

# #41

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 3:16:24 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 4:01:08 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:44:44  
**IP Address:** Privacy of natural persons

---

Page 3: Submitter information

## Q1

Name

Eion Harwood

---

## Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

---

## Q3

Yes

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

---

## Q4

No

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

---

## Q5

Individual

The best way/s to describe your role is:

---

## Q6

Yes

Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)

---

## Q7

Respondent skipped this question

Privacy information

---

---

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

**Q8**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

---

**Q9**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

Completely unnecessary as there is very little problem with the existing system and process. This is just more rules and laws for the sake of it.

---

**Q10**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

---

**Q11**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

Again this is not necessary.

---

---

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

**Q12**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple-pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

---

**Q13**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

If I spend \$3000 on a plumber certified toilet in my van this won't improve the environment in any way. I'm a responsible van owner who will be significantly penalised by this proposed change.

---

**Q14**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

---

**Q15**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

Please see my previous responses

---

**Q16**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

---

**Q17**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

Again not necessary as there hasn't been proved to be a problem

---

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

**Q18**

**Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

---

**Q19**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

---

**Q20**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

---

**Q21**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

It will make the process very expensive. Another unnecessary expense

---

**Q22**

**Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as "fit and proper"?

---

**Q23**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

---

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

**Q24**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

---

**Q25**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

A plumber costs a minimum of \$100 per hour. I really don't want to pay someone for something that is not required.

---

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

**Q26**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

---

**Q27**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

---

**Q28**

**Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

---

**Q29**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

---

---

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

**Q30**

**Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with the option for the self-containment warrant?

---

**Q31**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

Keep it simple.

---

**Q32**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

---

**Q33**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

---

---

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

**Q34**

**Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

---

**Q35**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

---

**Q36**

**Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

---

**Q37**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

---

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

**Q38**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

---

**Q39**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

Draconian fine for only parking up for the night.

---

**Q40**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

---

**Q41**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

Again crazy fines for someone sleeping in their vehicle.

---

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

**Q42**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

---

**Q43**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

The cost is extremely high and there are impracticable physical constraints to fit a plumbed toilet in my (modern) van.

---

**Q44**

**Strongly agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

---

**Q45**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

I own a Renault master l1h1 van and fitting a plumbed toilet into this is ver expensive and would inhibit how I can use the van. Sometimes I use the van for transporting materials for work so having a fixed toilet in the van would cause major problems when using the van for work.

---

**Q46**

**Strongly agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

---

**Q47**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

---

**Q48**

**Yes**

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

---

**Q49**

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

Vehicles that are also used for dual purposes such as for work.

---

---

Page 13: Chapter Six: Fees and levies

**Q50**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

---

**Q51**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

The costs for an unnecessary proposed change show this whole exercise should not be pursued.

---

**Q52**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

---

**Q53**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

Don't continue with this bill and there will be no need to charge people for an unnecessary forced change

---

**Q54**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

---

**Q55**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

\$120 will be just the start. Complete madness this bill has got this far

---

---

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

**Q56**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

---

**Q57**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

Absolutely crazy fee. The government should be ashamed of themselves for letting this non-issue use so much of our bureaucrats time in Wellington. Surely we have far bigger issues to deal with to help improve our environment and people's lives

---

**Q58**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

---

**Q59**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

Please see previous response

---

---

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

**Q60**

**Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

---

**Q61**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

---

Page 16: General comments

**Q62**

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

It's a very sad proposal for many different reasons, but as someone who genuinely cares and puts on heaps of effort into looking after our environment this proposal will do nothing to address the real problems about our waterway pollution. As a responsible person this will cost me a unjustified amount and reduce my freedoms to see my country.

---

Page 17: Confidential information

**Q63**

Respondent skipped this question

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

---

**Q64**

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.

---