

#11

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Saturday, October 29, 2022 2:59:45 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, October 30, 2022 3:40:40 PM  
**Time Spent:** Over a day  
**IP Address:** 122.57.92.244

---

Page 3: Submitter Information

**Q1**

Personal Information

Name	Linda Hill
Email Address	<a href="mailto:linda.hill@xtra.co.nz">linda.hill@xtra.co.nz</a>

---

**Q2**

No

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

---

**Q3**

Yes

Would you like to be kept informed of the outcome of the Space Policy Review?

---

**Q4**

Yes

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

---

**Q5**

Respondent skipped this question

Privacy

---

**Q6**

Release of Information

I understand that if there is other information in this submission that I do not wish to be released, I will email this to [spacepolicyreview@mbie.govt.nz](mailto:spacepolicyreview@mbie.govt.nz) with the subject line "Release of information", together with my reasons for withholding the information and grounds under the Official Information Act 1982, for consideration by MBIE

---

Page 4: New Zealand's interests in space

**Q7**

What are your interests and relationship to space? Pick as many as apply below:

Other (please specify):

concern about militarisation of space and NZ's independent foreign policy

---

**Q8**

Please note any other interests and relationship to space below that you would like to share.

---

**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 5: New Zealand's values in space

**Q9**

To what extent do you agree or disagree that these values should apply to New Zealand's space activities and engagements?

Innovation

**Neither agree nor disagree**

Responsibility

**Strongly agree**

Stewardship

**Strongly agree**

Partnership

**Don't know**

---

**Q10**

Are there any other values, or aspects of kaitiakitanga (guardianship), that you think should apply to New Zealand's space activities and engagements? For example, cultural values regarding space.

Partnership with who? US? no. Te Tiriti partnership, yes. NZ should recognise that that's people's ancestors up there.

---

Page 6: New Zealand's space policy objectives

**Q11**

Rank these key policy objectives in order of importance to you: Click and drag to reorder the objectives from 1 (most important) to 5 (least important)

Modelling sustainable space and Earth environments

**1**

Promoting the responsible uses of space internationally

**2**

Regulating to ensure space activities are safe and secure

**3**

---

Page 7: Growing an innovative and inclusive space sector

**Q12**

To what extent do you agree or disagree that these policy objectives will help the New Zealand government to grow an innovative and inclusive space sector?

Promoting New Zealand's natural advantage for conducting space activities, and research and development expertise across the space value chain **Agree**

---

**Q13**

Do you have any comments on these policy objectives? (e.g. any suggested change to how they are framed? Is there anything missing?)

what on earth is a 'space value chain'? Plain English please.  
Partnering with who, on what criteria? 5 Eyes? No. Not with ANY military or state agencies, or their contracts. Science space projects with overseas or international institutions are fine; military contracts and defence-related projects with ANY other states is not.

---

**Q14**

Are there any other policy objectives that you think would help the New Zealand government to grow an innovative and inclusive space sector?

NZ's independent foreign policy must be a major policy purpose. Anything launched from NZ must be for peaceful and astro-scientific purposes only.

---

**Q15**

Do you have any questions or comments about what these objectives would mean in practice?

they mean that none of my concerns about NZ's independent foreign pwill be addressed. These are the wrong questions.

---

Page 8: Promoting the responsible uses of space internationally

**Q16**

To what extent do you agree or disagree that these policy objectives will help the New Zealand government to promote the responsible uses of space internationally?

Advocating for effective international rules, norms and standards in space	<b>Agree</b>
Partnering with like-minded launch states to adopt peaceful, responsible and sustainable space practices	<b>Agree</b>
Collaborating internationally to increase New Zealand's influence and capabilities in the global space sector	<b>Neither agree nor disagree</b>

---

**Q17**

Do you have any comments on these policy objectives (e.g. any suggested change to how they are framed? Is there anything missing?)

I thought this was what Space Lab was doing in Mahia, and how wrong that turned out to be. 'Global space sector' turned out to mean helping US military with star-wars.

What is missing is NZ's independent foreign policy

---

**Q18**

Are there any other policy objectives that you think would help the New Zealand government to promote the responsible uses of space internationally?

Our legislation must be based on our independent foreign policy. No partnerships or launch contracts - no launch licences or payload permits - that involving military or state actors, including 5 Eyes. For astro-science and peace purposes only

---

**Q19**

Do you have any questions or comments about what these objectives would mean in practice?

It means my concerns about the militarisation of space and NZ doing the dirty work for other countries' military won't be addressed. This survey's questions are extremely closed and are not allowing me to voice my concerns.

---

Page 9: Protecting and advancing our national security and economic interests

**Q20**

To what extent do you agree or disagree that these policy objectives will help the New Zealand government to protect and advance our national security and economic interests?

Use space assets to protect and advance New Zealand's national security and economic interests	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
--	--------------------------

Manage the broad range of security risks in space to protect New Zealand's space industry	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
---	--------------------------

Collaborate with international space and security partners to pursue New Zealand's national security and economic interests	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
---	--------------------------

---

**Q21**

Do you have any comments on these policy objectives (e.g. any suggested change to how they are framed? Is there anything missing?)

Our 'security risks' from space are 1) getting involved in other people's space wars, and 2) local environmental impacts of launches including bits of space debris falling on us.

No we should not collaborate with 5 eyes 'security partners' by launching stuff for them.

---

**Q22**

Are there any other policy objectives that you think would help the New Zealand government to protect and advance our national security and economic interests?

delete 'national security' and 'security partners'. Our objective must be 'independent foreign policy'. Any NZ space activity should be for astro- or climate scientific and peaceful purposes ONLY/

---

**Q23**

Do you have any questions or comments about what these objectives would mean in practice?

In practice they will allow Space Lab to take do whatever US military launches it wants in our country. These objective will be signing us up for star-wars. These objectives and questions need a re-write from an independent peaceful national interest perspective.

---

Page 10: Modelling sustainable space and Earth environments

**Q24**

To what extent do you agree or disagree that these policy objectives will help the New Zealand government to model sustainable space and Earth environments?

Encouraging inclusive, sustainable space collaborations within New Zealand	<b>Agree</b>
Assessing the cumulative impact of space activities on the Earth environment	<b>Agree</b>
Assisting with solving sustainability challenges through space data, including to better monitor or understand the Earth's environment	<b>Agree</b>
Investing in New Zealand's capability to retain, grow, access and use sustainable space technologies	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q25**

Do you have any comments on these policy objectives (e.g. any suggested change to how they are framed? Is there anything missing?)

This is more like it, but it's hard to trust them and 'agree strongly' after reading the previous appalling objectives and questions

---

**Q26**

Are there any other policy objectives that you think would help the New Zealand government to model sustainable space and Earth environments?

Yes, change that previous 'national security' stuff to 'independent foreign policy'. We won't be able to protect our enviorenment etec if we are in contracts for military payload for 5 Eyes.

---

**Q27**

Do you have any questions or comments about what these objectives would mean in practice?

Not without detail that gets down from the abstract and into practical concerns and policy solutions

---

Page 11: Regulating to ensure space activities are safe and secure

**Q28**

To what extent do you agree or disagree that these policy objectives will help the New Zealand government to promote the responsible uses of space internationally?

Facilitating the safe and secure use of emerging space technologies from New Zealand	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Clarifying what New Zealand space activities are inconsistent with the national interest	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Promoting and protecting New Zealand's interests through permitting space technologies	<b>Don't know</b>

---

**Q29**

Do you have any comments on these policy objectives (e.g. any suggested change to how they are framed? Is there anything missing?)

the word permitting in the last one is ambiguous. Do you means through granting or declining permits for space launches or do you just mean 'permitting' as in 'allowing' - any technologies any time or payload by payload?

---

**Q30**

Are there any other policy objectives that you think would help the New Zealand government to ensure space activities are safe and secure?

Policy objectives re 1) Environmental resource planning and Te Tiriti partnerships re launch sites  
2) Environmental impacts of launches  
3) End-of-live or accidental space debris  
Space activities in NZ will only be 'safe and security' if based on NZ's independent foreign policy, not 'security partnerships'

---

**Q31**

Do you have any questions or comments about what these objectives would mean in practice?

these ones won't mean a thing in practice if we buy into the 'security partnerships' and pie-in-the-sky economic fantasies of the previous pages

---

Page 12: Regulating in line with our national interests

**Q32**

Are there any comments you would like to make about these criteria that inform consideration of the national interest?

Not just nuclear - ANY military programmes or 'dual purposes'. Not partnerships with ANY other states or their militaries. Not harming interfering destroy ANY systems eg energy pipelines or infrastructure or communications in or out of space. That out the 'contrary to govt policy' - this policy/legislation needs to SET AND LIMIT govt policy! What if we end up with an Trump. What if we are 'partners' in some space activity and our 'govt policy' gets dragged into a space-wars 21st century Vietnam by the US or UK or Israel or whatever other country we have contracts or treaties with?

---