



BRIEFING

Advice on proposed reductions to the New Zealand Trade and Enterprise budget

Date:	16 February 2024		Priority:	High	igh		
Security classification:	Budget - Sensitive		Tracking number:	2324-2136			
Action sought							
		Action sough	ht		Deadline		
Hon David Seymour Associate Minister for Finance		Note the advice in this briefing; Agree to forward the briefing to the Minister for Trade and the Minister of Economic Development for their information.		ter of	19 February 2024		
	phone discussio	n (if required)	I= ama				
Name	Position		Telephone			1st contac	
Dean Ford General Mang Privacy of natural ersons Manager, Ente		ger	Privacy of natural persons			✓	
		erprise Policy	percent				
The following d	lepartments/ager	ncies have bee	n consulted				
Minister's office to complete:		☐ Approved		☐ Declined			
		Noted		☐ Needs change			
		Seen		Overtaken by Events			
		☐ See Minister's Notes		Withdrawn			
Comments							



BRIEFING

Advice on proposed reductions to the New Zealand Trade and Enterprise budget

Date:	16 February 2024	Priority:	High
Security classification:	Budget - Sensitive	Tracking number:	2324-2136

Purpose

To provide further advice on the proposed reductions to the New Zealand Trade and Enterprise (NZTE) budget as part of Budget 2024's Initial Baseline Exercise for the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment.

Recommended action

The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment recommends that you:

a **Note** the advice in this briefing;

Noted

b **Agree** to forward this briefing to the Minister for Trade and the Minister of Economic Development for their information.

Agree / Disagree

Dean Ford

General Manager, Economic Development and Transitions Branch

Labour, Science and Enterprise, MBIE

..... / /

Hon David Seymour

Associate Minister of Finance

..... / /

Bac	kgı	rou	ınd

1.	You have asked for advice in your capacity as Associate Minister for Finance with delegated
	responsibility for the Initial Baseline Exercise for the Ministry of Business, Innovation and
	Employment to enable you to respond to a 15 February 2024 letter from Hon McClay
	regarding NZ the proposed budget reductions for NZTE.

Confidential advice to Government				

Impact on trade portfolio priorities

5. NZTE will have a key role in achieving the Government's target to double the value of New Zealand's exports. Hon McClay is concerned that the reductions sought to NZTE's budget will significantly impact on export growth. Nonetheless, looking across Cabinet the Government has an ambitious legislative and wider work programme to rebuild the economy. MBIE sees these reforms as being crucial for the doubling of exports.

The International Growth Fund

Direct Economic Impact

- 6. NZTE uses a measure called 'Direct Economic Impact" (DEI) (predicted, and later realised) to prioritise projects for co-investment. To be eligible for consideration NZTE requires projects to have a minimum projected DEI of \$4 for every \$1 of government support. DEI captures three factors:
 - increase in firm revenue
 - jobs created within the firm in New Zealand, and
 - spend on New Zealand suppliers.
- 7. DEI is a useful operational tool for allocation of funding, but has some limitations as an evaluative tool, including:
 - o DEI does not robustly consider additionality, as it relies on subjective assessment as to whether a project will go ahead without IGF support. Similarly, there is no clear way of verifying information supplied by firms, with the risk that benefits are overstated to increase the chances of an IGF grant being approved.
 - DEI cannot account for economy-wide labour shortages or supply chain constraints, meaning some portion of employment or supplier spend will represent reallocation across firms rather than an increase in aggregate economic activity.

Data improvements are key to effective evaluation of the IGF

- 8. MBIE does not consider that the 2023 Internationalisation Support Review (ISR) conducted a robust evaluation of the IGF. This is because ISR had significant constraints and limitations on its work (including data limitations). We also understand that the scope of the independent assessment of the DEI metric undertaken by PwC referred to in Minister McClay's letter was to validate the excel calculation formula, and not the methodology itself.
- 9. Looking ahead, improving NZTE's processes around the collection, analysis and sharing of firm-level data is the key path forward to allow more robust and sophisticated measurement and evaluation of IGF grants.
- 10. In 2023 Ministers directed NZTE, MBIE, and Statistics New Zealand to improve the capture and analysis of firm-level data, particularly to include robust business support data in the Longitudinal Business Database (LBD).
- 11. The data limitations identified through the ISR are likely to impact on the ability to robustly evaluate the IGF at this time. However, strengthening data collection, with a particular emphasis on inclusion of robust data in the LBD will be helpful when replenishment of the existing IGF Multi-Year Appropriation is sought in FY 27/28.