

# #55

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, October 03, 2022 7:21:47 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, October 03, 2022 7:52:14 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:30:27  
**IP Address:** Privacy of natural persons

---

Page 3: Submitter information

## Q1

Name

Withheld at request of submitter

---

## Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

---

## Q3

Yes

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

---

## Q4

No

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

---

## Q5

Individual

The best way/s to describe your role is:

---

## Q6

Yes

Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)

---

## Q7

Privacy information

**The Privacy Act 2020 applies to submissions. Please tick this box if you do not wish your name or other personal details to be included in any information about submissions that MBIE may publish.**

---

---

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

**Q8**

**Strongly agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

---

**Q9**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

---

**Q10**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

---

**Q11**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

There are a considerable number of different camper set ups and being prescriptive means that a lot of responsible campers either have to change their set up at a big cost or stop freedom camping or self contained camping,

---

---

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

**Q12**

**Disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple-pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

---

**Q13**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

---

**Q14**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

---

**Q15**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

This is camping we are talking about, its not building a house. We need to simplify processes so that we dont excludes large swathes of families

---

**Q16**

**Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

---

**Q17**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

I dont agree that the Drainlayers and Plumbers board should be certifying self containment. There is nothing wrong with the current system of self contained certifying officers. Shy do you always want

to complicate things and create more cost

---

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

**Q18**

**Strongly agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

---

**Q19**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

---

**Q20**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

---

**Q21**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

This is camping, not building a house. Why on earth would a certifier need to have a trade qual to certify self contained vehicles. The current system is great and anyone with enough knowledge can do this task

---

**Q22**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as "fit and proper"?

---

**Q23**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

What has fit and proper got to do with certifying self contained vehicles? Absolutely nothing. There is no value added at all

---

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

**Q24**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

---

**Q25**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

Any knowledgeable person can learn to certify self contained vehicles. Its not rocket science. Why are you trying to make this so complicated?

---

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

**Q26**

**Agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

---

**Q27**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

---

**Q28**

**Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

---

**Q29**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

---

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

**Q30**

**Agree**

To what extent do you agree with the option for the self-containment warrant?

---

**Q31**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

---

**Q32**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

---

**Q33**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

---

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

**Q34** **Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

---

**Q35**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

Again, why would you need a generic identifier? You have the rego and the names of the owners. There is no extra value in gaining more data

---

**Q36** **Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

---

**Q37** **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

---

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

**Q38** **Agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

---

**Q39** **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

---

**Q40** **Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

---

**Q41** **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

---

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

**Q42** **Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

---

**Q43**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

---

**Q44**

**Strongly agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

---

**Q45**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

You are assuming that all people with small vehicles dont camp responsibly. I won a retro caravan with room for a toilet and a camper trailer that has an annexe tent. Neither would be able to have fixed toilets. Why would you stop me, a responsible camper from being able to freedom camp when I can demonstrate that I have a toilet for use simply because I cant "fix" it in place?

---

**Q46**

**Agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

---

**Q47**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

---

**Q48**

**Yes**

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

---

**Q49**

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

Camper trailer owners and people with tents who demonstrate that they have a toilet annexe

---

Page 13: Chapter Six: Fees and levies

**Q50**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

---

**Q51**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

I dont agree with having the Drainlayers and Plumbers Board involved in this process

---

**Q52**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

---

**Q53**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

I dont agree with having the Drainlayers and Plumbers Board involved in this process

---

**Q54**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

---

**Q55**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

I dont agree with having the Drainlayers and Plumbers Board involved in this process

---

---

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

**Q56**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

---

**Q57**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

---

**Q58**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

---

**Q59**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

---

---

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

**Q60**

**Agree**

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

---

**Q61**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

---

Page 16: General comments

**Q62**

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

I do not agree with the changes that are being made. There is nothing much wrong with the current system and you punishing responsible campers for the actions of a few people

---

Page 17: Confidential information

**Q63**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

---

**Q64**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.

---