

#29

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 19, 2022 9:26:44 PM
Last Modified: Monday, September 19, 2022 10:21:49 PM
Time Spent: 00:55:05
IP Address: Privacy of natural persons

Page 3: Submitter information

Q1

Name

Withheld at request of submitter

Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

Q3

Yes

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

Q4

No

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

Q5

Individual

The best way/s to describe your role is:

Q6

Yes

Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)

Q7

Privacy information

The Privacy Act 2020 applies to submissions. Please tick this box if you do not wish your name or other personal details to be included in any information about submissions that MBIE may publish.

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

Q8

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

Q9

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

I agree with this approach but the requirements mustn't enable only wealthy people to access to freedom camping.

Q10

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

Q11

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

This is too harsh and will exclude the lower socioeconomic classes to access to Freedom camping.

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q12

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple-pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

Q13

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

This seems like a reasonable approach that ensures accessibility and reliability.

Q14

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

Q15

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

This will give monopole to some for certifications and will bring problems such as high prices, long waiting list, unaccessibility of the service, inhibiting the introduction of new cheaper service, directing technical research, etc.

Q16

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

Q17

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

Same as option 2.

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q18

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

Q19

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

Q20

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

Q21

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

Mainly for gas since it can be dangerous.

Q22

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as "fit and proper"?

Q23

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

Q24

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

Q25

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

Aotearoa should not be requiring fixed toilets. This is a gentrification of the freedom camping practice to serve the richer and older members of this society. There is no problem with human waste, plenty toilets are serving their purpose all around the country. 13% of the land is dedicated to unsustainable farming which damages the land, and environment for all but profits a handful. The waste problem in Aotearoa is due to the majority cattle, not human.

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

Q26

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

Q27

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

Q28

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

Q29

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

Q30

Agree

To what extent do you agree with the option for the self-containment warrant?

Q31

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

Q32

Respondent skipped this question

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

Q33

Respondent skipped this question

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

Q34

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

Q35

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

Have an obvious generic sticker makes freedom campers easy target.

Q36

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

Q37

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

See previous answer.

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

Q38

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

Q39

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

People that use the Freedom Camping by need will be the ones suffering from this. The parallel is easy to draw between freedom campers and homelessness. Did giving fine was ever effective against poverty?

Q40

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

Q41

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

People that use the Freedom Camping by need will be the ones suffering from this. The parallel is easy to draw between freedom campers and homelessness. Did giving fine was ever effective against poverty? This fine is higher than speeding and causes no danger.

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

Q42

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

Q43

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

Only rich people can afford to be rich. By enforcing a fixed toilet in all the vehicle, it will force the users to get more than one vehicle in a context where we are desperately trying to reduce our emissions. This excludes the lower class from accessing a service that is free or affordable.

Q44

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

Q45

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

This needs to be extended to all small caravans, any vehicle that can fit a chemical toilets should be allowed as a matter of equity.

Q46

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

Q47

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

See answer option 01

Q48

Yes

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

Q49

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

All vehicles that can currently fit a chemical toilet should be allowed.

Q50

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

Q51

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

Q52

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

Q53

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

Q54

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

Q55

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

Q56

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

Q57

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

This usually takes under 5h, more like 1h.

Q58

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

Q59

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

Q60

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

Q61

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

This is the minimum.

Page 16: General comments

Q62

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

Running the risk of repeating myself, the fixed toilet regulation does not make any sense. The reasoning behind it is a masquerade to hide the accommodation lobbies which are already ruining the housing market of this country. This regulation is made by the bourgeois for the bourgeois and serve them. This regulation would penalize all the other small businesses that profit from the Freedom Camping. Without freedom camping, a whole generation will not be able to go out, see the territory, their country as it will be too expensive. A generation that is already suffering from the inflation and housing crisis. A generation that needs to make a choice between having kids or a house. The money will be funneled to abusive businesses with monopolies. Backpackers (which are highly needed in the agricultural industry) will not be able to survive financially, stay and do the work most citizens refuse to do. Freedom Camping allows me to go visit my whānau, go on business meetings or simply enjoy my time off. Without it, I could not go around the country and give my money to other small businesses. This practice is part of kiwiana and cannot be reserved to the wealthiest.

Page 17: Confidential information

Q63

Respondent skipped this question

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

Q64

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.
