Submitter information

The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) would appreciate if you would provide some information about yourself. If you choose to provide information in the "About you" section below it will be used to help MBIE understand the impact of our proposals on different occupational groups. Any information you provide will be stored securely.

A.	About you		
	Name:	TiGa Minerals and Meta	s Limited
	Email address:	Privacy of natural persons	
	'		
В.	Are you happy fo ⊠ Yes	r MBIE to contact you if w	e have questions about your submission? □ No
C.	Are you making t ⊠ Yes	his submission on behalf o	f a business or organisation? □ No
	If yes, please tell us t	he title of your company/organ	isation:
	TiGa Minerals a	nd Metals Limited	
D.	☐ Academic/researc ☐ Consultant (please ☐ Tradesperson (ple ☐ Industry group (ple	e specify below) case specify below)	 ☐ Independent expert (please specify below) ☐ Business owner (please specify below) ☐ Student (please specify below) ☐ Other (please specify below) ☐ Prefer not to say
	Please specify here:		
	Minerals indust	ry	

Ε.	Privacy information
	The Privacy Act 2020 applies to submissions. Please check the box if you do not wish your name or other personal information to be included in any information about submissions that MBIE may publish.
	MBIE may upload submissions, or a summary of submissions, received to MBIE's website at www.mbie.govt.nz . If you do not want your submission or a summary of your submission to be placed on our website, please check the box and type an explanation below:
F.	Confidential information
	I would like my submission (or identifiable parts of my submission) to be kept confidential and have stated my reasons and ground under section 9 of the Official Information Act that I believe apply, for consideration by MBIE.
	If you have checked this box, please tell us what parts of your submission are to be kept confidential.

A Minerals Strategy for New Zealand to 2040

MBIE is developing a *Minerals Strategy for New Zealand to 2040* to enable us to take a long-term, strategic approach to how we develop our mineral resources. This does not include petroleum which already has an advanced regulatory regime.

Minerals play an essential role in New Zealand's economic growth through high-paying jobs, Crown royalties, direct positive impact in the regions where mining takes place, and through export revenues. Minerals are also critical inputs into products that are necessary for other sectors to thrive, including the use of aggregates in construction and infrastructure.

Minerals will continue to play a major role in New Zealand's export-led economic growth and contribute to our economic functions, but the minerals sector faces some risks and challenges. These include lack of complete understanding about our minerals ecosystem, supply risks, social license, and a regulatory system that needs to be improved to enable investments.

These challenges require a long-term strategic approach to ensure that resource development for our economic prosperity happens in a responsible manner. Developing a minerals strategy is a fundamental first step in ensuring that we have a strategic framework for resource production.

The Minerals Strategy Discussion Document seeks feedback on the context and design of the strategy. It discusses key strategic issues, challenges and opportunities facing the minerals sector in New Zealand, and how we could address them.

The strategy is built on three key pillars, **Enhancing prosperity for New Zealanders**, **Demonstrating the sector's value**, and **Delivering minerals for a clean energy transition**, and identifies specific actions the Government could take to position the minerals sector to deliver value in an environmentally responsible manner.

Please see the Minerals Strategy Discussion Document for more information.

Questions for the consultation

1.	Are the strategic pillars of the Draft Strategy (Enhancing prosperity for New Zealanders, Demonstrating the sector's value, and Delivering minerals for a clean energy transition) suitable or is there more we need to consider?				
	☑ Yes, they are suitable	\square No, they are not suitable	☐ Not sure/no preference		
	Is there anything you would like to tell us about the reason(s) for your choice? Or is there more we need to consider?				
	· •	ble, but could benefit from clearer ion gree with the recommendations cor			

2.	2. Are the key actions the right ones to deliver on our strategic pillars, and are t ambitious enough?				
	 ✓ Yes, the actions are the right ones and are ambitious enough ☐ No, the actions are not the right ones and not ambitious enough ☐ Not sure/no preference 				
	If No , what else might we need to consider?				
	We consider that the actions are the right actions, but they could benefit from the support of additional economic data. We have read the Submission from Straterra and agree with the recommendations contained in that submission. In particular, we consider that a critical minerals list should not exclusively focus on minerals needed within New Zealand, but also in demand at a global level.				
3.	Are there opportunities for our minerals sector we haven't considered?				
	oximes Yes, there are $oximes$ No, there are none $oximes$ Not sure/no preference				
	If Yes, what are the opportunities for our minerals sectors we should consider?				
	As above, consideration of a critical minerals list, and its role in the Minerals Strategy, should not be limited to domestic supply, as the majority of new mineral resources identified are likely to contribute to exports rather than domestic supply. This is the case for the minerals we intend to mine, which include ilmenite, garnet, zircon and alluvial gold.				
	We also agree with Straterra that Foreign Direct Investment is an important opportunity for the minerals sector, and that remedying misconceptions around profits being removed from New Zealand will assist with investment opportunities and social license.				
4.	Are there challenges for our minerals sector we haven't considered?				
	 ✓ Yes, there are other challenges not considered ☐ No, all challenges have been considered ☐ Not sure/no preference 				
	Is there anything you would like to tell us about the reason(s) for your choice?				
	If the intention is to double the value of New Zealand's mineral exports we need to ensure that there is sufficient and resilient supporting infrastructure to enable this. This includes ensuring that diverse transport options and routes that are resilient in the face of potential natural disasters, and enabling processing facilities.				

5.	Are there any other things we have missed that we should include, or things we should not include?			
	These things could be economic/financial, environmental, health and safety related, or other areas.			

Thank you

in that submission.

Thanks for your feedback, we really appreciate your insight. It helps us establish a long-term strategic approach to ensure that resource development for our economic prosperity happens in a responsible manner.

To help us continue to develop a Minerals Strategy for New Zealand to 2040, we would appreciate any additional suggestions or comments you may have.

Please leave your feedback here:

We have read the Submission from Straterra and agree with and support the recommendations contained