. We now have the technology to meet needs...we must be able to 'afford' educating our people to understand the mind-set and behavioural changes needed.

What future actions would you like us to consider under this Action Area?

An education strategy and action to help the masses understand the climate crisis we're in...and engage them to join together to create a healthier Aotearoa.

Action Area: Improving Treaty partnership

Strongly agree

Why?

The partnership will serve as a model of which can be achieved if parties work inter-dependently.

What future actions would you like us to consider under this Action Area?

Enable partnerships to be visible...this is not only between the Crown and Iwi...but between Iwi and Iwi.

Action Area: Improving stakeholder and community engagement

Strongly agree

Why?

So many people are unaware. An even greater number, perhaps, are aware but unable to reflect that awareness in their behaviours and actions (cognitive dissonance)

What future actions would you like us to consider under this Action Area?

Be more explicit about the impacts of NOT taking climate action.

Action Area: Improving industry compliance

Strongly agree

Why?

What future actions would you like us to consider under this Action Area?

Incentivise those working FOR a sustainable world. Dis-incentivise/punish in the pockets/ shame / ask them if the world they are creating is the one they want for their children...and the legacy they.

Action Area: Research and investment in better mining and resource use

Strongly disagree

Why?

What future actions would you like us to consider under this Action Area?

How about no mining.

Are there any other action areas you would like us to consider as part of advancing this Strategy?

Other

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the “Minerals and Petroleum Strategy for Aotearoa New Zealand: 2019-2029”?

If you wish to, attach a document to this submission.

Use and release of information

We intend to upload submissions to our website at www.mbie.govt.nz. Can we include your submission on the website?

Yes

Can we include your name?

Yes

Can we include your email address?

No

Can we include your business name or organisation?

No

Can we include your position title?

No

Can we include the group you most identify with (if submitting as an individual)?

Yes

Can we include the group your submission represents (if submitting on behalf of a group or organisation)?

If there are any other parts to your submission that you do not want public on the website please note them below:

OIA publishing warning

If there is information in your submission that you wish to remain confidential, please note them below:

PROACTIVELY RELEASED