Submission information

Perso	nal details and privacy
Q1.	I have read and understand the Privacy Statement above. Please tick Yes if you wish
	to continue*
	[To check the boxes above: Double click on box, then select 'checked']
	Yes
	L No
Q2.	What is your name?*
	Kanan Hadasan Jaw Dafama Calandinatan
	Karen Hodgson – Law Reform Co-ordinator
Q3.	Do you consont to your name being nublished with your submission?*
QS.	Do you consent to your name being published with your submission?*
	🔀 Yes
	No
Q4.	What is your email address? Please note this will not be published with your
	submission.*
Q5.	Are you submitting as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?*
	Individual (skip to Q8)
	⊠ Organisation
Q6.	If on behalf of an organisation, we require confirmation you are authorised to
	make a submission on behalf of this organisation.
	ig X Yes, I am authorised to make a submission on behalf of my organisation
07	
Q7.	If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, what is your organisation's name? Please note this will be published with your submission.
	Community Law Centres o Aotearoa
Q8.	If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, which of these best describes
Q0.	your organisation? Please tick one.
	🗌 Iwi, hapū or Māori organisation
	Energy retailer
	Energy regulator

(Please note we require responses to all questions marked with an *)

	Energy distributor
	Registered charity
	Non-governmental organisation
	Local Government
	Central Government
	Academic/Research
	Other. Please describe:
Q9.	I would like my submission or parts of my submission to be kept confidential.*
	Yes
Q10.	No If you answered yes to Q9 above, please provide your reasons and grounds under <u>section 9 of the Official Information Act</u> that you believe apply, for consideration by MBIE.
Q11.	If you answered yes to Q9 above, please confirm you will provide publishable versions of your submission in both Word and in PDF by emailing them to the MBIE secretariat at energyhardshipMBIE@mbie.govt.nz - clearly labelling both "for publication"
	🖂 Yes
	No

Responses to questions

The Energy Hardship Expert Panel welcomes your feedback on as many sections as you wish to respond to, please note you do not need to answer every question.

Q12. Please tick those sections which you wish to provide feedback on:

HEALTH OF THE HOME KETE

KNOWLEDGE NAVIGATION KETE

ENERGY ACCESSIBILITY AND CHOICE KETE

ENERGY AFFORDABILITY KETE

CONSUMER PROTECTION KETE

HEALTH OF THE HOME KETE

Improving individual, house and whanau energy wellbeing through healthier homes

Challenge: A significant number of New Zealand homes require retrofit to bring them to a healthy standard of energy performance

Strategy HH2: Strengthen and expand Warmer Kiwi Homes (WKH) programme (measures, reach and funding) so more low-income New Zealanders are supported into energy wellbeing

Q13.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH1?
	🔀 Yes
	Somewhat
	No
	Don't know/Not sure
Q14.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.
Q15.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

	Challenge: The full benefits of energy efficiency improvements cannot be accessed unless a home is weathertight and reasonable quality	
	gy HH2: Fund broader building repair and improvement work to support home retrofit ammes	
Q16.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH2?	
	🔀 Yes	
	Somewhat	
	No	
	Don't know/Not sure	
Q17.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.	
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing additional to add.	
Q18.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.	
	nge: Tenants are four to five times more likely to experience energy hardship than -occupiers	
	gy HH3: Strengthen the monitoring, compliance and enforcement of the Healthy s Standards	
Q19.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH3?	
	🔀 Yes	
	Somewhat	
	No	
	Don't know/Not sure	
Q20.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.	

Overview of CLCA and CLCs

Community Law Centres o Aotearoa (**CLCA**) welcomes the opportunity to comment on the Energy Hardship Expert Panel's discussion document. CLCA is the national body that coordinates and advocates for the 24 Community Law Centres (**CLCs**) across Aotearoa. Our member CLCs work out of over 140 locations to provide free legal help to those who are unable to pay for a private lawyer and do not have access to legal aid. As well as around 240 staff, CLCs' services are supported by more than 1,200 volunteer lawyers who operate, or assist with, legal advice clinics and deliver free assistance.

Each year, CLCs provide free legal support to 47,000 clients and free law-related education to 30,000 people. In addition, free legal information is provided via the Community Law Manual (the digital version of which has 4,000 views per day on average) as well as to an estimated 200,000 people who contact CLCs directly. Te Ara Ture is the nationwide clearinghouse for pro bono legal services, and it is a division of CLCA. CLCs work with the lowest 20% of income earners and they provide free legal advice to many clients with energy hardship issues relating particularly to tenancy or consumer matters.

Energy is an essential service and access to it is an essential human need as recognised in the discussion document. We consider that given its links to adequate housing and health, access to energy should be treated as a human right. CLCA is broadly supportive of the proposed strategies in the discussion document. However, it is important to recognise that the fundamental problem appears to be that, despite being an essential service and a human need, energy is too expensive for many whānau/families. Energy retailers have a set methodology for pricing and consumers are essentially stuck with that pricing and the hardship associated with it.

CLCA supports the proposal to strengthen the monitoring, compliance and enforcement of Healthy Homes Standards. As the discussion paper highlights, there is a power imbalance between tenants and landlords and we often see tenants who are afraid of losing their tenancy if they complain about (among other things) healthy homes standards or maintenance issues. For this reason, we consider that external pressure - regulatory requirements on landlords and MBIE's compliance and enforcement powers - have a key role to play in mitigating this imbalance.

We have the following comments to make about this particular strategy:

- (a) The Tenancy Compliance and Investigations Team at MBIE should be given greater resource to continue its proactive and reactive activities. As stated in the report, there is currently limited resource for that team to deal with the investigations referred to it.
- (b) It appears to us that the amounts in schedule 1A of the Residential Tenancies Act are not substantial enough and may be seen by landlords as merely the cost of doing business. Our experience is that some landlords are not put off by these amounts. Coupled with greater proactive enforcement measures, this may shift this complacency.
- (c) We are concerned that flatmates who are not listed on the tenancy agreement do not have rights to make formal complaints about Healthy Homes Standards or maintenance issues (or other matters in the tenancy agreement). We have seen several situations where the head tenant is a friend of or related to the landlord and the other tenants are not listed, and are reliant on that head tenant to make complaints they are not minded to because of the relationship. We consider that there should be some avenue for flatmates to make formal complaints despite not being listed on the tenancy agreement, and that the removal of the 'head tenant' regime should be considered so that all tenants have equal rights under the Residential Tenancies Act.
- (d) Given that Healthy Homes Standards compliance is currently self-reported by landlords, we consider that mandatory reporting could be one way of increasing compliance. Thought should be given to a central register similar to bonds (or potentially the same register could be used) for Health Homes Standards compliance statements. The requirement to disclose may assist with increasing Healthy Homes Standards compliance levels and could be used for further proactive work by TCIT. This could also be accompanied by an infringement offence for non-registration.

Challenge: Tenants are four to five times more likely to experience energy hardship than owner- occupiers	
Strategy HH4: Strengthen advocacy and support services for tenants	
Q21.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH4?
	🔀 Yes
	Somewhat
	No
	Don't know/Not sure
Q22.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.
	We agree that strengthening the monitoring, compliance and enforcement of Healthy
	Homes Standards should be complemented by strengthening central and local
	tenancy advocacy and support services. In our view, it is important that funding goes
	to organisations that are already doing this work well such as the Tenants Advocacy
	Network, CLCs and others. Our experience is that the Tenancy Services 0800 number
	can be a good place for tenants to get information, but it cannot offer legal advice to
	suit tenants' specific situations.
	Community Law Wellington and Hutt Valley is an example of a CLC that provides
	specialist housing advice services out of its Wellington and Te Awa Kairangi locations.
	Each service is locally funded and offers face to face advice sessions with a lawyer who
	takes on case work as necessary, and an 0800 number. Other CLCs provide
	intermittent legal tenancy support, based on local need and this can be restricted by
	resourcing. A consistently-available free legal tenancy service could be funded by
	establishing a nationwide legal tenancy unit within CLCA to leverage off the
	infrastructure in place to deliver free legal services across Aotearoa and the expertise
	already available
Q23.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.
	We agree that a holistic approach to supporting tenants – connecting tenants through
	advocates with other support services and vice-versa - is a crucial aspect of this

	strategy., We also think that there is a role for MBIE to play in facilitating relationships
	between TCIT and local tenancy advocates, so that local trends can be identified, and
	appropriate cases picked up by TCIT. These relationships currently appear to be on an
	ad-hoc basis and often at the instigation of the advocacy service.
	nge: Energy efficient household appliances (e.g. whiteware, lighting, cooking) offer tant long-run cost savings but the higher purchase price often puts them out of reach
	gy HH5: Expand all energy-related MSD purchase assistance programmes for household nces to offer energy efficient choices
Q24.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH5?
	🖂 Yes
	Somewhat
	No
	Don't know/Not sure
Q25.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH5. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.
Q26.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.
FINAL	QUESTION FOR HEALTH OF THE HOME:
Q27.	Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the
	HEALTH OF THE HOME KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline these below.

KNOWLEDGE AND NAVITATION KETE

Supporting and empowering whanau energy decisions

Challenge: Stronger coordination and collaboration across providers of energy hardship programmes and support services is needed to improve effectiveness and coverage

Strategy KN1: Establish and fund a nation-wide "energy wellbeing sector network" to facilitate and support enhanced service integration and collaboration between local organisations and establish co-networks for Māori and Pacific practitioners

Q28.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN1?
	X Yes
	Somewhat
	No
	Don't know/Not sure
Q29.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.
Q30.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.
energy Strate Perfor	enge: There is a lack of widespread, easy access to trusted and informed community-based y advisers, home assessors and service navigators egy KN2: Strengthen and deliver energy wellbeing 'navigator' training (such as Home rmance Advisor), including Māori and Pacific energy wellbeing training nga/programmes that are grounded in Te Ao Māori and Pacific worldviews
Q31.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN2?
	🔀 Yes
	Somewhat
	No
	Don't know/Not sure
Q32.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.
Challenge: There is a lack of widespread, easy access to trusted and informed community-based energy advisers, home assessors and service navigators	
progra	gy KN3: Strengthen and extend MBIE's Support for Energy Education in Communities (SEEC) amme, and ensure funding targeting and programme design recognise those groups over- sented in energy hardship such as Māori, Pacific peoples and tenants

Q33.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN3?
	⊠ Yes
	Somewhat
	No
	Don't know/Not sure
Q34.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.
Q35.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.
homeo Strate on ene	nge: Increased support is needed to boost energy literacy among tenants, landlords and owners gy KN4: Develop and deliver an Energy Wellbeing Education Strategy for targeted education ergy-saving practices, consumer protection rights, and how to access authoritative mation (including targeting for specific groups over-represented in energy hardship)
Q36.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN4?
	🖂 Yes
	Somewhat
	No
	Don't know/Not sure
Q37.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and would add that CLCs currently have a strong free legal education component to their work. CLCs would be an appropriate forum (among others) for the delivery of some of this education; in particular, as it relates to consumer protection and tenancy rights.
	nge: Increased support is needed to boost energy literacy among tenants, landlords and owners

Strategy KN5: Develop and maintain a comprehensive online portal as a "go-to" for accurate, up- to-date and complete information for tenants, landlords and homeowners to support improved energy wellbeing, good energy choices, efficient energy use in the home and consumer protection rights	
Q38.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN5?
	⊠ Yes
	Somewhat
	No
	Don't know/Not sure
Q39.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN5. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and would add the Community Law Manual is a hardcopy and online source of free legal information across many areas including consumer protection and tenancy (Law Manual Online - Community Law). It is searchable and updated regularly. The digital version has 4,000 views per day on average. Links to the online Community Law Manual should be included on any online portal.
Q40.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.
	nge: Households can face challenges in accessing and understanding bill and pricing ation and options
	gy KN6: Simplify energy bills and information access, improve comparability across city tariff structures, and improve price comparison services
Q41.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN6?
	⊠ Yes
	Somewhat
	No
	Don't know/Not sure
Q42.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN6. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.

Q43.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.
FINAL	QUESTION FOR KNOWLEDGE AND NAVITATION KETE:
Q44.	Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the
	KNOWLEDGE AND NAVIGATION KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline these
	below.

ENERGY ACCESSIBILITY AND CHOICE KETE

Improving individual, house and whānau energy wellbeing through healthier homes

Challenge: Credit issues can prevent individuals, households and whānau from having choice in an electricity supplier or switching suppliers

Strategy AC1: Develop mechanism(s) to ensure all residential consumers can obtain a post-pay electricity supply despite "adverse credit"

Q45.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC1?
	⊠ Yes
	Somewhat
	No
	Don't know/Not sure
Q46.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and add that we see clients with issues relating to pre-pay, and we agree that other options should be developed. Given our view that access to energy should be treated as a human right, access should not be based on ability to pay and therefore credit issues should not be a factor in denying access.
Q47.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.
Challe	nge: Households struggling to pay their bills face disconnection
Strategy AC2: Develop mandatory rules for electricity retailers to follow before disconnecting for non-payment so that disconnection becomes the last resort, including penalties e.g. for wrongful disconnection	
Q48.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC2?

	⊠ Yes		
	Somewhat		
	No		
	Don't know/Not sure		
Q49.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.		
	We agree with the strategy that there should be mandatory rules for disconnection across retailers. Given our view that access to energy should be treated as a human right, access should not be based on ability to pay and non-payment should not be a factor in denying access either.		
Q50.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.		
	Challenge: Metering technology may constrain a household's access to energy supply and tariff choice		
	gy AC3: Identify and address the barriers to completing smart meter roll-out, prioritising of low coverage, and requests from households in energy hardship		
Q51.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC3?		
	⊠ Yes		
	Somewhat		
	No		
	Don't know/Not sure		
Q52.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.		
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.		
Q53.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.		

Challenge: Rural and off-grid households or communities, and those living on communal or ancestral land, need additional support to build their energy access, resilience and sovereignty

Strategy AC4: Provide increased funding and support for community energy schemes and capability-building in rural communities to ensure rural and off-grid households and those on communal or ancestral lands (including Papakāinga) in energy hardship can access secure energy supply, linking with other energy programmes such as WKH and SEEC

Q54.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC4?	
	🔀 Yes	
	Somewhat	
	No	
	Don't know/Not sure	
Q55.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.	
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.	
Q56.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.	
	nge: Individuals, households and whānau in energy hardship often have limited options in ing, and engaging with, an energy retailer	
supply	gy AC5: Explore ways to facilitate and support social retailing which can provide post-pay to those in energy hardship with low credit scores, deliver targeted wrap-around services, rovide tailored pricing and payment plans. Options may include one or more of:	
a. Provide support for accredited social retailers eg through an industry fund, social generation hedge obligations or government funding		
b. Gov	b. Government contracts one or more retailer(s) to act as a social retailer	
c. Gov	ernment support for community/regional integrated social generator-retailers	
d. Government support for a nationwide integrated social generator-retailer		
Q57.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC5?	
	X Yes	
	Somewhat	
	Νο	

	Don't know/Not sure	
Q58.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC5. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.	
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy give our comments earlier about the cost of energy to consumers, and the need for access to energy to be treated as a human right. We therefore urge the development of these options.	
Q59.	Please share your comments on each of the social retailing options listed above. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with these options.	
Q60.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.	
	Challenge: The energy transition presents new opportunities but risks leaving lower-socio- economic whānau behind	
costs f	gy AC6: Ensure those in energy hardship can access the benefits of, and do not face undue from, the transition to low emissions energy, including explicitly reflecting energy wellbeing ements in Government's Equitable Transition Strategy, Energy Strategy and Gas Transition	
Q61.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC6?	
	⊠ Yes	
	Somewhat	
	No	
	Don't know/Not sure	
Q62.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC6. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.	
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.	
Q63.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.	
FINIAL	QUESTION FOR ENERGY ACCESSIBILITY AND CHOICE KETE:	

Q64.	Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the
	ENERGY ACCESSIBILITY AND CHOICE KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline
	these below.

ENERGY AFFORDABILITY KETE

Affording the energy whānau need for their wellbeing

Challenge: Low income is a major barrier for many whānau to afford the energy they need for wellbeing in their home

Strategy AF1: Prioritise lack of energy access as an emergency issue and implement nationally consistent processes and timeframes for responding to requests for assistance from customers in energy hardship/their advocate/retailer, and establish clear and direct lines of communications between MSD and those customers/their retailer/advocate

Q65.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF1?
	⊠ Yes
	Somewhat
	No
	Don't know/Not sure
Q66.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and proposes that it is prioritised as an emergency
	and human rights issue.
	nge: Low income is a major barrier for many whānau to afford the energy they need for ing in their home
wellbe Strate house mecha criteri	nge: Low income is a major barrier for many whānau to afford the energy they need for
wellbe Strate house mecha criteri	nge: Low income is a major barrier for many whānau to afford the energy they need for ing in their home gy AF2: Provide extra Government financial support, needs-based and targeted at holds in energy hardship, including those outside the existing beneficiary group. Possible nisms include better targeting of the Winter Energy Payment (WEP) eligibility n/funding levels, an energy-related income supplement, an energy bill rebate, and making
wellbe Strate, housel mecha criteria a porti	nge: Low income is a major barrier for many whānau to afford the energy they need for ing in their home gy AF2: Provide extra Government financial support, needs-based and targeted at holds in energy hardship, including those outside the existing beneficiary group. Possible nisms include better targeting of the Winter Energy Payment (WEP) eligibility h/funding levels, an energy-related income supplement, an energy bill rebate, and making on of energy-related grants non-recoverable
wellbe Strate, housel mecha criteria a porti	nge: Low income is a major barrier for many whānau to afford the energy they need for ing in their home gy AF2: Provide extra Government financial support, needs-based and targeted at holds in energy hardship, including those outside the existing beneficiary group. Possible nisms include better targeting of the Winter Energy Payment (WEP) eligibility a/funding levels, an energy-related income supplement, an energy bill rebate, and making on of energy-related grants non-recoverable Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF2?

	Don't know/Not sure	
Q68.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.	
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.	
	nge: Low income is a major barrier for many whānau to afford the energy they need for ing in their home	
	Strategy AF3: Ensure all fees and costs charged to energy consumers are cost-reflective and reasonable (including pre-pay, disconnections, reconnections, top-ups, bonds, metering)	
Q69.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF3?	
	🔀 Yes	
	Somewhat	
	Νο	
	Don't know/Not sure	
Q70.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.	
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.	
Q71.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.	
	Challenge: Pre-pay accounts often impose significantly higher costs on those most in need and self-disconnection is hidden	
create many,	Strategy AF4: Review and monitor the use and pricing of pre-pay accounts to ensure they do not create or exacerbate disadvantage, including tracking and publishing self-disconnection (how many, how often, for how long) and reviewing pre-pay terms and conditions, fees, wraparound support	
Q72.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF4?	
	🔀 Yes	
	Somewhat	
	No	
	Don't know/Not sure	

Q72.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.	
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.	
Q74.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.	
Challe	nge: Payment options may impact affordability and choice	
	gy AF5: Require retailers to include payment options that recognise the difficulty those in / hardship face, e.g. cash payment, smooth pay, weekly or fortnightly billing/payment	
Q75.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF5?	
	🔀 Yes	
	Somewhat	
	No	
	Don't know/Not sure	
Q76.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF5. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.	
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.	
Q77.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.	
Challe	nge: Distribution pricing methodologies can impact affordability	
	Strategy AF6: Investigate and address the implications of network pricing methodologies for energy hardship, particularly in high cost-to-serve areas	
Q78.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF6?	
	🔀 Yes	
	Somewhat	
	No	
	Don't know/Not sure	

Q79.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF6. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy given our earlier comments about the cost of energy to consumers.
Q80.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.
FINAL	QUESTION FOR THE ENERGY AFFORDABILITY KETE:
Q81.	Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the ENERGY AFFORDABILITY KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline these below.

CONSUMER PROTECTION KETE

Protecting energy consumers in their relationships with providers

Challenge: The Electricity Authority's Consumer Care Guidelines (CCG) are voluntary and there is no regulatory penalty for not complying

Strategy CP1: Review and strengthen the Consumer Care Guidelines including expanding to include mandatory consumer care obligations on all electricity retailers

Q82.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy CP1?
	🔀 Yes
	Somewhat
	No
	Don't know/Not sure
Q83.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy CP1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.
	nge: The Electricity Authority's Consumer Care Guidelines (CCG) are voluntary and there is ulatory penalty for not complying
	gy CP2: Strengthen monitoring, compliance and enforcement of the Consumer Care ines, including a penalty and reporting regime for non-compliance
Q84.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy CP2?
	🔀 Yes

	Somewhat
	No
	Don't know/Not sure
Q85.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy CP2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.
Q86.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.
	nge: There is a lack of reporting and monitoring of key energy hardship information from city retailers
Strategy CP3: Require electricity retailers to report key energy hardship indicators to the Electricity Authority for it to monitor and publish (e.g. number of customers refused supply, disconnection numbers/durations/reasons, customer debt levels, bonds, pre-pay, referrals to Income Support, retailers' alignment with Consumer Care Guidelines	
Q87.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy CP3?
	🔀 Yes
	Somewhat
	Νο
	Don't know/Not sure
Q88.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy CP3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.
Q89.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.
Challenge: Other consumer protection regimes and dispute resolution schemes may be too narrow as new technologies and business models emerge	
	gy CP4: Expand consumer protection and existing dispute resolution schemes to cover forms of energy provider relationships taking an energy hardship lens e.g. solar power ers

Q90.	Do you broadly support the proposed strategy CP4?
	⊠ Yes
	Somewhat
	No
	Don't know/Not sure
Q91.	Please share your comments on the proposed strategy CP4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.
	CLCA broadly supports the strategy and has nothing to add.
Q92.	Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.
FINAL	QUESTION FOR THE CONSUMER PROTECTION KETE:
Q93.	Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the CONSUMER PROTECTION KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline these below.

SUPPORTING ENVIRONMENT AND ANY FURTHER COMMENTS

The Panel has identified a number of supporting or enabling elements it considers are important for the landscape surrounding energy hardship initiatives, to ensure the proposed strategies can be implemented effectively and in a long-term sustainable manner.

These include:

- Data and insights
- Learning environment
- Leadership and coordination
- Participatory approach
- Collaborative service models
- Durable funding environment
- Targeting of solutions

Please see the Supporting Environment section of the Discussion Paper for more information.

Q95.	Do you have any comments on the Supporting Environment section? Please share
	these below.

Q96. Do you have any other thoughts or comments you would like to make on the Expert Panel's Discussion Paper? If so, please share these below.

Thank you

We appreciate you sharing your thoughts with us. Please find all instructions for how to return this form to us on the first page.

We will consider your submission as we work towards developing final recommendations for the government by 30 June 2023.