

#28

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 19, 2022 9:28:47 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 19, 2022 10:18:54 PM
Time Spent: 00:50:07
IP Address: Privacy of natural persons

Page 3: Submitter information

Q1

Name

Withheld at request of submitter

Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

Q3

Yes

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

Q4

No

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

Q5

Individual

The best way/s to describe your role is:

Q6

Yes

Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)

Q7

Privacy information

The Privacy Act 2020 applies to submissions. Please tick this box if you do not wish your name or other personal details to be included in any information about submissions that MBIE may publish.

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

Q8

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

Q9

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

In either case forcing a fixed toilet creates an economic gap which will make it even more difficult for lower economic group kiwis to experience and learn to appreciate their own Kalinga.

Q10

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

Q11

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

Are we now saying that a chemical toilet is not a suitable way for disposing human waste? Should this legislation also be enforced onto the boating community?

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q12

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple-pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

Q13

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

Options 2 or 3 will just increase costs unnecessarily.

Q14

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

Q15

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

Costs

Q16

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

Q17

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

Costs

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q18

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

Q19

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

Yes this is the only sensible option.

Q20

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

Q21

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

This is not rocket science.

Q22

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as "fit and proper"?

Q23

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

Once again, with the exception of gas and electronics (which already have certification requirements). This isn't rocket science

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

Q24

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

Q25

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

I don't think this requires a qualification outside of electronics and gas.

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

Q26

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

Q27

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

Q28

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

Q29

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

Why

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

Q30

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with the option for the self-containment warrant?

Q31

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

I mean warrent, rego and ruc are already soo much, what a Second warrent. That nothing to the rich boomers who this country cares about though so no worries.

Q32

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

You know that 13% of our country is covered by unsustainable farming which is destroying our rivers? And still somehow people think a plumbed camper toilet is important for our ecological impact.

Q33

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

A plumbed toilet

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

Q34

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

Q35

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

Not important

Q36

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

Q37

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

Not important

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

Q38

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

Q39

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

This is already too much

Q40

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

Q41

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

So someone sleeping in their vehicle (for any reason, drunk, homeless, distressed) deserves larger fines than someone going 50km/hr over the speed limit? Seems reasonable.

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

Q42

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

Q43

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

Only the rich deserve to see their own home, good stuff.

Q44

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

Q45

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

If this include small vans, and caravans which are affordable, then yes of course. This is the first reasonable enquiry of the survey.

Q46

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

Q47

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

If we're talking my dad's beat up old caravan sure. If it's ol mates \$100,000 70s kombie van, no.

Q48

Yes

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

Q49

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

Vehicles which can fit a perfectly functioning chemical toilet but not a full plumbed system within reason.

Page 13: Chapter Six: Fees and levies

Q50

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

Q51

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

Why does this need a board?

Q52

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

Q53

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

Since when is camping an exclusive upper class activity

Q54

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

Q55

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

Yes, make this more expensive, great

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

Q56

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

Q57

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

This literally takes 15 minutes.

Q58

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

Q59

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

I understand now the goal of this law is just to let the boomers not have to interact with their lower economic counterparts when on holiday.

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

Q60

Agree

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

Q61

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

For lower economic groups right?

Page 16: General comments

Q62

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

This law will destroy my ability to take meaningful holidays and visit friends family across the country. Already the costs of living has sky rocketed, we can barely afford to live, let alone enjoy ourselves. I guess we don't deserve it, we weren't clever enough invest in real estate when we were children.

Page 17: Confidential information

Q63

Respondent skipped this question

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

Q64

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.
