

#38

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, September 23, 2022 11:05:57 AM
Last Modified: Friday, September 23, 2022 12:17:35 PM
Time Spent: 01:11:38
IP Address: Privacy of natural persons

Page 3: Submitter information

Q1

Name

Withheld at request of submitter

Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

Q3 **Yes**

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

Q4 **No**

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

Q5 **Individual,**
The best way/s to describe your role is: Other (please specify):
Motorhome owner

Q6 **Yes**
Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)

Q7 **The Privacy Act 2020 applies to submissions. Please tick this box if you do not wish your name or other personal details to be included in any information about submissions that MBIE may publish.**
Privacy information

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

Q8

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

Q9

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

Most requirements are in place and tested

Q10

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

Q11

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

Less room to stretch the requirements and interpretations

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q12

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple-pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

Q13

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

Q14

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

Q15

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

I don't believe that the PGPB have the full range of skills/knowledge to carryout the requirements

Q16

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

Q17

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

A more balanced approach for the specific inspection process

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q18

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

Q19

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

Q20

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

Q21

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

A diverse range of knowledge for the inspection/certification process not found in any one trade qualification

Q22

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as "fit and proper"?

Q23

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

Q24

Disagree

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

Q25

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

Do not generally have the full range of knowledge to carryout the diverse range of requirements

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

Q26 **Agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

Q27 **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

Q28 **Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

Q29 **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

Q30 **Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with the option for the self-containment warrant?

Q31 **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

Q32 **Respondent skipped this question**

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

Q33 **Respondent skipped this question**

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

Q34 **Disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

Q35

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

Q36

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

Q37

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

Q38

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

Q39

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

Q40

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

Q41

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

Q42

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

Q43

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

Q44

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

Q45

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

Q46

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

Q47

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

Q48

No

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

Q49

Respondent skipped this question

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

Page 13: Chapter Six: Fees and levies

Q50

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

Q51

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

More expensive than the electrical inspection process

Q52

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

Q53

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

Q54

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

Q55

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

Q56

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

Q57

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

Ridiculous cost. 5 hours?? These costs/time are completely over the top for the inspection scope. Obviously set by a plumbers representative not understanding the scope

Q58

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

Q59

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

Plumbers are the wrong authority to be driving this process

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

Q60

Agree

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

Q61

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

Page 16: General comments

Q62

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

The fixed toilet requirement does not go far enough with dealing to the privacy and hygiene issue surrounding the use of a toilet. I consider it a requirement that a toilet is installed to enable it to be used in a private, ventilated and hygienic enclosure.

Page 17: Confidential information

Q63

Respondent skipped this question

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

Q64

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.
