

From: James Hill
Sent: Sunday, 10 June 2012 6:07 p.m.
To: *Financial Reporting
Subject: Assurance engagements for charities

My comments on the consolidated questions are as follows:

Q1. No

Q2. No

Q3. Yes, there should be a difference between charities that are accountable to third parties for funds received from donations (or other means) and those charities that do not solicit nor get any funds from external sources. If a Settlor settles funds for investment with the benefits received being distributed for charitable purposes, they should not be forced to have an audit or a review if their constitution/trust deed etc. does not require it. This could be a cost impost which is not appropriate.

Q4. Yes, other than those identified above in Q3 that do not have public accountability.

Q5. Yes, other than those identified above in Q3 that do not have public accountability.

Q6. The measure should be a combination of income/assets.

Q7. B.

Q8. If opex to be used (but see Q6 above) then the levels should be \$200k and \$500k.

Q9. Yes.

Q10. No.

Q11. It could but this should not be seen as a problem as it could be the most appropriate assurance engagement for them.

Q12. No.

Regards,

James Hill