

# #23

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, September 18, 2022 8:50:59 AM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, September 18, 2022 9:12:10 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:21:11  
**IP Address:** Privacy of natural persons

---

Page 3: Submitter information

## Q1

Name

Withheld at request of submitter

---

## Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

---

**Q3** **Yes**

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

---

**Q4** **No**

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

---

**Q5** **Individual**

The best way/s to describe your role is:

---

**Q6** **Yes**

Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)

---

**Q7** **The Privacy Act 2020 applies to submissions. Please tick this box if you do not wish your name or other personal details to be included in any information about submissions that MBIE may publish.**

Privacy information

---

---

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

**Q8**

**Agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

---

**Q9**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

Education, not regulation solves societal issues. Infringing on personal freedoms without evidence that this will solve the problem is a dangerous path to follow.

---

**Q10**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

---

**Q11**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

---

---

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

**Q12**

**Agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple-pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

---

**Q13**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

---

**Q14**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

---

**Q15**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

---

**Q16**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

---

**Q17**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

---

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

**Q18**

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

---

**Q19**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

---

**Q20**

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

---

**Q21**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

---

**Q22**

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as “fit and proper”?

---

**Q23**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

---

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

**Q24**

Disagree

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

---

**Q25**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

---

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

**Q26**

**Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

---

**Q27**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

---

**Q28**

**Agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

---

**Q29**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

---

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

**Q30**

**Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with the option for the self-containment warrant?

---

**Q31**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

---

**Q32**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

---

**Q33**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

---

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

**Q34**

**Agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

---

**Q35**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

---

**Q36**

**Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

---

**Q37**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

---

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

**Q38**

**Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

---

**Q39**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

Apply fines for littering and damaging the environment around your beautiful country as opposed to trying to fine people based on rules around self containment. Respect for nature and our ecosystems has nothing to do with self containment, and people in fully compliant vehicles will continue to damage the environment. You are looking at this problem the wrong way.

---

**Q40**

**Neither agree nor disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

---

**Q41**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

---

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

**Q42**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

---

**Q43**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

---

**Q44**

**Agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

---

**Q45**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

---

**Q46**

**Agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

---

**Q47**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

---

**Q48**

**Yes**

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

---

**Q49**

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

I on principle disagree with exclusion to access to nature. Making self containment more expensive and totally inaccessible to many people is wrong.

---

---

Page 13: Chapter Six: Fees and levies

**Q50**

**Disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

---

**Q51**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

Freedom costs?

---

**Q52**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

---

**Q53**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

---

**Q54**

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

---

**Q55**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

---

---

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

**Q56**

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

---

**Q57**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

This is very expensive.

---

**Q58**

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

---

**Q59**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

If scalable means scalable upwards....

---

---

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

**Q60**

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

---

**Q61**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

---

---

Page 16: General comments

**Q62**

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

I feel like this bill and it's regulations are blowing out of proportion an issue that exists, but is not caused by honest freedom campers. The people this bill will affect are NOT the ones responsible for much of the damage. I believe the solution is education. Education of tourists, full time freedom campers and the general public. We also need to, as a freedom camping society, start a campaign promoting and teaching correct environmental practice. Restricting the rights of people to use public land is foolish.

---

Page 17: Confidential information

**Q63**

Respondent skipped this question

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

---

**Q64**

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.

---