

#91

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, October 05, 2022 4:09:08 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, October 05, 2022 4:50:07 PM
Time Spent: 00:40:59
IP Address: Privacy of natural persons

Page 3: Submitter information

Q1

Name

Withheld at request of submitter

Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

Q3

Yes

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

Q4

No

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

Q5

Individual,

The best way/s to describe your role is:

Withheld at request of submitter

Q6

Yes

Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)

Q7

Privacy information

The Privacy Act 2020 applies to submissions. Please tick this box if you do not wish your name or other personal details to be included in any information about submissions that MBIE may publish.

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

Q8

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

Q9

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

Q10

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

Q11

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

It should be the users choice of what type of toilet they use, by have a specific type doesn't mean they will use it. It must be present when freedom camping

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q12

Strongly Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple-pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

Q13

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

There needs to be accountability and some organisations just issue certificates and have no system in place. Plumbers are the worst at applying or understanding the rules, many times on a recheck I find that the vehicle is not and never has been compliant. I needed to sit an exam specifically on self containment and with a 98% pass consider I understand what is required. Plumbers get it because they are plumbers, it should be like the gas ticket, it's an extension and separate exam required.

Q14

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

Q15

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

Q16

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

Q17

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

There are some cowboys out there who will do mail order self containments, I inspect everyone, recheck or new, it's black and white, pass or fail or in this pc world, pass or not compliant yet.

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q18

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

Q19

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

Q20

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

Q21

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

Plumbers seem to be the slackest at certifiying, they don't have a vested interest in camping all they wish to do is clip the ticket.

Q22

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as "fit and proper"?

Q23

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

Most organisations test their testing officers, I have been tested by 2 organisations, one even turned up with a vehicle and asked me to check it, both had written exams.

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

Q24

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

Q25

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

Past work shows that the majority don't have the understanding and are lack about meeting the requirements. It shouldn't be about how much \$ are flowing.

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

Q26

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

Q27

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

Q28

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

Q29

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

It needs to record all details and be present for enforcement officers to inspect and check off, enforcement officers MUST be a current self containment officer, then they will understand what they are looking for.

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

Q30

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with the option for the self-containment warrant?

Q31

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

Q32

Respondent skipped this question

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

Q33

Respondent skipped this question

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

Q34

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

Q35

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

The current stickers are so accessible that it's almost like you buy them at the supermarket, as custodian of a park where current self containment is required I only accept the blue card as proof the vehicle has self containment, I also check that if using portable tanks they are setup correctly as per regulations

Q36

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

Q37

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

Q38

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

Q39

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

Q40

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

Q41

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

Q42

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

Q43

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

Having a fixed toilet doesn't stop the problem, people need to have them in the vehicle and need to use them. I find many people with fixed toilets in up market vehicle who will not use the toilet and at night either relieve themselves in the bushes or some travel to a public toilet.

Q44

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

Q45

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

Q46

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

Q47

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

Age of the vehicle won't make a person use the toilet, a toilet should be present and be used in all vehicles, it doesn't need to be a fixed toilet

Q48

No

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

Q49

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

Many truck driver stop in laybys, they don't have toilets on board, are not self contained but don't ever seem to be moved on or targeted

Q50

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

Q51

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

If an organisation is providing the service it should be up to them to decide the cost. It just becomes another fee the government extracts from people without putting money back into the system. The Electrical registration fee comes to mind and absolute rip off and disorganised.

Q52

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

Q53

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

Q54

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

Q55

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

Q56

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

Q57

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

It shouldn't take that long to do an inspection if the inspector knows his job. It'll become like gas certs a rip off, I got charged \$650 for a 55 minute inspection. That was a plumber routing the poor customer

Q58

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

Q59

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

Q60

Agree

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

Q61

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

Page 16: General comments

Q62

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

It could have been left as it is all that was needed was for enforcement officers to ensure a vehicle had a current self containment certificate and that a toilet was present and available for use. If the vehicle wasn't compliant the selfcontainment warrant would be removed and a reinspection necessary to regain, much like a car that is not road worthy, why complicate a system just enforce whats already there.

Page 17: Confidential information

Q63

Respondent skipped this question

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

Q64

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.
