

# #32

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, September 21, 2022 4:15:03 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, September 21, 2022 4:32:29 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:17:26  
**IP Address:** Privacy of natural persons

---

Page 3: Submitter information

## Q1

Name

Stace Fifield

---

## Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

---

## Q3

**Yes**

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

---

## Q4

**No**

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

---

## Q5

**Individual**

The best way/s to describe your role is:

---

## Q6

**Yes**

Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)

---

## Q7

**Respondent skipped this question**

Privacy information

---

---

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

**Q8**

**Strongly agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

---

**Q9**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

---

**Q10**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

---

**Q11**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

---

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

**Q12**

**Strongly Agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple-pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

---

**Q13**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

---

**Q14**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

---

**Q15**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

---

**Q16**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

---

**Q17**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

---

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

**Q18** **Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

---

**Q19** **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

---

**Q20** **Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

---

**Q21** **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

---

**Q22** **Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as “fit and proper”?

---

**Q23** **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

---

---

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

**Q24** **Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

---

**Q25** **Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

---

---

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

**Q26** **Don't know**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

---

**Q27**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

---

**Q28**

Don't know

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

---

**Q29**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

---

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

**Q30**

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with the option for the self-containment warrant?

---

**Q31**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

---

**Q32**

Respondent skipped this question

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

---

**Q33**

Respondent skipped this question

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

---

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

**Q34**

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

---

**Q35**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

---

**Q36**

**Strongly agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

---

**Q37**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

---

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

**Q38**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

---

**Q39**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

This is extortion. Don't you realise that young people camping in vans around NZ is part of our culture and part of our tourism income? This entire premise is flawed.

---

**Q40**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

---

**Q41**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

\$200 should be the maximum. Stop money grabbing from people who obviously cannot afford campervans and hotels. This is actually ridiculous.

---

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

**Q42**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

---

**Q43**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

---

**Q44**

**Strongly agree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

---

**Q45**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

---

**Q46**

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

---

**Q47**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

---

**Q48**

Yes

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

---

**Q49**

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

Anything smaller than a campervan should be excluded. That means all toyota hiace vans and similar vehicles should be excluded. All the money spent trying to enforce these rules would be better spent installing and upgrading ablution blocks at camping spots.

---

---

Page 13: Chapter Six: Fees and levies

**Q50**

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

---

**Q51**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

---

**Q52**

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

---

**Q53**

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

---

**Q54**

**Strongly disagree**

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

---

**Q55**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

---

---

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

**Q56**

**Don't know**

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

---

**Q57**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

---

**Q58**

**Don't know**

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

---

**Q59**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

---

---

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

**Q60**

**Strongly agree**

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

---

**Q61**

**Respondent skipped this question**

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

---

---

Page 16: General comments

**Q62**

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

I think this entire thing is about attracting "Richer" people to travel our roads camping with campervans. I get it, they probably spend more money. But young, often foreign, travelers are able to come to NZ, buy a cheap van for relatively cheap and travel our beautiful country. A small number of people leave mess, but if we directed our money into upgrading camping spots, installing toilets, showers, rubbish bins, we would see the issues decline at the same time as keeping this rite of passage for all people and not just the ones who can afford an expensive campervan. This reeks of money grabbing because the fines are disgustingly high, especially when the people who will be targeted are generally the poorest type of traveler. Reject this bill altogether.

---

Page 17: Confidential information

**Q63**

Respondent skipped this question

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

---

**Q64**

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.

---