

#64

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 03, 2022 10:57:40 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 03, 2022 11:45:53 PM
Time Spent: 00:48:12
IP Address: Privacy of natural persons

Page 3: Submitter information

Q1

Name

max neumegen

Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

Q3

Yes

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

Q4

No

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

Q5

The best way/s to describe your role is:

Other (please specify):

traveller, nomad, ex overland world traveller.
www.maxneumegentraveller.org

Q6

Yes

Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)

Q7

Respondent skipped this question

Privacy information

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

Q8

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

Q9

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

performance based, ie, if a so called "toilet" is secured in a motor vehicle and can collect and contain human poos and wees, then it does not matter what or how the so called "toilet" is designed or built or secured.

Q10

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

Q11

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

prescript descriptive technical written standard does not meet every idea, design, vehicle, people's needs, and is out of date before it even goes to print and is a long expensive bureaucratic process to update that is then already out of date.

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q12

Strongly Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple-pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

Q13

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

only need a performance standard and how to measure that.

Q14

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

Q15

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

already done for item one.

Q16

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

Q17

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

not needed, just bureaucracy.

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q18

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

Q19

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

need to show that can follow a performance standard.

Q20

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

Q21

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

not needed.

Q22

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as "fit and proper"?

Q23

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

"fit and proper" measured how? just need to show that can follow a performance standard.

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

Q24

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

Q25

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

already doing this. plus for all plumbing training schools/pte can test and certify.

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

Q26

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

Q27

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

Q28

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

Q29

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

if can show vehicle can meet performance standard to anyone then not need any national database , just record of certification. testers keep own record. and statistics to a national collection point for national count.

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

Q30

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with the option for the self-containment warrant?

Q31

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

Q32

Respondent skipped this question

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

Q33

Respondent skipped this question

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

Q34 **Agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

Q35 **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

Q36 **Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

Q37 **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

Q38 **Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

Q39
If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

not needed. fines are not a behaviour changer.

Q40 **Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

Q41
If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

not needed. fines are not a behaviour changer.

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

Q42 **Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

Q43

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

exclude any vehicle anytime anywhere that the occupants are; having a rest, not to drive fatigued, anyone broken down, having problems with vehicle, having a meal, a picnic, fishing, exercise, waiting for others, hunting, tramping, climbing, bike riding, exploring, caving, stressed out occupants, sick occupants, ...or homeless...

Q44

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

Q45

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

any vehicle can have a "toilet" poo and wee reciprocal fixed into vehicle. pee into a bottle and poo into a bag and place in a fixed container.

Q46

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

Q47

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

any vehicle can have a "toilet" poo and wee reciprocal fixed into vehicle. pee into a bottle and poo into a bag and place in a fixed container.

Q48

Yes

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

Q49

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

exclude any vehicle anytime anywhere that the occupants are; having a rest, not to drive fatigued, anyone broken down, having problems with vehicle, having a meal, a picnic, fishing, exercise, waiting for others, hunting, tramping, climbing, bike riding, exploring, caving, stressed out occupants, sick occupants, ...or homeless...

Q50

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

Q51

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

less than \$35 should cover all costs if all bureaucracy is deleted. as a WoF for my vehicle is only \$35 and that is a safety issue. self containment is not. all it is is a performance standard.

Q52

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

Q53

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

less than \$35 should cover all costs if all bureaucracy is deleted. as a WoF for my vehicle is only \$35 and that is a safety issue. self containment is not. all it is is a performance standard.

Q54

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

Q55

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

less than \$35 should cover all costs if all bureaucracy is deleted. as a WoF for my vehicle is only \$35 and that is a safety issue. self containment is not. all it is is a performance standard.

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

Q56

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

Q57

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

why does it that 5 hours to audit a tester? something wrong there. if already a plumber, then already certified, so what is all the money for?

Q58

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

Q59

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

why does it that 5 hours to audit a tester? something wrong there. if already a plumber, then already certified, so what is all the money for?

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

Q60

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

Q61

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

Page 16: General comments

Q62

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

as stated in my submissions 2021. which can be looked up.

the questions that need to be asked are:

what is a "toilet"?

and prove that having a "toilet" fixed in a vehicle , means that 100% of all humans associated with that vehicle would pee and poo in that "toilet"?

and then do what with the contents of that "toilet"?

does having a toilet change behaviour?

and ask, would having a shovel and know how and where to dig a hole to pee and poo in, would be far more effective than any so called fixed "toilet"?

and there is a need to get rid of the term "freedom camping". as this is a dead term, has too many connotations, therefore we need a whole new precise term.

appendix one:

"2.1 Toilets shall be manufactured by a reputable manufacturer..." NO. who says a "toilet" has to be "manufactured"? a person can make there own. a compost reciprocal can be home made. a biodigester can be home made. so the home maker must be a "reputable manufacturer". this can be seen as "corruption". ie, "...must buy that type from that provider...". NO.

where is fire prevention? fire is a greater danger than any "perceived public perception that people living in vehicles are pooing in that public's front yard".

Page 17: Confidential information

Q63

Respondent skipped this question

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

Q64

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.
