

#19

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, September 15, 2022 12:33:43 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, September 15, 2022 12:59:55 PM
Time Spent: 00:26:11
IP Address: Privacy of natural persons

Page 3: Submitter information

Q1

Name

John Withheld at request of submitter

Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

Q3

No

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

Q4

No

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

Q5

Respondent skipped this question

The best way/s to describe your role is:

Q6

Respondent skipped this question

Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)

Q7

Privacy information

MBIE intends to upload submissions or a summary of submissions received to MBIE's website at www.mbie.govt.nz. If you do not want your submission or a summary of your submission to be placed on our website, please tick this box and type an explanation below.:

Ok to publish christian name only

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

Q8 **Disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

Q9

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

Opposed to the whole Bill, there is zero supporting evidence it is needed, or that the solution is fit for purpose.

Q10 **Disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

Q11

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

Opposed to the whole Bill, there is zero supporting evidence it is needed, or that the solution is fit for purpose.

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q12 **Disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple-pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

Q13

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

Will existing authorities with a proven track record of non-compliance with existing NZ Standard, be denied ability to be authorities under the new regime i.e NZMCA and APC? Failure to do so makes a mockery of the whole proposals not that they are creditable anyway. Opposed to the whole Bill, there is zero supporting evidence it is needed, or that the solution is fit for purpose.

Q14 **Disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

Q15

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

Will existing authorities with a proven track record of non-compliance with existing NZ Standard, be denied ability to be authorities under the new regime i.e NZMCA and APC? Failure to do so makes a mockery of the whole proposals not that they are creditable anyway. Opposed to the whole Bill, there is zero supporting evidence it is needed, or that the solution is fit for purpose.

Q16

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

Q17

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

Will existing authorities with a proven track record of non-compliance with existing NZ Standard, be denied ability to be authorities under the new regime i.e NZMCA and APC? Failure to do so makes a mockery of the whole proposals not that they are creditable anyway. Opposed to the whole Bill, there is zero supporting evidence it is needed, or that the solution is fit for purpose.

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q18

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

Q19

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

The current failure rate of inspections and ability for groups like NZMCA to alter requirements at will is a mockery. Regardless i oppose the whole Bill.

Q20

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

Q21

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

Not helpful, many non-compliant inspections have been passed by Plumbers.

Q22

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as "fit and proper"?

Q23

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

That would be like having NZMCA appoint their own testers - many of whom have issued certificates that do not comply with current requirements - its ok they call it 'robust' !!

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

Q24

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

Q25

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

would be better than the existing backyard cowboys (NZMCA & APC) doing it. Though the need has not been established

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

Q26

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

Q27

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

Does it matter? Not like it actually makes a camper responsible is it? The solution is not going to stop defecation in public or roadside littering

Q28

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

Q29

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

Does it matter? Not like it actually makes a camper responsible is it? The solution is not going to stop defecation in public or roadside littering

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

Q30

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with the option for the self-containment warrant?

Q31

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

Regardless of what you do it will get laughed at, after all the current warrant has been passed off as having legal status and ability, for a decade now, while all it has done is serve the agenda of a private RV club.

Q32

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

Does it matter? Not like it actually makes a camper responsible is it? The solution is not going to stop defecation in public or roadside littering

Q33

Respondent skipped this question

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

Q34

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

Q35

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

Pointless as the whole certification process is now.

Q36

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

Q37

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

Pointless as the whole certification process is now.

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

Q38

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

Q39

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

Far too many infringements are issued now that are unlawful - why add to it?

Q40

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

Q41

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

Far too many infringements are issued now that are unlawful - why add to it?

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

Q42

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

Q43

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

everyone should be exempt from the stupid NZMCA agenda. Apart from their members staying at their properties as it was designed for. NZMCA have a lot to answer for in damaging the social license of freedom camping.

Q44

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

Q45

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

Camp toilets are fine after EQ's and every other time, but not for camping which is what they were actually designed for? Bizarre. How does banning certain types of toilet assist a shitty problem? Absurd to think it will

Q46

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

Q47

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

they will not want to modify vehicles to fit a toilet. many camp now using toilet tents as they should. the current standard prevents the use of toilet tents on certified vehicles unless useable inside said vehicle first. Crazy agenda driven policy

Q48

Don't know

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

Q49

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

Exempt all vehicles and lets put the emphasis back on the people where it belongs?

Page 13: Chapter Six: Fees and levies

Q50

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

Q51

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

you seriously think people will pay to comply with a private organizations agenda? Yea right

Q52

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

Q53

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

you seriously think people will pay to comply with a private organizations agenda? Yea right

Q54

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

Q55

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

you seriously think people will pay to comply with a private organizations agenda? Yea right

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

Q56

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

Q57

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

Let plumbers do it under their current license at zero cost and stop clubs and other cowboys from doing it

Q58

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

Q59

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

Let plumbers do it under their current license at zero cost and stop clubs and other cowboys from doing it

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

Q60

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

Q61

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

Page 16: General comments

Q62

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

Put the emphasis back on the people NOT the mode of camping. All this will do is drive camping even more underground and therefore drive more littering and defecation as more people camp out of the limelight.

Page 17: Confidential information

Q63

Respondent skipped this question

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

Q64

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.
