

#27

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 19, 2022 9:24:02 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 19, 2022 10:17:36 PM
Time Spent: 00:53:34
IP Address: Privacy of natural persons

Page 3: Submitter information

Q1

Name

Craig Fraser

Q2

Email address

craig@civilsolutionz.co.nz

Q3

Yes

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

Q4

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

Yes,

If yes, please tell us the title of your company/organisation, and how many people you are submitting on behalf of.:
New Zealand Camper Trailer manufacture

Q5

The best way/s to describe your role is:

Other (please specify):

We manufacture off road caravans in New Zealand

Q6

Yes

Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)

Q7

Respondent skipped this question

Privacy information

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

Q8

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

Q9

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

Q10

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

Q11

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

Prescriptive approach is OK, but needs to be realistic in regard to fixed black water tanks. A functioning toilet, is a functioning toilet, regards what system it uses.

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q12

Strongly Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple-pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

Q13

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

If a system works, is sanitary, and clearly in use, or available, this should be sufficient. (self containment toilets)

Q14

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

Q15

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

Q16

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

Q17

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

waste of money

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q18

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

Q19

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

shouldn't all people in there jobs be knowledgeable?

Q20

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

Q21

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

So they can do there job properly?!

Q22

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as "fit and proper"?

Q23

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

idiot question

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

Q24

Agree

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

Q25

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

Q26 **Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

Q27 **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

Q28 **Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

Q29 **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

Q30 **Agree**

To what extent do you agree with the option for the self-containment warrant?

Q31 **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

Q32 **Respondent skipped this question**

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

Q33
Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

Maximum number of people, who can use vehicle. 3 people for 1 day, is less than 2 people for 3 days

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

Q34 **Disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

Q35

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

Q36

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

Q37

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

Q38

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

Q39

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

Agree, if truly tiered, a domestics camper, with toilet facilities set up, is far different to a German shitting in the street.

Q40

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

Q41

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

Agree, if truly tiered, a domestics camper, with toilet facilities set up, is far different to a German shitting in the street.

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

Q42

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

Q43

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

We are a New Zealand manufacture of tear drop trailers, we use cassette toilets, and can see no reason, why a sanitary, simple system, can not be used.

Q44

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

Q45

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

Our NZ made campers, use a cassette toilet, which can not be permanently fixed down, as the cassette can not be removed, without lifting the entire unit - but will be used in place.

Q46

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

Q47

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

these vehicles likely already have an adequate toilet, but are not likely to have a black water tank. To disqualify them will punish a lot of elderly owners

Q48

Yes

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

Q49

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

If a caravan, is purpose made, with a functioning toilet, able to be used - while bed is set up, or with the addition of toilet tents etc, this should be OK, it's not a ute, with a mattress and a roll of toilet paper.

Page 13: Chapter Six: Fees and levies

Q50

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

Q51

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

what is the real difference to a vehicle owner of \$90 - 120, none i would suggest

Q52

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

Q53

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

what is the real difference to a vehicle owner of \$90 - 120, none i would suggest

Q54

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

Q55

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

I would be more concerned being charged \$3M to set up, and \$1m a year to run. It's a data entry person or two and a glorified .xl spread sheet after all.

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

Q56

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

Q57

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

five hours for what? Are they going to build it?

Q58

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

Q59

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

Q60

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

Q61

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

Page 16: General comments

Q62

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

Punishing the 99% NZMCA owners with vehicles they certify that do not have a permanently plumber toilet, with an average age of 65, would seem a pretty unpopular move with voters, when by my observation, arn't the cause of the perceived problem...

Page 17: Confidential information

Q63

Respondent skipped this question

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

Q64

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.
