Submission template

Consultation on Energy Demand and Generation Scenarios (EDGS) 2023

This is the submission template for responding to the consultation document on the Energy Demand and Generation Scenarios (EDGS) 2023. The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) seeks your comments by **5pm on Monday**, **22 May 2023**.

Please make your submission as follows:

Fill out your details under the <u>Contact details</u> section and, if applicable, check the boxes underneath on privacy and confidentiality.

Fill out your responses to the discussion document questions in the section: <u>**Responses to**</u> <u>**questions**</u>. Your submission may respond to any or all of the questions. Where possible, please include evidence to support your views, for example references to independent research, facts and figures, or relevant examples. If you would like to make other comments not covered by the questions, please provide these in the <u>Additional feedback</u> section.

Before sending your submission:

- a. delete this first page of instructions; and
- b. if your submission contains any confidential information, please:
 - State this in the cover page or in the e-mail accompanying your submission, and set out clearly which parts you consider should be withheld and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA) that you believe apply. MBIE will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the OIA.
 - Indicate this on the front of your submission (e.g. the first page header may state "In Confidence"). Any confidential information should be clearly marked within the text of your submission (preferably as Microsoft Word comments).

Submit your submission by emailing this template as a Microsoft Word document to <u>energyinfo@mbie.govt.nz</u> with **EDGS 2023** in the subject line by **5pm on Monday, 22 May 2023**

Please direct any questions that you have in relation to the submissions process to <u>energyinfo@mbie.govt.nz</u>.

Release of Information

Please note that submissions are subject to the OIA and may, therefore, be released in part or full. The Privacy Act 2020 also applies. MBIE intends to publish a compiled list of next steps on our website at <u>www.mbie.govt.nz</u>. Should you agree to having quotes from your submission included in the next steps, we will ensure that all parts of your submission included does not refer to any names of individuals.

Submission on the Energy Demand and Generation Scenarios (EDGS) 2023

Contact details

Name	Privacy of natural persons
Organisation (if applicable)	Taranaki Offshore Partnership
Contact email address	Privacy of natural persons

Privacy statement

We collect your personal information (name and email address), in order to identify stakeholders and contact you (if you agree). Providing some information (such as your organisation) is optional, however if you do not provide this information, we may not be able to link your response to the organisation you are representing. We advise caution on the use of free-text boxes, please do not provide more personal information than is required for the purposes of this consultation.

Besides our staff, we may share this information in line with the Privacy Act 2020 or as otherwise required or permitted by law. We keep your information safe by storing your data in folders with limited access. If this information is shared or published, we may need to edit comments to remove personal information.

This information will be held by MBIE. You have a right to ask for a copy of any personal information we hold about you as a result of this consultation, and to ask for it to be corrected if you think it is wrong. If you'd like to ask for a copy of your information, or to have it corrected, please contact us at <u>energyinfo@mbie.govt.nz</u>.

Release of information

Please let us know if you would like any part of your submission to be kept confidential.

I agree to be contacted by MBIE about any points I have raised or obtain more information about the content of my submission.

 \boxtimes I agree to having quotes from my submission included in the compiled list of next steps.

I would like to be contacted before the release or use of my submission in the compiled list of next steps that will be published by MBIE after the consultation.

I would like my submission (or identified parts of my submission) to be kept confidential, and **have stated below** my reasons and grounds under the Official Information Act that I believe apply, for consideration by MBIE.

I would like my submission (or identified parts of my submission) to be kept confidential because... [Insert text]

[To check the boxes above: Double click on box, then select 'checked']

Responses to questions

Instructions for completing this submission template:

- Check relevant box by double clicking on the box, then select 'checked'
- Some questions have sub-parts
- Add any additional comments
- Respond to any or all questions as relevant

Introduction						
1	a) Do you agree with	the stated purpose of	EDGS? (Please select one)		
	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	Don't know			
	b) Why, or why not?					
2	How do you use EDGS?					
	For information, as an input into project planning					
3	a) Do you agree with	the frequency of the E	DGS? (Please select one)			
	Yes	🔀 No (please elab	orate below)	Don't know		
	b) If NO, how freque	ntly do you think it sho	uld be?			
	🛛 Annually 🗌 E	very two years	Every three years	Other (please specify)		
			very 1-2 years valuable. W			
	in aligning scenario updates with major policy announcements, for example to update the scenarios once the NZ Energy Strategy has been released.					
Sce	narios					
	enarios					
Sce 4			plore the potential future	e states that you think		
	Does the set of four s		plore the potential future	e states that you think		
	Does the set of four s will be important? (Pl Yes	ease select one)				
4	Does the set of four s will be important? (Pl Yes	ease select one)	Don't know			
4	Does the set of four s will be important? (Pl Yes a) Is each scenario's s	ease select one)	Don't know ent and coherent? (Please			
4	Does the set of four s will be important? (Pl Yes a) Is each scenario's s Yes b) If NO, why not? There is an inconsister	ease select one) No story internally consist No ncy between this secti	Don't know ent and coherent? (Please Don't know on and the table in 'Key a	e select one) ssumptions' for the		
4	Does the set of four s will be important? (Pl Yes a) Is each scenario's s Yes b) If NO, why not? There is an inconsister Constraint scenario. T	ease select one) No story internally consist No ncy between this section The assumptions table	Don't know ent and coherent? (Please Don't know on and the table in 'Key as shows gas availability dec	e select one) ssumptions' for the reasing in the constraint		
4	Does the set of four s will be important? (PI Yes a) Is each scenario's s Yes b) If NO, why not? There is an inconsister Constraint scenario. T scenario, which we be	ease select one)	Don't know ent and coherent? (Please Don't know on and the table in 'Key as shows gas availability dec nt for a 'constraint' scena	e select one) ssumptions' for the reasing in the constraint rio.		
4	Does the set of four s will be important? (PI Yes a) Is each scenario's s Yes b) If NO, why not? There is an inconsister Constraint scenario. T scenario, which we be	ease select one)	Don't know ent and coherent? (Please Don't know on and the table in 'Key as shows gas availability dec	e select one) ssumptions' for the reasing in the constraint rio.		
4	Does the set of four s will be important? (Pl Yes a) Is each scenario's s Yes b) If NO, why not? There is an inconsister Constraint scenario. T scenario, which we be	ease select one)	Don't know ent and coherent? (Please Don't know on and the table in 'Key as shows gas availability dec nt for a 'constraint' scena	e select one) ssumptions' for the reasing in the constraint rio.		

	We consider that a scenario where policy and investment are focused on the energy sector, supporting large renewable generation projects and reducing carbon emissions would be beneficial to include. We consider that including a criterion for 'alignment with carbon-neutral NZ by 2050 target' would be beneficial.					
Кеу	assumptio	ons				
7	Do these assumptions align with the four scenario definitions? (Please select one)				one)	
	Yes No Don't know We question whether a lower future carbon price for the Growth scenario is consistent with the scenario definition. This suggests that policies and investment do not support decarbonization and energy transition in the Growth scenario.					
8						
	Yes No further	comments to add	No to our response	Don't kr es above.	now	
	b) If NO, pl	ease explain or a	dd any specific o	hanges to the ta	ble provided bel	ow.
	•	to provide altern the table below.	ative assumptio	ns from those we	e have identified	, please fill out
		Variable	Reference	Growth	Constraint	Innovation
		Carbon price (NZD / t CO ₂ -e)				
	General	Crude oil price (USD / barrel)				
		Exchange rate (NZD/USD)				
		Real discount rate				
		GDP				
		Population				
	Electricity generation	Gas availability for electricity generation ¹				
		Cost of wind generation				
		Cost of grid solar generation				
	Tech nolo gy	Residential solar PV				

 $^{\rm 1}$ This is how much natural gas is available for electricity generation, not actual levels of usage

	>_	Electric vehicles Peak demand						
	Electricity demand	Demand-side response						
	Energy demand	Energy efficiency improvements						
9	a) Do you	agree with these	process heat a	ssumptions? (F	Please select one)			
	🖂 Yes		No	Don	't know			
	b) If NO, w	hy not?						
10		of electricity and b scenarios? Pleas				at fuel-switching in		
	Please fill in what percentages of electricity and biomass you think should be used for process heat in each scenario.							
	Fuel type	Referen	ce Gro	wth	Constraint	Innovation		
	Electricity							
	Biomass							
	· · ·		A general comment is that industrial users who currently use gas for process-heat may be unlikely to prefer fuel-switching to biomass compared to other renewable energy sources. Compared to gas, using biomass for process heat would require new storage and handling facilities, increased traffic on site due to deliveries (significant for large users) and potential resource consent changes due to increased particulate emissions.					
	unlikely to Compared facilities, in	prefer fuel-switch to gas, using bion creased traffic or	ning to biomass nass for proces n site due to de	s compared to s heat would r liveries (signifi	other renewable e equire new storag icant for large user	e and handling		
11	unlikely to Compared facilities, in resource co What do yo	prefer fuel-switch to gas, using bion creased traffic or onsent changes d ou think we shoul	ning to biomass nass for proces n site due to de ue to increased d be assuming	s compared to s heat would r liveries (signifi l particulate er for the future	other renewable e equire new storag icant for large user	e and handling rs) and potential nergy users		
11	unlikely to Compared facilities, in resource co What do yo involved in A general co processes a likely to be	prefer fuel-switch to gas, using bion creased traffic or onsent changes du bu think we shoul specific industry omment is that th and equipment to	ning to biomass nass for process n site due to de ue to increased d be assuming process heat a here are oppor b lower their us ies in future as	s compared to s heat would r liveries (signifi l particulate er for the future pplications in e tunities for lar e of non-renew technology de	other renewable e equire new storag icant for large user missions. activity of large en each of our scenar ge energy users to wable energy source evelops. For examp	e and handling rs) and potential nergy users ios? make use of new ces, and there are		
11	unlikely to Compared facilities, in resource co What do yo involved in A general co processes a likely to be hydrogen co What do yo specific ind	prefer fuel-switch to gas, using bion creased traffic or onsent changes do bu think we shoul specific industry omment is that the and equipment to more opportunit an be used in ste bu think we shoul ustry process hea	ning to biomass nass for process n site due to de ue to increased d be assuming process heat a here are oppor b lower their us cies in future as el-making to re d be assuming at applications	s compared to s heat would r liveries (signifi l particulate er for the future pplications in e tunities for lar e of non-renev technology de educe coal usag	other renewable e equire new storag icant for large user missions. activity of large en each of our scenar ge energy users to wable energy source evelops. For examp ge. e of large energy u	e and handling rs) and potential nergy users ios? make use of new ces, and there are ple, green		
12	unlikely to Compared facilities, in resource co What do yo involved in A general co processes a likely to be hydrogen co What do yo specific ind We do not	prefer fuel-switch to gas, using bion creased traffic or onsent changes du ou think we shoul specific industry omment is that the and equipment to more opportunit an be used in ste ou think we shoul ustry process hea have a comment	ning to biomass nass for process n site due to de ue to increased d be assuming process heat a here are oppor o lower their us cies in future as el-making to re d be assuming at applications on this.	s compared to s heat would r liveries (signifi l particulate er for the future pplications in e tunities for lar e of non-renev technology de educe coal usag	other renewable e equire new storag icant for large user missions. activity of large en each of our scenar ge energy users to wable energy source evelops. For examp ge. e of large energy u scenarios?	e and handling rs) and potential nergy users ios? make use of new ces, and there are ole, green sers involved in		
	unlikely to Compared facilities, in resource co What do yo involved in A general co processes a likely to be hydrogen co What do yo specific ind We do not a) Do you	prefer fuel-switch to gas, using bion creased traffic or onsent changes du ou think we shoul specific industry omment is that the and equipment to more opportunit an be used in ste ou think we shoul ustry process hea have a comment	ning to biomass nass for process n site due to de ue to increased d be assuming process heat a here are oppor b lower their us cies in future as el-making to re d be assuming at applications on this.	s compared to s heat would r liveries (signifi l particulate er for the future pplications in e tunities for lar e of non-renev technology de educe coal usag for the closure in each of our	other renewable e equire new storag icant for large user missions. activity of large en each of our scenar ge energy users to wable energy source evelops. For examp ge. a of large energy u scenarios?	e and handling rs) and potential nergy users ios? make use of new ces, and there are ple, green		
12	unlikely to Compared facilities, in resource co What do yo involved in A general co processes a likely to be hydrogen co What do yo specific ind We do not a) Do you	prefer fuel-switch to gas, using biom creased traffic or onsent changes do bu think we shoul specific industry omment is that the and equipment to more opportunit an be used in ste bu think we shoul ustry process heat have a comment	ning to biomass nass for process n site due to de ue to increased d be assuming process heat a here are oppor o lower their us cies in future as el-making to re d be assuming at applications on this.	s compared to s heat would r liveries (signifi l particulate er for the future pplications in e tunities for lar e of non-renev technology de educe coal usag for the closure in each of our	other renewable e equire new storag icant for large user missions. activity of large en each of our scenar ge energy users to wable energy source evelops. For examp ge. e of large energy u scenarios?	e and handling rs) and potential nergy users ios? make use of new ces, and there are ole, green sers involved in		
12	unlikely to Compared facilities, in resource co What do yo involved in A general co processes a likely to be hydrogen co What do yo specific ind We do not a) Do you	prefer fuel-switch to gas, using biom creased traffic or onsent changes do bu think we shoul specific industry omment is that the and equipment to more opportunit an be used in ste bu think we shoul ustry process heat have a comment	ning to biomass nass for process n site due to de ue to increased d be assuming process heat a here are oppor b lower their us cies in future as el-making to re d be assuming at applications on this.	s compared to s heat would r liveries (signifi l particulate er for the future pplications in e tunities for lar e of non-renev technology de educe coal usag for the closure in each of our	other renewable e equire new storag icant for large user missions. activity of large en each of our scenar ge energy users to wable energy source evelops. For examp ge. a of large energy u scenarios?	e and handling rs) and potential nergy users ios? make use of new ces, and there are ole, green sers involved in		

Gei	Generation stack				
14	What timeline do you believe we should use for the refurbishment of existing plants?				
	We do not have a comment on this.				
15	What timeline do you believe we should use for the retirement of existing plants?				
	We do not have a comment on this.				
16	a) Do you feel your views on the refurbishment or retirement of plants would be affected by scenario? (Please select one)				
	Yes No Don't know We do not have a comment on this. Image: Comment on this.				
	b) If YES, please provide details.				
17	If you know of any additional plants that need to be considered, please provide information below.				
	See comments below on section 19.				
18	a) Do you agree with our definition of potential plants? (Please select one)				
	Yes No Don't know				
	b) If NO, why not?				
	See comments below on section 19.				
19	a) Do you agree with what we have presented in Table 4 in Appendix A of the Consultation document around generic plants? (Please select one)				
	Yes No Don't know				
	b) If you have amendments or additional information, please provide details below.				
We consider that the 'Enabling investment in offshore renewable energy' discussion document issued by MBIE in late 2022 demonstrates the potential of New Zealand's wind industry and real interest from experienced offshore windfarm developers. As submission on this document in April 2023, we are exploring the feasibility of a large wind farm (or wind farms) in the South Taranaki Bight. The initial development under investigation is up to 1GW, which would represent over 11 per cent of Aotearoa Ne Zealand's current electricity demand capacity and could power over 650,000 homes believe this could later expand to 2GW, helping to meet strong projected growth in for electricity, making it significantly larger than most other renewable energy projecurrently under consideration in the country. Depending on the speed at which the regulatory framework is established, we are aiming for the South Taranaki developer operational by 2030.					
	For consistency with MBIE's approach to offshore wind in the "Enabling investment in offshore renewable energy' discussion document, we consider that the offshore wind plants in Table 4 – Appendix A reflect the size of projects that offshore wind developers are currently investigating in New Zealand.				

20	a) Given the information presented in the Generation stack section and Appendix A of the Consultation document, are there any other generation types that we are missing from our generation stack? (Please select one)					
	Yes No Don't know					
	b) If YES, please specify.					
Vie	ws on new and emerging technologies					
21	How do you envision the cost for new technologies changing in coming years?					
	We note that offshore wind equipment costs continue to decrease in mature markets and expect this to continue, subject to the supply chain operating effectively. Given that our development under consideration is some years from construction, we hope that equipment costs will have decreased further by the time our development is in the procurement phase.					
22	What do you think the uptake will be like for these new technologies?					
	We are pleased to have experienced a high level of regional and national support and enthusiasm for offshore wind energy. Many organisations in New Zealand consider offshore wind projects as realistic and having serious potential for energy resilience, decarbonisation and job creation.					
23	How do you believe New Zealand's green hydrogen industry will develop between now and 2050? What role will hydrogen taken in our electricity system in this time?					
	Due to the high level of global interest and investment in green hydrogen, we expect this to develop into a mature industry in the coming decades. We see an opportunity for New Zealand to use green hydrogen to decarbonise transport, process heat and hard-to-abate sectors. Further to this, New Zealand could use green hydrogen as an input to value-add products for export.					
Nex	kt steps					
24	Which of the below products would you find MOST beneficial? Please rank them from 1 (most beneficial) to 4 (least beneficial).					
	 4 Electricity Generation Investment Opportunities Report 1 Energy Outlook 3 Generation Stack Report 2 Levelised Cost of Electricity Generation (LCOE) [To edit the rankings above: right click on the field "1, 2, 3 or 4", then select 'Update Field'] 					
Ado	ditional feedback					
25	Do you have any additional feedback that you would like to provide on the EDGS or the options we have proposed? If yes, please provide below.					

Thank you for completing this submission template, we appreciate you taking the time. We will use your feedback to inform our modelling for EDGS 2023 and will refine the draft assumptions based on feedback received through consultation.