

Privacy of natural persons

Page 2: We will keep your information safe

Q1

Yes

Have you read and understood the Privacy Statement?

Page 3: About you

Q2

What is your name?

Jeremy Baker

Q3

What is your email address? We may need to contact you for clarification on your submission, or regarding Official Information Act requests. Your email address will not be used for any other purpose.

Privacy of natural persons

Q4

Organisation

Are you submitting as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Q5

If on behalf of an organisation, what is its name?

Cosy Homes Charitable Trust

Q6

Registered charity

If on behalf of an organisation, which of these best describes it?

Page 4: Proposed Definition for energy wellbeing

Q7

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the proposed definition for energy wellbeing is right for Aotearoa?

Q8

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have comments on why have you chosen this answer?

Q9

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the inclusions in the proposed definition?

Q10

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have any comments on what is included in the definition?

Q11

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree with what is excluded by the definition?

Q12

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have any comments on what is excluded by the definition?

Q13

Do you have any further comments on the proposed definition of energy wellbeing? - Is it clear and easy to understand?- Do you think there is anything missing?- Is it relevant to you and your community?

It is not clear from the term "energy wellbeing" that transport is excluded, and it is not necessarily intuitive as transport is obviously an essential service that requires energy.

Thus it may be helpful to add another qualifier eg "household energy wellbeing."

Page 5: Proposed framework for energy wellbeing

Q14

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the framework represents the factors that influence energy wellbeing in Aotearoa?

Q15

Do you have comments on why have you chosen this answer?

While the influence of regulation and policy is acknowledged in section 4.2.2 of the consultation document, regulation and policy should be explicitly included in the framework as it represents one of the most significant influences on energy wellbeing.

Q16

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have any other comments on the proposed framework? You may want to consider:- The layout of the framework, and if it is easy to understand - If anything is missing, or should be added- Which factors you think are most significant in your community

Page 6: Proposed indicators for energy wellbeing

Q17

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed indicators for energy wellbeing?

Q18

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have comments on why have you chosen this answer? You may want to consider: - Are the indicators comprehensive?- Are there any other indicators of energy wellbeing that should be considered?

Page 7: Measuring energy hardship

Q19

Yes

We are proposing to use a set of primary and secondary measures for energy hardship. Do you support this proposal?

Q20

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have comments on why you have chosen this answer?

Q21

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed primary measures?

Q22

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the potential secondary measures?

Q23

Do you have any comments on the proposed primary and secondary measures? You may want to consider:- How many primary and secondary measures you think we should consider- Which measures you think should be primary or secondary (and why)

Regarding the proposed primary measures:

1. P1 and P2, which rely on proportion of AHC actually spent on energy, should be revised as soon as possible based on required energy, due to HES shortcomings (sample size/regional coverage, burden on participants to track/report data) and the ongoing shift in consumer energy consumption patterns (eg,EV charging, household-level generation/storage).

2. In P4, the use of "major" is too subjective. On the spectrum of issues faced by those experiencing energy hardship, damp/mould may not rise to the level of "major", which could lead to underreporting.

(This could partly explain the low degree of overlap between objective and subjective measures discussed in Appendix D.)

Suggest substituting the Census measure regarding mould - How often is there visible mould larger than an A4 sheet of paper? - plus a question regarding musty smells/visible moisture problems.

3. Similarly, P3 could be improved by substituting "a lot" with a defined frequency. Eg, "Put up with feeling cold to keep costs down more than X days per month during winter."

Q24

Do you have any comments on measuring the depth of hardship? You may want to consider: - If we should use these measurements in Aotearoa, in addition to the primary and secondary measures- Combining measures (i.e. a DEP-17 style approach) - Measuring the energy hardship gap

Measuring depth is important. While a energy hardship gap approach has merit, a combined indicator (DEP-17 style) approach would likely also contribute to understanding energy hardship while being much easier to implement in the near term.

Page 8: Data gaps and proposed way forward

Q25

Rank the following proposals in order of most important (1) to least important (4).

Further analyse any currently available data	4
Work to fill existing data gaps/limitations	2
Model required energy use for households in Aotearoa	1
Research energy hardship-related indicators	3

Q26

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have any suggestions for alternatives or changes to the proposed way forward? You may want to consider:- Are there gaps in the measurement we haven't identified?- Are there data sets or measures you know of that should be included?- Do you have any other suggestions for future analysis?

Page 9: Final thoughts

Q27

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have anything else you would like to mention?

Q28

Yes

Can we publish your submission on the MBIE website? If your submission contains personally identifiable information that should not be made public, please make clear what can and cannot be made public. For example, information about other people that you are sharing without their consent or information about children. Your name, and that of your organisation will be visible. Email addresses will not be visible.
