

#63

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 03, 2022 9:49:16 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 03, 2022 10:39:34 PM
Time Spent: 00:50:18
IP Address: Privacy of natural persons

Page 3: Submitter information

Q1

Name

Withheld at request of submitter

Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

Q3

Yes

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

Q4

No

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

Q5

Individual

The best way/s to describe your role is:

Q6

Yes

Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)

Q7

Privacy information

The Privacy Act 2020 applies to submissions. Please tick this box if you do not wish your name or other personal details to be included in any information about submissions that MBIE may publish.

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

Q8

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

Q9

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

Excluding portable toilets is a complete waste of a lot of campers' existing investment and serves no real purpose whatsoever apart from lining plumbers' and gasfitters' pockets. It will not reduce contamination of the environment one iota, just make it more difficult for campers on low incomes to enjoy their own country, ie is a form of discrimination.

Q10

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

Q11

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

Excluding portable toilets is a complete waste of a lot of campers' existing investment and serves no real purpose whatsoever apart from lining plumbers' and gasfitters' pockets. It will not reduce contamination of the environment one iota, just make it more difficult for campers on low incomes to enjoy their own country, ie is a form of discrimination.

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q12

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple-pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

Q13

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Q14

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

Q15

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Q16

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

Q17

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q18

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

Q19

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Q20

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

Q21

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Q22

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as “fit and proper”?

Q23

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

Q24

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

Q25

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

Q26

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

Q27

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Q28

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

Q29

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

Q30

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with the option for the self-containment warrant?

Q31

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Q32

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Q33

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

All of it.

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

Q34

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

Q35

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Q36

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

Q37

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

Q38

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

Q39

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Q40

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

Q41

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

Q42 **Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

Q43

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

Totally unnecessary for reasons given earlier.

Q44 **Strongly agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

Q45 **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

Q46 **Strongly agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

Q47 **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

Q48 **Yes**

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

Q49

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

All of them.

Educate and accredit people - vehicles don't cause people to leave a mess. 30 years of NZS 5465 has not solved the problem, has it?

Page 13: Chapter Six: Fees and levies

Q50

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

Q51

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

Unnecessary, for reasons given earlier.

Q52

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

Q53

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

Unnecessary, for reasons given earlier.

Q54

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

Q55

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

Unnecessary, for reasons given earlier.

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

Q56

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

Q57

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

Unnecessary, for reasons given earlier.

Q58

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

Q59

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

Unnecessary, for reasons given earlier.

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

Q60

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

Q61

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

Unnecessary, for reasons given earlier.

Page 16: General comments

Q62

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

They are a despicable waste of taxpayers' funds.

The problem they seek to address is largely only a perceived problem, not a real one.

The onus lies with freedom campers, not their vehicles.

The regulations are a cynical attempt to destroy camping in an economical way, giving in to pressure from NIMBYs, mayors with vested interests in commercial camping enterprises, campground operators, and councils who are ignorant of the value of freedom campers to their local economies.

Domestic freedom campers will be penalised for the actions of foreign tourists, since domestic campers already nearly all have existing toilets (fixed or portable) that they can access at any time, whereas many others do not (as evidenced by MBIE commissioned research). Portable toilets are just as effective too.

The value of thousands of families' investment in their campers will be decimated at the stroke of a pen.

Page 17: Confidential information

Q63

Respondent skipped this question

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

Q64

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.
