

Privacy of natural persons

Page 2: We will keep your information safe

Q1 **Yes**

Have you read and understood the Privacy Statement?

Page 3: About you

Q2

What is your name?

Michael Delceg

Q3

What is your email address? We may need to contact you for clarification on your submission, or regarding Official Information Act requests. Your email address will not be used for any other purpose.

Privacy of natural persons

Q4 **Organisation**

Are you submitting as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Q5

If on behalf of an organisation, what is its name?

Grey Power

Q6 **Non-governmental organisation**

If on behalf of an organisation, which of these best describes it?

Page 4: Proposed Definition for energy wellbeing

Q7

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the proposed definition for energy wellbeing is right for Aotearoa?

Q8

Do you have comments on why have you chosen this answer?

It does not account for other expenses that may compete with energy costs.

Q9

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the inclusions in the proposed definition?

Q10

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have any comments on what is included in the definition?

Q11

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree with what is excluded by the definition?

Q12

Do you have any comments on what is excluded by the definition?

Electric car use by homeowners or occupiers will be an important part of their energy budget.

Q13

Do you have any further comments on the proposed definition of energy wellbeing? - Is it clear and easy to understand?- Do you think there is anything missing?- Is it relevant to you and your community?

This lacks political context and is thus largely a semantic exercise which will further delay implementation of real improvements.

Page 5: Proposed framework for energy wellbeing

Q14

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the framework represents the factors that influence energy wellbeing in Aotearoa?

Q15

Do you have comments on why have you chosen this answer?

Where is household energy production in this mix?

Q16

Do you have any other comments on the proposed framework? You may want to consider:- The layout of the framework, and if it is easy to understand - If anything is missing, or should be added- Which factors you think are most significant in your community

Are households part of an energy production/storage collective? This model needs some futureproofing.

Page 6: Proposed indicators for energy wellbeing

Q17

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed indicators for energy wellbeing?

Q18

Do you have comments on why have you chosen this answer? You may want to consider: - Are the indicators comprehensive?- Are there any other indicators of energy wellbeing that should be considered?

This ignores behavioural issues. Is it always possible to adjust lifestyle to meet affordability? That seems to be assumed in the definitions.

Page 7: Measuring energy hardship

Q19

Yes

We are proposing to use a set of primary and secondary measures for energy hardship. Do you support this proposal?

Q20

Do you have comments on why you have chosen this answer?

Any measures that are quantifiable may help analysis.

Q21

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed primary measures?

Q22

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the potential secondary measures?

Q23

Do you have any comments on the proposed primary and secondary measures? You may want to consider:- How many primary and secondary measures you think we should consider- Which measures you think should be primary or secondary (and why)

Statistical procedures including data gathering and manipulation must be seen to be robust and appropriate.

Q24

Do you have any comments on measuring the depth of hardship? You may want to consider: - If we should use these measurements in Aotearoa, in addition to the primary and secondary measures- Combining measures (i.e. a DEP-17 style approach) - Measuring the energy hardship gap

This looks like a semantic exercise to determine cut off points for the amount of assistance to be offered. Any hardship is unacceptable and should be corrected.

Page 8: Data gaps and proposed way forward

Q25

Rank the following proposals in order of most important (1) to least important (4).

Further analyse any currently available data	2
Work to fill existing data gaps/limitations	3
Model required energy use for households in Aotearoa	1
Research energy hardship-related indicators	4

Q26

Do you have any suggestions for alternatives or changes to the proposed way forward? You may want to consider:- Are there gaps in the measurement we haven't identified?- Are there data sets or measures you know of that should be included?- Do you have any other suggestions for future analysis?

What has been done to reduce energy hardship already and how cost effective have these measures been?

Page 9: Final thoughts

Q27

Do you have anything else you would like to mention?

We've seen Utilitarian arguments used to justify measures that will increase energy hardship amongst those on fixed incomes. This cannot be used again without proper consideration. Any expenses incurred to help reduce energy hardship should not be passed on to consumers but be taken out of future profits in the energy industries.

Q28

Yes

Can we publish your submission on the MBIE website? If your submission contains personally identifiable information that should not be made public, please make clear what can and cannot be made public. For example, information about other people that you are sharing without their consent or information about children. Your name, and that of your organisation will be visible. Email addresses will not be visible.
