

Submission Form

The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment invites feedback on its Discussion Paper *'Promoting competition in New Zealand – A targeted review of the Commerce Act 1986'*

We welcome your feedback

This is the Submission Form for responding to the Discussion Paper released by the Competition Policy team at Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) *'Promoting competition in New Zealand – A targeted review of the Commerce Act'*. The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment welcomes your comments by **5pm 7 February 2025**

Please make your submission as follows:

1. Please see the full Discussion Paper to help you have your say. There is also a summary version.
2. Please read the privacy statement and fill out your details under the 'Submission information' section.
3. Please fill out your responses to the questions in the tables provided. Your submission may respond to any or all of the questions. Questions which we require you to answer are indicated with an asterisk (*). Where possible, please include evidence to support your views, for example references to independent research, facts and figures, or relevant examples. If you would like to make other comments not covered by the questions, please provide these in the 'General Comments' section at the end of the form.
4. If your submission contains any confidential information, please:
 - a. State this in the cover page and/or in the e-mail accompanying your submission.
 - b. Indicate this on the front of your submission (eg, the first page header may state "In Confidence").
 - c. Clearly mark all confidential information within the text of your submission.
 - d. Set out clearly which parts you consider should be withheld and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA) that you believe apply.
 - e. Provide an alternative version of your submission with confidential information removed in both Word and as a PDF, suitable for publication by MBIE.
5. Before sending your submission please delete this first page of instructions.
6. Submit your submission by:
 - a. Emailing this form as both a Microsoft Word and PDF document to the Competition Policy team at competition.policy@mbie.govt.nz; or
 - b. Posting your submission to:
Competition Policy team
Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment
15 Stout Street
PO Box 1473
Wellington 6140

Please direct any questions that you have in relation to the submissions process to competition.policy@mbie.govt.nz.

Release of Information

Please note that submissions are subject to the OIA and the Privacy Act 2020. In line with this, MBIE intends to upload copies of submissions received to MBIE's website at www.mbie.govt.nz. MBIE will consider you to have consented to uploading by making a submission unless you clearly specify otherwise in your submission. MBIE will take your views into account when responding to requests under the OIA and publishing submissions. Any decision to withhold information requested under the OIA can be reviewed by the Ombudsman.

Privacy statement

Your submission will become official information, which means it may be requested under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA). The OIA specifies that information is to be made available upon request unless there are sufficient grounds for withholding it.

Use and release of information

To support transparency in our decision-making, MBIE proactively releases a wide range of information. MBIE will upload copies of all submissions to its website at www.mbie.govt.nz. Your name, and/or that of your organisation, will be published with your submission on the MBIE website unless you clearly specify you would like your submission to be published anonymously. Please tick the box provided if you would like your submission to be published anonymously i.e., without your name attached to it.

If you consider that we should not publish any part of your submission, please indicate which part should not be published, explain why you consider we should not publish that part, and provide a version of your submission that we can publish (if we agree not to publish your full submission). If you indicate that part of your submission should not be published, we will discuss with you before deciding whether to not publish that part of your submission.

We encourage you not to provide personally identifiable or sensitive information about yourself or others except if you feel it is required for the purposes of this consultation.

Personal information

All information you provide will be visible to the MBIE officials who are analysing the submissions and/or working on related policy matters, in line with the Privacy Act 2020. The Privacy Act 2020 includes principles that guide how personal information can be collected, used, stored and disclosed by agencies in New Zealand. Please refrain from including personal information about other people in your submission.

Contacting you about your submission

MBIE officials may use the information you provide to contact you regarding your submission. By making a submission, MBIE will consider you to have consented to being contacted, unless you clearly specify otherwise in your submission.

Viewing or correcting your information

We may share this information with other government agencies, in line with the Privacy Act 2020 or as otherwise required or permitted by law. This information will be securely held by MBIE. Generally, MBIE keeps public submission information for ten years. After that, it will be destroyed in line with MBIE's records retention and disposal policy. You have the right to ask for a copy of any personal information you provided in this submission, and to ask for it to be corrected if you think it is wrong. If you'd like to ask for a copy of your information, or to have it corrected, please contact MBIE by emailing competition.policy@mbie.govt.nz.

Submission information

(Please note we require responses to all questions marked with an *)

Release of information

Please let us know if you would like any part of your submission to be kept confidential.

☐ I would like my submission (or identified parts of my submission) to be kept confidential, and **have stated below** my reasons and grounds under the Official Information Act that I believe apply, for consideration by MBIE.

[To check the boxes above: Double click on box, then select 'checked']

Personal details and privacy	
1.	<div>I have read and understand the Privacy Statement above. Please tick Yes if you wish to continue*</div> <div>[To check the boxes below Double click on box, then select 'checked']</div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> No</div>
2.	<div>What is your name?*</div> <div>Karam Kim</div>
3.	<div>Do you consent to your name being published with your submission?*</div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> No</div>
4.	<div>What is your email address? Please note this will not be published with your submission.*</div> <div>Privacy of natural persons</div>
5.	<div>What is your contact number? Please note this will not be published with your submission.*</div> <div>Privacy of natural persons</div>
6.	<div>Are you submitting as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?*</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Individual (skip to 8)</div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Organisation</div>
7.	<div>If on behalf of an organisation, we require confirmation you are authorised to make a submission on behalf of this organisation.</div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, I am authorised to make a submission on behalf of my organisation</div>
8.	<div>If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, what is your organisation's name? Please note this will be published with your submission.</div> <div>Amazon Web Services (AWS)</div>
9.	<div>If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation, which of these best describes your organisation? Please tick one.</div>

- ☐ Law Firm
- ☐ Consumer organization
- ☐ Consultancy
- ☐ Think-Tank
- ☐ Advocacy group
- ☒ Business/Private Firm
- ☐ Contractor/SME
- ☐ Registered charity
- ☐ Non-governmental organisation
- ☐ Academic Institution
- ☐ Central government
- ☐ Iwi, hapū or Māori organisation
- ☐ Academic/Research
- ☐ Other. Please describe:

Responses to questions

The Competition Policy team welcomes your feedback on as many sections as you wish to respond to, please note you do not need to answer every question.

Mergers	
Issue 1 – the substantial lessening of competition test	
1.	<p>What are your views on the effectiveness of the current merger regime in the Commerce Act? Please provide reasons.</p>
2.	<p>What is the likely impact of the Commission blocking a merger (either historically, or if the test is strengthened) on consumers in New Zealand? Please provide examples or reasons.</p>
3.	<p>Has the ‘substantial lessening of competition’ test been effective in practice in preventing mergers that harm competition? Please provide examples of where it has, or has not, been effective.</p>
4.	<p>Should the ‘substantial lessening of competition’ test be amended or clarified, including for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Creeping acquisitions? If so, should a three-year period be applied to assessing the cumulative effect of a series of acquisitions for the same goods or services? b. Entrenchment of market power (eg including acquisitions relating to small or nascent competitors)? c. In relation to just the merger provisions or wherever the test applies in the Commerce Act? <p>If so, how? Please provide reasons.</p>
5.	<p>How important is it for the ‘substantial lessening of competition’ test in the Commerce Act to be aligned with the merger test in Australian competition law, for</p>

	example, to provide certainty for businesses operating across the Tasman and promote a Single Economic Market? Please provide reasons and examples.
6.	How effective do you consider the current merger regime is in balancing the risk of not enough versus too much intervention in markets?
Issue 2 – Substantial degree of influence	
7.	Do you consider that the current test of ‘substantial degree of influence’ captures all the circumstances in which a firm may influence the activities of another? If not, please provide examples.
8.	Should the Commerce Act be amended to provide relevant criteria or further clarify how to assess effective control? If so, how should it be amended? Please provide reasons.
Issue 3 – Assets of a business	
9.	Do you consider the term “assets of a business” in section 47 of the Commerce Act is unclear or unduly narrows the application of the merger review provisions in the Act?
10.	<p>If you consider there is a problem, how should the phrase be amended? For example, by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. referring simply to “assets”? or b. should the definition of “assets” in the Commerce Act be further refined?

Issue 4 – Mergers outside the clearance process	
11.	What are your views on how effectively New Zealand’s voluntary merger regime is working?
12.	Do you consider non-notified mergers to be an issue in New Zealand? Please provide reasons.
13.	<p>What are your views on amending the Act to confer additional powers on the Commission to strengthen its ability to investigate and stop potentially anti-competitive mergers? In responding, please consider the merits of each of the options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. A stay and/or hold separate power b. A call-in power c. A mandatory notification power for designated companies.
Issue 5 – Behavioural undertakings	
14.	Should the Commerce Commission be able to accept behavioural undertakings to address concerns with proposed mergers? If so, in what circumstances?

Anticompetitive conduct	
Issue 6 – Facilitating beneficial collaboration	
15.	Has uncertainty regarding the application of the Commerce Act deterred arrangements that you consider to be beneficial? Please provide examples.
16.	What are your views on whether further clarity could be provided in the Commerce Act to allow for classes of beneficial collaboration without risking breaching the Commerce Act?
17.	What are your views on the merits of possible regulatory options outlined in this paper to mitigate this issue?
18.	If relevant, what do you consider should be the key design features of your preferred option to facilitate beneficial collaboration?
Issue 7 – Anti-competitive concerted practices	

19.	What are your views on whether the Commerce Act adequately deters forms of 'tacit collusion' between firms that is designed to lessen competition between them?
20.	Should 'concerted practices' (eg, when firms coordinate with each other for the purpose or effect of harming competition) be explicitly prohibited? What would be the best way to do this?
Code or rule-making powers and other matters	
Issue 8 – Industry Codes or Rules	
21.	<p>Do you consider that industry codes or rules could either:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Fill a gap in the competition regulation regime or b. Prove a more efficient and appropriate response to addressing sector-specified competition issues rather than developing primary legislation? Please provide reasons.
22.	If you think that industry codes or rules could fill a gap, what class of matters or rules could be included in an industry code or rules?
23.	If the Commerce Act is amended to provide for the making of industry codes or rules, what matters would be important to consider in the design of the empowering provisions in the Act?

Issue 9 – Modernising court injunction powers	
24.	Should the injunctions powers in the Commerce Act be updated to allow the court to set performance requirements? Please provide reasons
Issue 10 – Protecting confidential information	
25.	Do you consider that the Commission effectively maintains the balance between protecting commercially sensitive information and meeting its legal obligations, including the principle of public availability? Please provide reasons or examples.
26.	What additional regulatory changes may be desirable relating to commercially sensitive information? Please provide reasons.
27.	What are your views on strengthening the confidentiality order provisions in s 100 of the Act?
Issue 11 – Minor and technical amendments to the Commerce Act	

28.	What are your views on these proposed technical amendments to the Commerce Act?
29.	Are there any other minor or technical changes you consider could be made to improve the functioning of New Zealand's competition law?
Any other issues	
30.	Are there any other issues that you would like to raise?
General Comments:	
<p>Please find our comments on the consultation in the attached submission. Thank you.</p> <p>Karam Kim Public Policy Manager AU/NZ, AI and Competition Amazon Web Services</p>	

Thank you

We appreciate you sharing your thoughts with us. Please find all instructions for how to return this form to us on the first page.