Submission Form

The Energy Hardship Expert Panel welcomes your feedback on its Discussion Paper 'Te Kore, Te Pō, Te Ao Marama | Energy Hardship – the challenges and a way forward.

We welcome your feedback

This is the Submission Form for responding to the Discussion Paper released by the Energy Hardship Expert Panel <u>'Te Kore, Te Po, Te Ao Marama | Energy Hardship – the challenges and a way forward.'</u> The Expert Panel welcomes your comments by **5pm on Friday 28 April 2023.**

Please make your submission as follows:

- 1. Please see the full Discussion Paper<u>here</u> to help you have your say.
- 2. Please read the privacy statement and fill out your details under the 'Submission information' section.
- 3. Please fill out your responses to the questions in the tables provided. Your submission may respond to any or all of the questions. Questions which we require you to answer are indicated with an asterisk (*). Where possible, please include evidence to support your views, for example references to independent research, facts and figures, or relevant examples.
- 4. Before sending your submission:
 - a. delete this first page of instructions; and
 - b. if your submission contains any confidential information, please:
 - State this in the cover page or in the e-mail accompanying your submission and respond to questions 8,9 and 10 below explaining which parts should be withheld and why.
 - Indicate this on the front of your submission (e.g., the first page header may state "In Confidence"). Any confidential information should be clearly marked within the text of your submission.
 - Provide an alternative version of your submission with confidential information removed in both Word and as a PDF for publication by MBIE.
- 5. Submit your submission by:
 - a. emailing this form as both a Microsoft Word and PDF document to the MBIE secretariat at <u>energyhardshipMBIE@mbie.govt.nz</u>; or
 - b. posting your submission to: Energy Hardship Expert Panel c/- Energy Use team Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment 15 Stout Street PO Box 1473 Wellington 6140

Please direct any questions that you have in relation to the submissions process to <u>energyhardshipMBIE@mbie.govt.nz.</u>

Privacy statement

The information provided in your submission will be used to inform the Panel's final recommendations to government on energy hardship and related policy development, and will inform government agencies' advice to Ministers. Your submission will also become official information, which means it may be requested under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA). The OIA specifies that information is to be made available upon request unless there are sufficient grounds for withholding it.

Use and release of information

To support transparency in our decision-making, MBIE, as the secretariat for the Energy Hardship Expert Panel, proactively releases a wide range of information. MBIE will upload copies of all submissions to its website at <u>www.mbie.govt.nz</u>. Your name, and/or that of your organisation, will be published with your submission on the MBIE website unless you clearly specify you would like your submission to be published anonymously. Please tick the box provided if you would like your submission to be published anonymously i.e. without your name attached to it.

If you consider that we should not publish any part of your submission, please indicate which part should not be published, explain why you consider we should not publish that part, and provide a version of your submission that we can publish (if we agree not to publish your full submission). If you indicate that part of your submission should not be published, we will discuss with you before deciding whether to not publish that part of your submission.

We encourage you not to provide personally identifiable or sensitive information about yourself or others except if you feel it is required for the purposes of this consultation.

Personal information

All information you provide will be visible to Energy Hardship Expert Panel members and to the MBIE officials who are analysing the submissions and/or working on related policy matters, in line with the Privacy Act 2020. The Privacy Act 2020 includes principles that guide how personal information can be collected, used, stored and disclosed by agencies in New Zealand.

Contacting you about your submission

The Energy Hardship Expert Panel or MBIE officials may use the information you provide to contact you regarding your submission. By making a submission, MBIE will consider you to have consented to being contacted, unless you clearly specify otherwise in your submission.

Viewing or correcting your information

This information will be securely held by MBIE. Generally, MBIE keep public submission information for ten years. After that, it will be destroyed in line with MBIE's records retention and disposal policy. You have the right to ask for a copy of any personal information you provided in this submission, and to ask for it to be corrected if you think it is wrong. If you'd like to ask for a copy of your information, or to have it corrected, please contact the MBIE secretariat by emailing energyhardshipMBIE@mbie.govt.nz

Submission information

(Please note we require responses to all questions marked with an *)

| Perso | nal details and privacy |
|------------|--|
| Q1. | I have read and understand the Privacy Statement above. Please tick Yes if you wish |
| | to continue* |
| | [To check the boxes above: Double click on box, then select 'checked'] |
| | _ |
| | Yes |
| Q2. | What is your name?* |
| Q2. | Adam Currie |
| | |
| Q3. | Do you consent to your name being published with your submission?* |
| | |
| | Yes |
| | |
| | |
| Q4. | What is your email address? Please note this will not be published with your |
| | submission.* Privacy of natural persons |
| Q5. | Are you submitting as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?* |
| Q3. | Are you submitting as an individual of on benan of an organisation: |
| | |
| | |
| | □ Organisation |
| Q6. | If on behalf of an organisation, we require confirmation you are authorised to |
| | make a submission on behalf of this organisation. |
| | |
| | Yes, I am authorised to make a submission on behalf of my organisation |
| | |
| Q7. | If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, what is your organisation's |
| | name? Please note this will be published with your submission. |
| ••• | 350 Aotearoa |
| Q8. | If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, which of these best describes your organisation? Please tick one. |
| | |
| | 🗆 Iwi, hapū or Māori organisation |
| | |
| | Energy retailer |
| | Energy regulator |
| | |
| | Energy distributor |
| | □ Registered charity |
| | |
| | □ Non-governmental organisation |

| | □ Local Government |
|------|--|
| | Central Government |
| | □ Academic/Research |
| | □ Other. Please describe: |
| Q9. | I would like my submission or parts of my submission to be kept confidential.* |
| | □ Yes |
| | |
| Q10. | If you answered yes to Q9 above, please provide your reasons and grounds under <u>section 9 of the Official Information Act</u> that you believe apply, for consideration by MBIE. |
| | |
| Q11. | If you answered yes to Q9 above, please confirm you will provide publishable versions of your submission in both Word and in PDF by emailing them to the MBIE secretariat at energyhardshipMBIE@mbie.govt.nz - clearly labelling both "for publication" |
| | T Yee |
| | |
| | □ No |
| | |

Responses to questions

The Energy Hardship Expert Panel welcomes your feedback on as many sections as you wish to respond to, please note you do not need to answer every question.

Q12. Please tick those sections which you wish to provide feedback on:

□ HEALTH OF THE HOME KETE

- □ KNOWLEDGE NAVIGATION KETE
- □ ENERGY ACCESSIBILITY AND CHOICE KETE
- □ ENERGY AFFORDABILITY KETE
- □ CONSUMER PROTECTION KETE

HEALTH OF THE HOME KETE

Improving individual, house and whānau energy wellbeing through healthier homes

Challenge: A significant number of New Zealand homes require retrofit to bring them to a healthy standard of energy performance

Strategy HH2: Strengthen and expand Warmer Kiwi Homes (WKH) programme (measures, reach and funding) so more low-income New Zealanders are supported into energy wellbeing

 Q13.
 Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH1?

 □ Yes

 □ Somewhat

 □ No

 □ Don't know/Not sure

 Q14.

 Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.

 Q15.
 Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

 Challenge: The full benefits of energy efficiency improvements cannot be accessed unless a home is weathertight and reasonable quality

| Strategy HH2: Fund broader building repair and improvement work to support home retrofit programmes | |
|---|---|
| Q16. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH2? |
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |
| | □ Don't know/Not sure |
| Q17. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | We agree with this statement, but note that the government is failing to act with the boldness and speedness required. Everybody deserves to live in a warm, dry, insulated home, but EECA's Healthy Kiwi Homes programme provides very limited funding of \$2,000 per household for insulation and heat pump subsidization as opposed to the Kāinga Ora home refurbishment programme that remediates roofs, windows, insulation, kitchens, and heating at a cost of \$60,000 - \$120,000 per house. We note both the difference in budgets and the differences in thermal envelop outcomes and suggest that the WKH should increase funding. |
| | We also need to be extending the scheme more quickly to homes across Aotearoa. |
| Q18. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. |
| | Increased funding per home - and fund more homes. While low-incomes households should be prioritised, ultimately this funding should be extended to ensure that every home in Aotearoa is properly insulated and heated. This includes better advertising the Healthy Kiwi Homes programme. |
| | nge: Tenants are four to five times more likely to experience energy hardship than -occupiers |
| | gy HH3: Strengthen the monitoring, compliance and enforcement of the Healthy s Standards |
| Q19. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH3? |
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |

| _ | |
|------------------|---|
| | □ Don't know/Not sure |
| Q20. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | |
| Challe occupi | nge: Tenants are four to five times more likely to experience energy hardship than owner- ers |
| Strate | gy HH4: Strengthen advocacy and support services for tenants |
| Q21. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH4? |
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |
| | □ Don't know/Not sure |
| Q22. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | |
| Q23. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. |
| | |
| | nge: Energy efficient household appliances (e.g. whiteware, lighting, cooking) offer cant long-run cost savings but the higher purchase price often puts them out of reach |
| | gy HH5: Expand all energy-related MSD purchase assistance programmes for household nces to offer energy efficient choices |
| Q24. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH5? |
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |
| | □ Don't know/Not sure |

| Q25. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH5. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. |
|-------|---|
| | |
| Q26. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. |
| | |
| FINAL | QUESTION FOR HEALTH OF THE HOME: |
| Q27. | Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the HEALTH OF THE HOME KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline these below. |
| | |
| | |

KNOWLEDGE AND NAVITATION KETE

Supporting and empowering whānau energy decisions

Challenge: Stronger coordination and collaboration across providers of energy hardship programmes and support services is needed to improve effectiveness and coverage

Strategy KN1: Establish and fund a nation-wide "energy wellbeing sector network" to facilitate and support enhanced service integration and collaboration between local organisations and establish co-networks for Māori and Pacific practitioners

Q28. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN1?

□ Yes

□ Somewhat

🗆 No

□ Don't know/Not sure

Q29. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.

Q30. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

Challenge: There is a lack of widespread, easy access to trusted and informed community-based energy advisers, home assessors and service navigators

Strategy KN2: Strengthen and deliver energy wellbeing 'navigator' training (such as Home Performance Advisor), including Māori and Pacific energy wellbeing training wananga/programmes that are grounded in Te Ao Māori and Pacific worldviews

| Q31. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN2? |
|--------|---|
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |
| | □ Don't know/Not sure |
| Q32. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | |
| | nge: There is a lack of widespread, easy access to trusted and informed community-based v advisers, home assessors and service navigators |
| progra | gy KN3: Strengthen and extend MBIE's Support for Energy Education in Communities (SEEC) Imme, and ensure funding targeting and programme design recognise those groups over- ented in energy hardship such as Māori, Pacific peoples and tenants |
| Q33. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN3? |
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |
| | Don't know/Not sure |
| Q34. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | While there is a place for energy education, we are sceptical of placing the burden onto individuals. Usually, families of well aware of what measures reduce energy use, but the key burden is a lack of finances to insulate homes, purchase efficient appliances etc. Knowing that a heat pump is more cost effective than a radiant heater |

| | We need funding from the government to enable communities to be able to keep the lights, heaters, and life-saving devices on. We also need support for community-led energy projects, so that locals can have energy autonomy and make decisions at the level that impacts them. | |
|--------|---|--|
| Q35. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Challenge: Increased support is needed to boost energy literacy among tenants, landlords and homeowners | |
| on ene | gy KN4: Develop and deliver an Energy Wellbeing Education Strategy for targeted education ergy-saving practices, consumer protection rights, and how to access authoritative nation (including targeting for specific groups over-represented in energy hardship) | |
| Q36. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN4? | |
| | □ Yes | |
| | □ Somewhat | |
| | □ No | |
| | Don't know/Not sure | |
| Q37. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. | |
| | | |
| Challe | nge: Increased support is needed to boost energy literacy among tenants, landlords and | |
| | owners | |
| to-dat | gy KN5: Develop and maintain a comprehensive online portal as a "go-to" for accurate, up- e and complete information for tenants, landlords and homeowners to support improved v wellbeing, good energy choices, efficient energy use in the home and consumer protection | |
| Q38. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN5? | |
| | □ Yes | |
| | □ Somewhat | |
| | □ No | |
| | □ Don't know/Not sure | |

| Q39. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN5. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. |
|---|---|
| Q40. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. |
| | |
| | nge: Households can face challenges in accessing and understanding bill and pricing ation and options |
| | gy KN6: Simplify energy bills and information access, improve comparability across city tariff structures, and improve price comparison services |
| Q41. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN6? |
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |
| | □ Don't know/Not sure |
| Q42. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN6. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | |
| Q43. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. |
| | |
| FINAL QUESTION FOR KNOWLEDGE AND NAVITATION KETE: | |
| Q44. | Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the KNOWLEDGE AND NAVIGATION KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline these below. |
| | |

ENERGY ACCESSIBILITY AND CHOICE KETE

Improving individual, house and whānau energy wellbeing through healthier homes

Challenge: Credit issues can prevent individuals, households and whānau from having choice in an electricity supplier or switching suppliers

Strategy AC1: Develop mechanism(s) to ensure all residential consumers can obtain a post-pay electricity supply despite "adverse credit"

| Q45. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC1? |
|--------------|--|
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |
| | □ Don't know/Not sure |
| Q46. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | |
| Q47. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. |
| | |
| Challe | nge: Households struggling to pay their bills face disconnection |
| non-po | gy AC2: Develop mandatory rules for electricity retailers to follow before disconnecting for ayment so that disconnection becomes the last resort, including penalties e.g. for wrongful nection |
| Q48. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC2? |
| | |
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Yes □ Somewhat |
| | |
| | □ Somewhat |
| Q49. | □ Somewhat □ No |
| Q49. | Somewhat No Don't know/Not sure Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this |
| Q49. Q50. | Somewhat No Don't know/Not sure Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this |

| Challenge: Metering technology may constrain a household's access to energy supply and tariff choice | |
|--|--|
| Strategy AC3: Identify and address the barriers to completing smart meter roll-out, prioritising areas of low coverage, and requests from households in energy hardship | |
| Q51. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC3? |
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |
| | Don't know/Not sure |
| Q52. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | |
| Q53. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. |
| | |
| | nge: Rural and off-grid households or communities, and those living on communal or ral land, need additional support to build their energy access, resilience and sovereignty |
| capabi | gy AC4: Provide increased funding and support for community energy schemes and lity-building in rural communities to ensure rural and off-grid households and those on |
| | unal or ancestral lands (including Papakāinga) in energy hardship can access secure energy , linking with other energy programmes such as WKH and SEEC |
| Q54. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC4? |
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |
| | Don't know/Not sure |
| Q55. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | We strongly support distributed electricity generation. |

| Q56. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. | |
|---------|--|--|
| | nge: Individuals, households and whānau in energy hardship often have limited options in ng, and engaging with, an energy retailer | |
| supply | Strategy AC5: Explore ways to facilitate and support social retailing which can provide post-pay supply to those in energy hardship with low credit scores, deliver targeted wrap-around services, and provide tailored pricing and payment plans. Options may include one or more of: | |
| | ride support for accredited social retailers eg through an industry fund, social generation obligations or government funding | |
| b. Gov | ernment contracts one or more retailer(s) to act as a social retailer | |
| c. Gove | ernment support for community/regional integrated social generator-retailers | |
| d. Gov | ernment support for a nationwide integrated social generator-retailer | |
| Q57. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC5? | |
| | □ Yes | |
| | □ Somewhat | |
| | □ No | |
| | □ Don't know/Not sure | |
| Q58. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC5. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. | |
| | | |
| Q59. | Please share your comments on each of the social retailing options listed above. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with these options. | |
| | | |
| Q60. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. | |
| | | |
| Challe | nge: The energy transition presents new opportunities but risks leaving lower-socio- | |

economic whānau behind

Strategy AC6: Ensure those in energy hardship can access the benefits of, and do not face undue costs from, the transition to low emissions energy, including explicitly reflecting energy wellbeing requirements in Government's Equitable Transition Strategy, Energy Strategy and Gas Transition Plan

| Q61. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC6? |
|-------|---|
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |
| | □ Don't know/Not sure |
| Q62. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC6. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | We note that Aotearoa has had hundreds of thousands of people in energy poverty for decades. Currently it is estimated that at least 130,000 households are in energy poverty. Currently it is estimated that 1,600 people per annum die early deaths due to cold houses. This is not an equitable energy situation. |
| | The benefits and costs associated with the transition to a low-emissions economy will not be equitable unless this is specifically designed into the transition framework. Whilst we cannot anticipate every inequity, the goal of achieving an equitable transition needs to be strongly and prominently stated. Considerable work needs to be done to counter the existing inequity and then ensure that new inequities do not develop. |
| Q63. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. |
| | |
| FINAL | QUESTION FOR ENERGY ACCESSIBILITY AND CHOICE KETE: |
| Q64. | Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the ENERGY ACCESSIBILITY AND CHOICE KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline these below. |
| | |
| | |

ENERGY AFFORDABILITY KETE

Affording the energy whānau need for their wellbeing

Challenge: Low income is a major barrier for many whānau to afford the energy they need for wellbeing in their home

Strategy AF1: Prioritise lack of energy access as an emergency issue and implement nationally consistent processes and timeframes for responding to requests for assistance from customers in energy hardship/their advocate/retailer, and establish clear and direct lines of communications between MSD and those customers/their retailer/advocate

| Q65. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF1? |
|---|---|
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |
| | Don't know/Not sure |
| Q66. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | |
| | nge: Low income is a major barrier for many whānau to afford the energy they need for ing in their home |
| Strategy AF2: Provide extra Government financial support, needs-based and targeted at households in energy hardship, including those outside the existing beneficiary group. Possible mechanisms include better targeting of the Winter Energy Payment (WEP) eligibility criteria/funding levels, an energy-related income supplement, an energy bill rebate, and making a portion of energy-related grants non-recoverable | |
| Q67. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF2? |
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |
| | Don't know/Not sure |
| Q68. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | |
| | nge: Low income is a major barrier for many whānau to afford the energy they need for ing in their home |

| Strategy AF3: Ensure all fees and costs charged to energy consumers are cost-reflective and reasonable (including pre-pay, disconnections, reconnections, top-ups, bonds, metering) | | |
|---|---|--|
| Q69. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF3? | |
| | □ Yes | |
| | □ Somewhat | |
| | □ No | |
| | □ Don't know/Not sure | |
| Q70. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. | |
| | | |
| Q71. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. | |
| | | |
| self-di Strate | Challenge: Pre-pay accounts often impose significantly higher costs on those most in need and self-disconnection is hidden Strategy AF4: Review and monitor the use and pricing of pre-pay accounts to ensure they do not create or exacerbate disadvantage, including tracking and publishing self-disconnection (how | |
| | how often, for how long) and reviewing pre-pay terms and conditions, fees, wraparound | |
| Q72. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF4? | |
| | □ Yes | |
| | □ Somewhat | |
| | □ No | |
| | □ Don't know/Not sure | |
| Q72. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. | |
| | | |
| Q74. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. | |
| | | |

Challenge: Payment options may impact affordability and choice

Strategy AF5: Require retailers to include payment options that recognise the difficulty those in energy hardship face, e.g. cash payment, smooth pay, weekly or fortnightly billing/payment

| Q75. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF5? |
|--------|---|
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |
| | □ Don't know/Not sure |
| Q76. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF5. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | |
| Q77. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. |
| | |
| | |
| Challe | nge: Distribution pricing methodologies can impact affordability |
| | gy AF6: Investigate and address the implications of network pricing methodologies for hardship, particularly in high cost-to-serve areas |
| Q78. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF6? |
| | □ Yes |
| | □ Somewhat |
| | □ No |
| | □ Don't know/Not sure |
| Q79. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF6. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | |
| Q80. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. |
| | |

FINAL QUESTION FOR THE ENERGY AFFORDABILITY KETE:

| Q81. | Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the |
|------|---|
| | ENERGY AFFORDABILITY KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline these below. |
| | |

CONSUMER PROTECTION KETE

Protecting energy consumers in their relationships with providers

Challenge: The Electricity Authority's Consumer Care Guidelines (CCG) are voluntary and there is no regulatory penalty for not complying

Strategy CP1: Review and strengthen the Consumer Care Guidelines including expanding to include mandatory consumer care obligations on all electricity retailers

| Q82. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy CP1? | |
|--|---|--|
| | □ Yes | |
| | □ Somewhat | |
| | □ No | |
| | □ Don't know/Not sure | |
| Q83. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy CP1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy. | |
| | | |
| Challenge: The Electricity Authority's Consumer Care Guidelines (CCG) are voluntary and there is no regulatory penalty for not complying | | |
| | Strategy CP2: Strengthen monitoring, compliance and enforcement of the Consumer Care Guidelines, including a penalty and reporting regime for non-compliance | |
| Q84. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy CP2? | |
| | □ Yes | |
| | | |
| | □ Somewhat | |
| | □ Somewhat □ No | |

| Q85. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy CP2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy. | |
|---|---|--|
| | | |
| Q86. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. | |
| | nge: There is a lack of reporting and monitoring of key energy hardship information from city retailers | |
| Strategy CP3: Require electricity retailers to report key energy hardship indicators to the Electricity Authority for it to monitor and publish (e.g. number of customers refused supply, disconnection numbers/durations/reasons, customer debt levels, bonds, pre-pay, referrals to Income Support, retailers' alignment with Consumer Care Guidelines | | |
| Q87. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy CP3? | |
| | □ Yes | |
| | □ Somewhat | |
| | □ No | |
| | Don't know/Not sure | |
| Q88. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy CP3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. | |
| | | |
| Q89. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. | |
| | | |
| | Challenge: Other consumer protection regimes and dispute resolution schemes may be too narrow as new technologies and business models emerge | |
| Strategy CP4: Expand consumer protection and existing dispute resolution schemes to cover other forms of energy provider relationships taking an energy hardship lens e.g. solar power providers | | |
| Q90. | Do you broadly support the proposed strategy CP4? | |
| | □ Yes | |
| | □ Somewhat | |
| | □ No | |

| | □ Don't know/Not sure |
|-------|---|
| Q91. | Please share your comments on the proposed strategy CP4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy. |
| | |
| Q92. | Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below. |
| | |
| FINAL | QUESTION FOR THE CONSUMER PROTECTION KETE: |
| Q93. | Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the CONSUMER PROTECTION KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline these below. |
| | |

SUPPORTING ENVIRONMENT AND ANY FURTHER COMMENTS

The Panel has identified a number of supporting or enabling elements it considers are important for the landscape surrounding energy hardship initiatives, to ensure the proposed strategies can be implemented effectively and in a long-term sustainable manner.

These include:

- Data and insights
- Learning environment
- Leadership and coordination
- Participatory approach
- Collaborative service models
- Durable funding environment
- Targeting of solutions

Please see the Supporting Environment section of the Discussion Paper for more information.

Q95. Do you have any comments on the Supporting Environment section? Please share these below.

| Q96. | Do you have any other thoughts or comments you would like to make on the Expert Panel's Discussion Paper? If so, please share these below. |
|------|--|
| | We urge the government to reduce barriers to community energy projects, as well as invest in expanding the warmer kiwi homes programme. Right now, many of our communities are unable to keep the lights and devices on that we rely on to live. During Cyclone Garbielle, communities lost power - including folks with disabilities who rely on consistent electrocity for life-maintaining devices. |
| | We also advocate that this project places a greater emphasis on what can be done to support and enable community energy projects. |

Thank you

We appreciate you sharing your thoughts with us. Please find all instructions for how to return this form to us on the first page.

We will consider your submission as we work towards developing final recommendations for the government by 30 June 2023.