

#40

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 26, 2022 12:14:44 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 26, 2022 12:56:57 PM
Time Spent: 00:42:12
IP Address: Privacy of natural persons

Page 3: Submitter information

Q1

Name

Henry Stonex

Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

Q3

Yes

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

Q4

No

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

Q5

Individual

The best way/s to describe your role is:

Q6

Yes

Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)

Q7

Respondent skipped this question

Privacy information

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

Q8

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

Q9

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

I want to see fixed toilets sorted by clear definitions and human waste able to be taken away in roll away cassettes to a normal black waste dump site with a suitable tap to clean out the cassette for future usage on any trip away.

Q10

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

Q11

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q12

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple-pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

Q13

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

Very happy to demonstrate and show how my fixed toilet will work in practice. Can supply photos of my rig.

Q14

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

Q15

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

I do not want some other agency like plumbers, gas fitters and the like becoming a cash cow for certification.

Q16

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

Q17

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

The current NZMCA inspectors could easily do this to a good standard.

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q18

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

Q19

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

Yep a NZMCA inspector could do this easily.

Q20

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

Q21

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

Cumbersome in a word

Q22

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as "fit and proper"?

Q23

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

No lurkers allowed.

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

Q24

Disagree

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

Q25

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

Not needed as many plumbers are not campervan users.

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

Q26

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

Q27

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

Yep one clear Certificate for all to see fixed to vehicle back window.

Q28

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

Q29

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

surely one clear certificate would suffice

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

Q30

Agree

To what extent do you agree with the option for the self-containment warrant?

Q31

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

Yep a clear stuck on green warrant will be all that is needed.

Q32

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

Nope

Q33

Respondent skipped this question

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

Q34

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

Q35

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

I want a green sticker that has a serial # on it and cannot be removed from the vehicle unless one is destroying said sticker

Q36

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

Q37

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

Yep a quality green sticker

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

Q38

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

Q39

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

\$800 is enough of a slap on the wrist surely.

Q40

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

Q41

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

Q42

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

Q43

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

Yep have fixed toilets with cassette to take away black waste

Q44

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

Q45

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

I do think that many reposable campervan users do manage with Porta Potti toilets in a good way. I have never seen anything that I could call breaches of the current rule in my many trips away in my campervan.

Q46

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

Q47

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

ONce again responsible people manage well in my view of campervans in NZ

Q48

Yes

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

Q49

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

Vans with no toilets at all and that rely on public toilets wherever they can find them.

Page 13: Chapter Six: Fees and levies

Q50

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

Q51

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

Q52

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

Q53

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

Q54

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

Q55

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

I would agree to a one off \$120 for 4 years viable option like the NZMCA do as toilets are not changed once installed.

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

Q56

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

Q57

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

In a word price gouging

Q58

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

Q59

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

It does not take 3 plus hours to inspect a toilet setup. This is money grabbing pure and simple.

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

Q60

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

Q61

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

This is getting more ridiculous and seems hell bent on making an industry out of inspecting a simple toilet setup. Ask me as I have been around and watched what people actually do and are responsible, I find.

Page 16: General comments

Q62

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

Put your trust in "good people" more is my take. We all know how to use a toilet safely and, in my case, I can enhance this discussion **Privacy of natural persons** I have to do extra things to keep the environment pristine. Most times I pick up small items that people drop on the ground, be it a beer bottle top or the like and put it in my own rubbish.

Page 17: Confidential information

Q63

Respondent skipped this question

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

Q64

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.
