## Submitter information

The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) would appreciate if you would provide some information about yourself. If you choose to provide information in the "About you" section below it will be used to help MBIE understand the impact of our proposals on different occupational groups. Any information you provide will be stored securely.

A.	About you	
Name	:	
rivacy o	f natural persons	
Email	address:	
rivacy o	f natural persons	
В.	Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we x Yes	e have questions about your submission? No
C.	Are you making this submission on behalf o $\hfill\Box$ Yes	f a business or organisation? x No
	If yes, please tell us the title of your company/or	ganisation:
D.	The best way to describe your role is:  ☐ Academic/researcher below)	☐ Independent expert (please specify
	<ul><li>☐ Consultant (please specify below)</li><li>☐ Tradesperson (please specify below)</li></ul>	☐ Business owner (please specify below) ☐ Student (please specify below)
	☐ Industry group (please specify below) ☐ Industry participant (please specify below)	x Other (please specify below)  ☐ Prefer not to say
	Please specify here:	
Concei	rned citizen (& retired medical practitioner).	

## A. Privacy information X The Privacy Act 2020 applies to submissions. Please check the box if you do not wish your name or other personal information to be included in any information about submissions that MBIE may publish. MBIE may upload submissions, or a summary of submissions, received to MBIE's website at <a href="www.mbie.govt.nz">www.mbie.govt.nz</a>. If you do not want your submission or a summary of your submission to be placed on our website, please check the box and type an explanation below: I do not want my submission placed on MBIE's website because... [insert reasoning here] B. Confidential information I would like my submission (or identifiable parts of my submission) to be kept confidential and have stated my reasons and ground under section 9 of the Official Information Act that I believe apply, for consideration by MBIE.

## A Minerals Strategy for New Zealand to 2040

confidential.

MBIE is developing a *Minerals Strategy for New Zealand to 2040* to enable us to take a long-term, strategic approach to how we develop our mineral resources. This does not include petroleum which already has an advanced regulatory regime.

If you have checked this box, please tell us what parts of your submission are to be kept

Minerals play an essential role in New Zealand's economic growth through high-paying jobs, Crown royalties, direct positive impact in the regions where mining takes place, and through export revenues. Minerals are also critical inputs into products that are necessary for other sectors to thrive, including the use of aggregates in construction and infrastructure. Minerals will continue to play a major role in New Zealand's export-led economic growth and contribute to our economic functions, but the minerals sector faces some risks and challenges. These include lack of complete understanding about our minerals ecosystem, supply risks, social license, and a regulatory system that needs to be improved to enable investments. These challenges require a long-term strategic approach to ensure that resource development for our economic prosperity happens in a responsible manner. Developing a minerals strategy is a fundamental first step in ensuring that we have a strategic framework for resource production. The Minerals Strategy Discussion Document seeks feedback on the context and design of the strategy. It discusses key strategic issues, challenges and opportunities facing the minerals sector in New Zealand, and how we could address them.

The strategy is built on three key pillars, **Enhancing prosperity for New Zealanders**, **Demonstrating the sector's value**, and **Delivering minerals for a clean energy transition**,

and identifies specific actions the Government could take to position the minerals sector to deliver value in an environmentally responsible manner.	
Please see the Minerals Strategy Discussion Document for more information.	
Questions for the consultation	
1. Are the strategic pillars of the Draft Strategy (Enhancing prosperity for New	

**energy transition**) suitable or is there more we need to consider?

☐ Yes, they are suitable

more we need to consider?

Zealanders, Demonstrating the sector's value, and Delivering minerals for a clean

preference

Is there anything you would like to tell us about the reason(s) for your choice? Or is there

✓ No, they are not suitable

☐ Not sure/no

We must not allow (and certainly not facilitate) new mining of coal in NZ. We need to be moving out of coal mining altogether. Just today NIWA scientists have published evidence that CO2 is at the highest levels in the atmosphere it has ever been, as are methane levels. The consensus of climate scientists is that all fossil fuels must remain in the ground now if we are to have any chance of limiting worsening effects of climate change. So the choice of minerals that we allow should be those that help us towards a zero carbon future. Coal is absolutely not one of these.

Also, looking at the new minerals that are looking to be mined, while many of these may be important for the energy transition, this should not give them an automatic greenlight without careful due diligence that scientifically proves their necessity and value over the known negative impacts of mining.

The burying of the social & environmental impact within the pillar "Demonstrating the sector's value" prioritises economic value over major, wide-ranging risks of ramping up mining in NZ. Potential risks include toxic tailings, polluted waterways, habitat loss, biodiversity loss, health & safety issues for industry employees and local communities. There is also the potential social cost of locking communities into dying industries like coal (plus the opportunity cost of not providing realistic options for a just transition away).

I would suggest a strategic pillar that is specifically about managing risks. In particular, it should focus on evaluating risk to various stakeholders before taking on a project, giving it the same priority as assessing the economic value of a project. This would create a more balanced strategy, that at least mitigates the negative impacts.		
<ul> <li>1. Are the key actions the right ones to deliver on our strategic pillars, and are they ambitious enough?</li> <li>□ Yes, the actions are the right ones and are ambitious enough</li> <li>☑ No, the actions are not the right ones and not ambitious enough</li> <li>□ Not sure/no preference</li> </ul>		
If No, what else might we need to consider?		
I think the actions do not achieve the strategic pillars of enhancing the prosperity of NZers, or supporting a clean energy transition.  I am strongly opposed to the Fast-track Approvals Bill. This potentially sells out NZ's precious		
natural resources to large overseas corporations and the undemocratic, absolute control that this bill gives to 3 MPs makes us vulnerable to corruption. The Fast-track Approvals Bill is in no way necessary for a safe, productive, high-value minerals sector.		
I do not support seabed mining within NZ territorial waters or beyond. Seabed mining or handing over rights to it limits the possibility of off shore wind farm use for generation of electricity.		
The ocean is also our world's largest carbon sink, absorbing 25 percent of all carbon dioxide emissions and absorbing 90 percent of the excess heat generated by these emissions. However, due to marine biodiversity loss from plastic pollution, warming waters, ocean acidification (due to excess CO2 absorption), shipping, and cruise ships, the ocean is losing its ability to do most of the work of climate action for us. Seabed mining, which we know will massively damage the marine ecosystems, will further devastate the ocean's ability to sequester carbon, with extremely negative impacts on global warming. New Zealand must become the solution to restoring ocean health, not the problem.		
3. Are there opportunities for our minerals sector we haven't considered?		
$lacktriangle$ Yes, there are $\Box$ No, there are none $\Box$ Not sure/no preference		
If Yes, what are the opportunities for our minerals sectors we should consider?		

Committing to no new coal mines, and having strong frameworks for assessing any new minerals we extract based on how they provide value to the modern decarbonised world economy. We could sell this knowledge and be a global leader in conscious mining, recycling of metals, and just transition from coal mining to a clean energy future.	
4. Are there challenges for our minerals sector we haven't considered?	
<ul> <li>✓ Yes, there are other challenges not considered</li> <li>□ No, all challenges have been considered</li> <li>□ Not sure/no preference</li> </ul>	
Is there anything you would like to tell us about the reason(s) for your choice?	
Environmental, human health, legal challenges, land ownership challenges.	
5. Are there any other things we have missed that we should include, or things we should not include?	
These things could be economic/financial, environmental, health and safety related, or other areas.	
We should not be locking our economy into being reliant on mining, and especially not fossil fuel expansion in the form of new coal mines. While some minerals will be necessary for the renewables transition, these are far more niche than the proposed strategy suggests.	
Many minerals are very harmful to human health, posing an unnecessary risk to our population. For example, Antimony is harmful to the eyes and skin, and can also cause problems with the lungs, heart and stomach. Workers may be harmed from exposure to antimony. There are many industries and economies which do not rely on our population being exposed to dangerous elements.	
Conservation lands should be protected from all mining for their biodiversity values and ecosystem services.	