

Submission information

(Please note we require responses to all questions marked with an *)

Personal details and privacy	
Q1.	I have read and understand the Privacy Statement above. Please tick Yes if you wish to continue* [To check the boxes above: Double click on box, then select 'checked'] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Q2.	What is your name?* Andrew Handerson
Q3.	Do you consent to your name being published with your submission?* <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Q4.	What is your email address? Please note this will not be published with your submission.* Privacy of natural persons
Q5.	Are you submitting as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?* <input type="checkbox"/> Individual (skip to Q8) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Organisation
Q6.	If on behalf of an organisation, we require confirmation you are authorised to make a submission on behalf of this organisation. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, I am authorised to make a submission on behalf of my organisation
Q7.	If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, what is your organisation's name? Please note this will be published with your submission. Dunedin Budget Advisory Service Inc.
Q8.	If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, which of these best describes your organisation? Please tick one. <input type="checkbox"/> Iwi, hapū or Māori organisation <input type="checkbox"/> Energy retailer <input type="checkbox"/> Energy regulator <input type="checkbox"/> Energy distributor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered charity

Non-governmental organisation

Local Government

Central Government

Academic/Research

Other. Please describe:

Q9. I would like my submission or parts of my submission to be kept confidential.*

Yes

No

Q10. If you answered yes to Q9 above, please provide your reasons and grounds under section 9 of the Official Information Act that you believe apply, for consideration by MBIE.

Q11. If you answered yes to Q9 above, please confirm you will provide publishable versions of your submission in both Word and in PDF by emailing them to the MBIE secretariat at energyhardshipMBIE@mbie.govt.nz - clearly labelling both "for publication"

Yes

No

NA

Responses to questions

The Energy Hardship Expert Panel welcomes your feedback on as many sections as you wish to respond to, please note you do not need to answer every question.

Q12. Please tick those sections which you wish to provide feedback on:

- HEALTH OF THE HOME KETE
- KNOWLEDGE NAVIGATION KETE
- ENERGY ACCESSIBILITY AND CHOICE KETE
- ENERGY AFFORDABILITY KETE
- CONSUMER PROTECTION KETE

HEALTH OF THE HOME KETE

Improving individual, house and whānau energy wellbeing through healthier homes

Challenge: A significant number of New Zealand homes require retrofit to bring them to a healthy standard of energy performance

Strategy HH2: Strengthen and expand Warmer Kiwi Homes (WKH) programme (measures, reach and funding) so more low-income New Zealanders are supported into energy wellbeing

Q13. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH1?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q14. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.

Q15. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

Challenge: The full benefits of energy efficiency improvements cannot be accessed unless a home is weathertight and reasonable quality

Strategy HH2: Fund broader building repair and improvement work to support home retrofit programmes

Q16. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH2?

Yes

Somewhat

No

Don't know/Not sure

Q17. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.

Q18. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

Challenge: Tenants are four to five times more likely to experience energy hardship than owner-occupiers

Strategy HH3: Strengthen the monitoring, compliance and enforcement of the Healthy Homes Standards

Q19. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH3?

Yes

Somewhat

No

Don't know/Not sure

Q20. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

Challenge: Tenants are four to five times more likely to experience energy hardship than owner-occupiers

Strategy HH4: Strengthen advocacy and support services for tenants

Q21. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH4?

Yes

Somewhat

No

Don't know/Not sure

Q22. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

GIVE tenants an equal voice with landlords. Resource Tenancy Services to investigate poor landlord behaviour.

Q23. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

-

Challenge: Energy efficient household appliances (e.g. whiteware, lighting, cooking) offer important long-run cost savings but the higher purchase price often puts them out of reach

Strategy HH5: Expand all energy-related MSD purchase assistance programmes for household appliances to offer energy efficient choices

Q24. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy HH5?

Yes

Somewhat

No

Don't know/Not sure

Q25. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy HH5. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

makes sense, but could create more unaffordable Government debt to beneficiaries.

Q26. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

-

FINAL QUESTION FOR HEALTH OF THE HOME:

Q27. Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the HEALTH OF THE HOME KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline these below.

KNOWLEDGE AND NAVIGATION KETE

Supporting and empowering whānau energy decisions

Challenge: Stronger coordination and collaboration across providers of energy hardship programmes and support services is needed to improve effectiveness and coverage

Strategy KN1: Establish and fund a nation-wide "energy wellbeing sector network" to facilitate and support enhanced service integration and collaboration between local organisations and establish co-networks for Māori and Pacific practitioners

Q28. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN1?

Yes

Somewhat

No

Don't know/Not sure

Q29. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.

ERANZ established a scheme during covid 2020 to support members customers - Do the same thing again?

Q30. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

Challenge: There is a lack of widespread, easy access to trusted and informed community-based energy advisers, home assessors and service navigators

Strategy KN2: Strengthen and deliver energy wellbeing 'navigator' training (such as Home Performance Advisor), including Māori and Pacific energy wellbeing training wananga/programmes that are grounded in Te Ao Māori and Pacific worldviews

Q31. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN2?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q32. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.

Tenants as well as home owners would be welcomed by us.

Challenge: There is a lack of widespread, easy access to trusted and informed community-based energy advisers, home assessors and service navigators

Strategy KN3: Strengthen and extend MBE's Support for Energy Education in Communities (SEEC) programme, and ensure funding targeting and programme design recognise those groups over-represented in energy hardship such as Māori, Pacific peoples and tenants

Q33. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN3?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q34. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

Q35. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

Challenge: Increased support is needed to boost energy literacy among tenants, landlords and homeowners

Strategy KN4: Develop and deliver an Energy Wellbeing Education Strategy for targeted education on energy-saving practices, consumer protection rights, and how to access authoritative information (including targeting for specific groups over-represented in energy hardship)

Q36. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN4?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q37. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

Challenge: Increased support is needed to boost energy literacy among tenants, landlords and homeowners

Strategy KN5: Develop and maintain a comprehensive online portal as a "go-to" for accurate, up-to-date and complete information for tenants, landlords and homeowners to support improved energy wellbeing, good energy choices, efficient energy use in the home and consumer protection rights

Q38. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN5?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q39. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN5. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

Most energy companies already do this.

Q40. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

Challenge: Households can face challenges in accessing and understanding bill and pricing information and options

Strategy KN6: Simplify energy bills and information access, improve comparability across electricity tariff structures, and improve price comparison services

Q41. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy KN6?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q42. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy KN6. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

Absolutely, make it so consumers can compare apples with apples. PowerSwitch is too difficult to understand.

Q43. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

FINAL QUESTION FOR KNOWLEDGE AND NAVIGATION KETE:

Q44. Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the KNOWLEDGE AND NAVIGATION KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline these below.

ENERGY ACCESSIBILITY AND CHOICE KETE

Improving individual, house and whānau energy wellbeing through healthier homes

Challenge: Credit issues can prevent individuals, households and whānau from having choice in an electricity supplier or switching suppliers

Strategy AC1: Develop mechanism(s) to ensure all residential consumers can obtain a post-pay electricity supply despite "adverse credit"

Q45. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC1?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q46. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.

Access to Energy is a basic human right.

Q47. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

Challenge: Households struggling to pay their bills face disconnection

Strategy AC2: Develop mandatory rules for electricity retailers to follow before disconnecting for non-payment so that disconnection becomes the last resort, including penalties e.g. for wrongful disconnection

Q48. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC2?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q49. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.

Disconnection should not cost consumers extra fees in the age of smart meters.

Q50. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

Challenge: Metering technology may constrain a household's access to energy supply and tariff choice

Strategy AC3: Identify and address the barriers to completing smart meter roll-out, prioritising areas of low coverage, and requests from households in energy hardship

Q51. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC3?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q52. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

Q53. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

Challenge: Rural and off-grid households or communities, and those living on communal or ancestral land, need additional support to build their energy access, resilience and sovereignty

Strategy AC4: Provide increased funding and support for community energy schemes and capability-building in rural communities to ensure rural and off-grid households and those on communal or ancestral lands (including Papakāinga) in energy hardship can access secure energy supply, linking with other energy programmes such as WKH and SEEC

Q54. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC4?

Yes

Somewhat

No

Don't know/Not sure

Q55. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

- We have no experience in this area.

Q56. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

Challenge: Individuals, households and whānau in energy hardship often have limited options in choosing, and engaging with, an energy retailer

Strategy AC5: Explore ways to facilitate and support social retailing which can provide post-pay supply to those in energy hardship with low credit scores, deliver targeted wrap-around services, and provide tailored pricing and payment plans. Options may include one or more of:

a. Provide support for accredited social retailers eg through an industry fund, social generation hedge obligations or government funding

b. Government contracts one or more retailer(s) to act as a social retailer

c. Government support for community/regional integrated social generator-retailers

d. Government support for a nationwide integrated social generator-retailer

Q57. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC5?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q58. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC5. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

We definitely support this idea.

Q59. Please share your comments on each of the social retailing options listed above. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with these options.

Social Retailers make the most sense in an unfortunately capitalist environment.

Q60. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

Challenge: The energy transition presents new opportunities but risks leaving lower-socio-economic whānau behind

Strategy AC6: Ensure those in energy hardship can access the benefits of, and do not face undue costs from, the transition to low emissions energy, including explicitly reflecting energy wellbeing requirements in Government's Equitable Transition Strategy, Energy Strategy and Gas Transition Plan

Q61. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AC6?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q62. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AC6. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

Q63. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

FINAL QUESTION FOR ENERGY ACCESSIBILITY AND CHOICE KETE:

Q64. Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the ENERGY ACCESSIBILITY AND CHOICE KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline these below.

ENERGY AFFORDABILITY KETE

Affording the energy whānau need for their wellbeing

Challenge: Low income is a major barrier for many whānau to afford the energy they need for wellbeing in their home

Strategy AF1: Prioritise lack of energy access as an emergency issue and implement nationally consistent processes and timeframes for responding to requests for assistance from customers in energy hardship/their advocate/retailer, and establish clear and direct lines of communications between MSD and those customers/their retailer/advocate

Q65. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF1?

Yes

Somewhat

No

Don't know/Not sure

Q66. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.

We have worked closely with the Mercury Energy Community team & had good results.

Challenge: Low income is a major barrier for many whānau to afford the energy they need for wellbeing in their home

Strategy AF2: Provide extra Government financial support, needs-based and targeted at households in energy hardship, including those outside the existing beneficiary group. Possible mechanisms include better targeting of the Winter Energy Payment (WEP) eligibility

criteria/funding levels, an energy-related income supplement, an energy bill rebate, and making a portion of energy-related grants non-recoverable

Q67. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF2?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q68. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.

Tie the Winter Energy Payment from MSD to only include people receiving the W&I Accommodation Supplement, or live in Social Housing.

Challenge: Low income is a major barrier for many whānau to afford the energy they need for wellbeing in their home

Strategy AF3: Ensure all fees and costs charged to energy consumers are cost-reflective and reasonable (including pre-pay, disconnections, reconnections, top-ups, bonds, metering)

Q69. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF3?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Also include recipients of Student Allowance & Study Link.

Q70. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

Part of the Fair Trading Act already.

Q71. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

—

Challenge: Pre-pay accounts often impose significantly higher costs on those most in need and self-disconnection is hidden

Strategy AF4: Review and monitor the use and pricing of pre-pay accounts to ensure they do not create or exacerbate disadvantage, including tracking and publishing self-disconnection (how

many, how often, for how long) and reviewing pre-pay terms and conditions, fees, wraparound support

Q72. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF4?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q72. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

This is super important. Pre-pay retailers need to be much more transparent.

Q74. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

-

Challenge: Payment options may impact affordability and choice

Strategy AF5: Require retailers to include payment options that recognise the difficulty those in energy hardship face, e.g. cash payment, smooth pay, weekly or fortnightly billing/payment

Q75. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF5?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q76. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF5. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

-

Q77. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

-

Challenge: Distribution pricing methodologies can impact affordability

Strategy AF6: Investigate and address the implications of network pricing methodologies for energy hardship, particularly in high cost-to-serve areas

Q78. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy AF6?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q79. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy AF6. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

In Dunedin, a significant under investment by our lines company has led to a jump in line costs to consumers. The shareholders are still happy

Q80. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

FINAL QUESTION FOR THE ENERGY AFFORDABILITY KETE:

Q81. Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the ENERGY AFFORDABILITY KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline these below.

Rewind... The sell off by Govt of Social Housing, the sell off of electricity companies has led us to this inequity gap.

CONSUMER PROTECTION KETE

Protecting energy consumers in their relationships with providers

Challenge: The Electricity Authority's Consumer Care Guidelines (CCG) are voluntary and there is no regulatory penalty for not complying

Strategy CP1: Review and strengthen the Consumer Care Guidelines including expanding to include mandatory consumer care obligations on all electricity retailers

Q82. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy CP1?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q83. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy CP1. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.

Self Regulation does not work.

Challenge: The Electricity Authority's Consumer Care Guidelines (CCG) are voluntary and there is no regulatory penalty for not complying

Strategy CP2: Strengthen monitoring, compliance and enforcement of the Consumer Care Guidelines, including a penalty and reporting regime for non-compliance

Q84. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy CP2?

Yes

Somewhat

No

Don't know/Not sure

Q85. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy CP2. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks or limitations associated with this strategy.

As above.

Q86. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

Challenge: There is a lack of reporting and monitoring of key energy hardship information from electricity retailers

Strategy CP3: Require electricity retailers to report key energy hardship indicators to the Electricity Authority for it to monitor and publish (e.g. number of customers refused supply, disconnection numbers/durations/reasons, customer debt levels, bonds, pre-pay, referrals to Income Support, retailers' alignment with Consumer Care Guidelines)

Q87. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy CP3?

Yes

Somewhat

No

Don't know/Not sure

Q88. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy CP3. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.

Also include credit ratings of customers?
Poor credit ratings are not only caused by energy hardship.
Q89. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.
Make Positive Credit Reporting mandatory for energy companies - helps the consumer restore their credit file & is an incentive to pay on time.

Challenge: Other consumer protection regimes and dispute resolution schemes may be too narrow as new technologies and business models emerge

Strategy CP4: Expand consumer protection and existing dispute resolution schemes to cover other forms of energy provider relationships taking an energy hardship lens e.g. solar power providers

Q90. Do you broadly support the proposed strategy CP4?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Don't know/Not sure

Q91. Please share your comments on the proposed strategy CP4. For example, you could include your thoughts on any benefits, costs, risks, limitations associated with this strategy.
consider hardship as is mandated for finance companies to consider?

Q92. Do you have any alternative suggestions on how to address the challenge explained above? If so, please share these below.

FINAL QUESTION FOR THE CONSUMER PROTECTION KETE:

Q93. Are there any other key challenges and/or corresponding solutions relating to the CONSUMER PROTECTION KETE that we have missed? If so, please outline these below.

SUPPORTING ENVIRONMENT AND ANY FURTHER COMMENTS

The Panel has identified a number of supporting or enabling elements it considers are important for the landscape surrounding energy hardship initiatives, to ensure the proposed strategies can be implemented effectively and in a long-term sustainable manner.

These include:

- *Data and insights*
- *Learning environment*
- *Leadership and coordination*
- *Participatory approach*
- *Collaborative service models*
- *Durable funding environment*
- *Targeting of solutions*

Please see the Supporting Environment section of the Discussion Paper for more information.

Q95. Do you have any comments on the Supporting Environment section? Please share these below.

—

Q96. Do you have any other thoughts or comments you would like to make on the Expert Panel's Discussion Paper? If so, please share these below.

—

Thank you

We appreciate you sharing your thoughts with us. Please find all instructions for how to return this form to us on the first page.

We will consider your submission as we work towards developing final recommendations for the government by 30 June 2023.