## COMPLETE

| Page 2: A bit about you and your submission  |  |
|--|--|
| Q1 Your name   |  |
| Q2 Your email address  |  |
| Q3 Please briefly tell us why copyright law interests you  |  |
| am a writer, as well as a consumer of transformative culture.  |  |
| <b>Q4</b> For the purpose of MBIE publishing the information you provide in this submission, do you wish to remain anonymous?  | Yes, please withhold my identify when publishing any information I provide |
| Q5 Do you object to your submission being published (anonymously if you have requested that) in whole or in part by MBIE on its website? Note: if you answer Yes to this question, when you reach the end of this survey, you will be asked to specify which parts of your submission (or all of it) you do not wish MBIE to publish and help us understand your concerns so that we can consider them in the event of a request under the Official Information Act. | No   |

Page 3: Question navigation

| Q6 Which of the following subjects in the Issues Paper do you wish to answer questions on?   | Part 4 (Rights) Section 1 - what does copyright protect and who gets the rights?                     |
|--|--|
|  | Part 4, (Rights) Section 2 - what actions does copyright reserve for copyright owners?               |
|  | , Part 4, (Rights) Section 3 - specific issues with the current rights                               |
|  | ,  |
|  | Part 5 (Exceptions and Limitations) Section 1 - exceptions that facilitate particular desirable uses |
|  | Part 5, (Exceptions and Limitations) Section 2 - exceptions for libraries and archives               |
|  | Part 7 (Enforcement of , Copyright)  |
|  | Part 8 (Other issues) Section 1 - relationship between copyright and registered design protection    |
| Page 4: Objectives   |  |
| <b>Q7</b> Q1 Are the above objectives the right ones for New Zealand's copyright regime? How well do you think the copyright system is achieving these objectives?   | Respondent skipped this question   |
|  |  |
| <b>Q8</b> Q2Are there other objectives that we should be aiming to achieve? For example, do you think adaptability or resilience to future technological change should be included as an objective and, if so, do you think that would be achievable without reducing certainty and clarity? | Respondent skipped this question   |
| aiming to achieve? For example, do you think adaptability or resilience to future technological change should be included as an objective and, if so, do you think that would be achievable without reducing certainty   | Respondent skipped this question  Respondent skipped this question                                   |

Page 5: Rights: What does copyright protect and who gets the rights?

Q11 Q5What are the problems (or advantages) with the way the Copyright Act categorises works?

Q12 Q6Is it clear what 'skill, judgement and labour' means as a test as to whether a work is protected by copyright? Does this test make copyright protection apply too widely? If it does, what are the implications, and what changes should be considered?

Q13 Q7Are there any problems with (or benefits arising from) the treatment of data and compilations in the Copyright Act? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Respondent skipped this question

**Q14** Q8What are the problems (or benefits) with the way the default rules for copyright ownership work? What changes (if any) should we consider?

We should introduce changes in line with the UK, Canada, Australia and the US so that a person who commissions a work is no longer the first owner of copyright. An idea is not copyrightable, only a final product. If someone has commissioned the work they have not created the work, but rather, just the idea. The first owner of the copyright should be the person who executed the idea.

**Q15** Q9What problems (or benefits) are there with the current rules related to computer-generated works, particularly in light of the development and application of new technologies like artificial intelligence to general works? What changes, if any, should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q16** Q10What are the problems (or benefits) with the rights the Copyright Act gives visual artists (including painting, drawings, prints, sculptures etc.)? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q17** Q11What are the problems creators and authors, who have previously transferred their copyright in a work to another person, experience in seeking to have the copyright in that work reassigned back to them? What changes (if any) should be considered?

There should be a period of time after which a copyright should default back to a creator/author. Rather than transferring copyright to a publishing company on a permanent basis, the copyright of a creative work should more accurately function like a loan, with a fixed end point.

**Q18** Q12What are the problems (or benefits) with how Crown copyright operates? What alternatives (if any) do you think should be considered?

Crown copyright is way too long. Return it to 50 years.

Q19 Q13Are there any problems (or benefits) in Respondent skipped this question providing a copyright term for communication works that is longer than the minimum required by New Zealand's international obligations? Q20 Q14Are there any problems (or benefits) in Respondent skipped this question providing an indefinite copyright term for the type of works referred to in section 117? Q21 Any other comments on Rights: what does copyright Respondent skipped this question protect and who gets the rights? Page 6: Rights: What actions does copyright reserve for copyright owners? Q22 Q15Do you think there are any problems with (or Respondent skipped this question benefits arising from) the exclusive rights or how they are expressed? What changes (if any) should be considered? Q23 Q16Are there any problems (or benefits) with the Respondent skipped this question secondary liability provisions? What changes (if any) should be considered? Q24 Q17What are the problems (or advantages) with the way authorisation liability currently operates? What changes (if any) do you think should be considered?

Authorisation liability should be lightened. While it should be illegal for NZ websites to host infringing material, in the modern internet trying to stop websites from linking to infringing material is like trying to play whack-a-mole in the dark. It's not a sensible use of resources.

resources.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Rights: Specific issues with the current rights

**Q25** Any other comments on Rights: what actions does

copyright reserve for copyright owners?

**Q26** Q18What are the problems (or advantages) with the way the right of communication to the public operates?
What changes, if any, might be needed?

**Q27** Q19What problems (or benefits) are there with communication works as a category of copyright work? What alternatives (if any) should be considered?

An individual or household should not constitute the 'public' with regards to communication works. A definition of the 'public' with regards to these works should be defined.

Q28 Q20What are the problems (or benefits) with using Respondent skipped this question 'object' in the Copyright Act? What changes (if any) should be considered? Q29 Q21Do you have any concerns about the Respondent skipped this question implications of the Supreme Court's decision in Dixon v R? Please explain. Q30 Q22What are the problems (or benefits) with how the Copyright Act applies to user-generated content? What changes (if any) should be considered? User-generated content and other transformative works should be encouraged under the Copyright Act. Q31 Q23What are the advantages and disadvantages of Respondent skipped this question not being able to renounce copyright? What changes (if any) should be considered? Q32 Q24Do you have any other concerns with the scope of the exclusive rights and how they can be infringed? Please describe. Overall, I think the scope of exclusive rights needs to take into account the motivation to the copying of the work. A copy made for individual personal use should be treated akin to how copies are allowed to be made by an individual student for the purposes of their study. Q33 Any other comments on Rights: specific issues with Respondent skipped this question the current rights Page 8: Rights: Moral rights, performers' rights and technological protection measures Q34 Q25What are the problems (or benefits) with the Respondent skipped this question way the moral rights are formulated under the Copyright Act? What changes to the rights (if any) should be considered? Q35 Q26What are the problems (or benefits) with Respondent skipped this question providing performers with greater rights over the sound aspects of their performances than the visual aspects?

Respondent skipped this question

Q36 Q27Will there be other problems (or benefits) with

the performers' rights regime once the CPTPP changes come into effect? What changes to the performers' rights regime (if any) should be considered after those changes

come into effect?

| Q37 Q28What are the problems (or benefits) with the TPMs protections? What changes (if any) should be considered?   | Respondent skipped this question   |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Q38 Q29Is it clear what the TPMs regime allows and what it does not allow? Why/why not?   | Respondent skipped this question   |
| Q39 Any other comments on Rights: moral rights, performers' rights and technological protection measures  | Respondent skipped this question   |
| Page 9: Exceptions and Limitations: Exceptions that fa  | cilitate particular desirable uses |
| Q40 Q30Do you have examples of activities or uses that have been impeded by the current framing and interpretation of the exceptions for criticism, review, news reporting and research or study? Is it because of a lack of certainty? How do you assess any risk relating to the use? Have you ever been threatened with, or involved in, legal action? Are there any other barriers? | Respondent skipped this question   |
| <b>Q41</b> Q31What are the problems (or benefits) with how any of the criticism, review, news reporting and research or study exceptions operate in practice? Under what circumstances, if any, should someone be able to use these exceptions for a commercial outcome? What changes (if any) should be considered?  | Respondent skipped this question   |
| <b>Q42</b> Q32What are the problems (or benefits) with photographs being excluded from the exception for news reporting? What changes (if any) should be considered?  | Respondent skipped this question   |
| Q43 Q33What other problems (or benefits), if any, have you experienced with the exception for reporting current events? What changes (if any) should be considered?   | Respondent skipped this question   |
| Q44 Q34What are the problems (or benefits) with the exception for incidental copying of copyright works? What changes (if any) should be considered?  | Respondent skipped this question   |
| Q45 Q35What are the problems (or benefits) with the exception transient reproduction of works? What changes (if any) should be considered?  | Respondent skipped this question   |

**Q46** Q36What are the problems (or benefits) with the way the copyright exceptions apply to cloud computing? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q47** Q37Are there any other current or emerging technological processes we should be considering for the purposes of the review?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q48** Q38What problems (or benefits) are there with copying of works for non-expressive uses like data-mining. What changes, if any, should be considered?

We should introduce a specific data mining exemption along the lines of the UK's one summarised in paragraph 304, limiting the ability of private companies to data mine for commercial gain.

**Q49** Q39What do problems (or benefits) arising from the Copyright Act not having an express exception for parody and satire? What about the absence of an exception for caricature and pastiche?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q50** Q40What problems (or benefit) are there with the use of quotations or extracts taken from copyright works? What changes, if any, should be considered?

The use of quotations should be permitted under NZ copyright law, though a line should be drawn between quotations with sufficient acknowledgement and plagiarism.

**Q51** Any other comments on Exceptions and Limitations: exceptions that facilitate particular desirable uses

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10: Exceptions and limitations: Exceptions for libraries and archives

**Q52** Q41 Do you have any specific examples of where the uncertainty about the exceptions for libraries and archives has resulted in undesirable outcomes? Please be specific about the situation, why this caused a problem and who it caused a problem for.

Respondent skipped this question

**Q53** Q42 Does the Copyright Act provide enough flexibility for libraries and archives to copy, archive and make available to the public digital content published over the internet? What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) this flexibility or lack of flexibility? What changes (if any) should be considered?

**Q54** Q43Does the Copyright Act provide enough flexibility for libraries and archives to facilitate mass digitisation projects and make copies of physical works in digital format more widely available to the public? What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) this flexibility or lack of flexibility? What changes (if any) should be considered?

The digitisation of works by libraries and archives should be less restrictive so as to enhance access to knowledge.

**Q55** Q44Does the Copyright Act provide enough flexibility for libraries and archives to make copies of copyright works within their collections for collection management and administration without the copyright holder's permission? What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) this flexibility or lack of flexibility? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Copying for collection management purposes should be legal under NZ copyright law.

**Q56** Q45What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) the flexibility given to libraries and archives to copy and make available content published online? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Libraries and archives should be able to copy and make available content published online.

**Q57** Q46What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) excluding museums and galleries from the libraries and archives exceptions? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q58** Any other comments on Exceptions and Limitations: exceptions for libraries and archives

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: Exceptions and limitations: Exceptions for education

Q59 Q47Does the Copyright Act provide enough flexibility to enable teachers, pupils and educational institutions to benefit from new technologies? What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) this flexibility or lack of flexibility? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q60** Q48Are the education exceptions too wide? What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) this? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q61** Q49Are the education exceptions too narrow? What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) this? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q62** Q50Is copyright well understood in the education sector? What problems does this create (if any)?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q63** Any other comments on Exceptions and Limitations:

exceptions for education Page 12: Exceptions and limitations: Exceptions relating to the use of particular categories of works Q64 Q51What are the problems (or advantages) with the Respondent skipped this question free public playing exceptions in sections 81, 87 and 87 A of the Copyright Act? What changes (if any) should be considered? Q65 Q52What are the problems (or advantages) with the Respondent skipped this question way the format shifting exception currently operates? What changes (if any) should be considered? Q66 Q53What are the problems (or advantages) with the Respondent skipped this question way the time shifting exception operates? What changes (if any) should be considered? **Q67** Q54What are the problems (or advantages) with the Respondent skipped this question reception and retransmission exception? What alternatives (if any) should be considered? Q68 Q55What are the problems (or advantages) with the Respondent skipped this question other exceptions that relate to communication works? What changes (if any) should be considered? Q69 Q56Are the exceptions relating to computer Respondent skipped this question programmes working effectively in practice? Are any other specific exceptions required to facilitate desirable uses of computer programs? **Q70** Q57Do you think that section 73 should be amended Respondent skipped this question to make it clear that the exception applies to the works underlying the works specified in section 73(1)? And should the exception be limited to copies made for personal and private use, with copies made for commercial gain being excluded? Why? **Q71** Any other comments on Exceptions and limitations: Respondent skipped this question exceptions relating to the use of particular categories of works

Page 13: Exceptions and limitations: Contracting out of the exceptions

**Q72** Q58What problems (or benefits) are there in allowing copyright owners to limit or modify a person's ability to use the existing exceptions through contract? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

|  |  | limitations: |  |  |
|--|--|--------------|--|--|
|  |  |              |  |  |
|  |  |              |  |  |
|  |  |              |  |  |
|  |  |              |  |  |

Q73 Q59What are problems (or benefits) with the ISP definition? What changes, if any should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q74 Q60Are there any problems (or benefit) with the absence of an explicit exception for linking to copyright material and not having a safe harbour for providers of search tools (eg search engines)? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q75** Q61Do the safe harbour provisions in the Copyright Act affect the commercial relationship between online platforms and copyright owners? Please be specific about who is, and how they are, affected.

Respondent skipped this question

**Q76** Q62What other problems (or benefits) are there with the safe harbour regime for internet service providers? What changes, if any, should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

#### Page 15: Transactions

**Q77** Q63Is there a sufficient number and variety of CMOs in New Zealand? If not, which type copyright works do you think would benefit from the formation of CMOs in New Zealand?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q78** Q64If you are a member of a CMO, have you experienced problems with the way they operate in New Zealand? Please give examples of any problems experienced.

Respondent skipped this question

**Q79** Q65If you are a user of copyright works, have you experienced problems trying to obtain a licence from a CMO? Please give examples of any problems experienced.

| <b>Q80</b> Q66What are the problems (or advantages) with the way the Copyright Tribunal operates? Why do you think so few applications are being made to the Copyright Tribunal? What changes (if any) to the way the Copyright Tribunal regime should be considered?   | Respondent skipped this question |
|---|----------------------------------|
| <b>Q81</b> Q67Which CMOs offer an alternative dispute resolution service? How frequently are they used? What are the benefits (or disadvantages) with these services when compared to the Copyright Tribunal?   | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q82 Q68Has a social media platform or other communication tool that you have used to upload, modify or create content undermined your ability to monetise that content? Please provide details.   | Respondent skipped this question |
| <b>Q83</b> Q69What are the advantages of social media platforms or other communication tools to disseminate and monetise their works? What are the disadvantages? What changes to the Copyright Act (if any) should be considered?  | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q84 Q70Do the transactions provisions of the Copyright Act support the development of new technologies like blockchain technology and other technologies that could provide new ways to disseminate and monetise copyright works? If not, in what way do the provisions hinder the development and use of new technologies? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q85 Q71Have you ever been impeded using, preserving or making available copies of old works because you could not identify or contact the copyright? Please provide as much detail as you can about what the problem was and its impact.  | Respondent skipped this question |
| <b>Q86</b> Q72 How do you or your organisation deal with orphan works (general approaches, specific policies etc.)? And can you describe the time and resources you routinely spend on identifying and contacting the copyright owners of orphan works?   | Respondent skipped this question |
| <b>Q87</b> Q73Has a copyright owner of an orphan work ever come forward to claim copyright after it had been used without authorisation? If so, what was the outcome?   | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q88 Q74What were the problems or benefits of the system of using an overseas regime for orphan works?   | Respondent skipped this question |

| <b>Q89</b> Q75What problems do you or your organisation face when using open data released under an attribution only Creative Commons Licences? What changes to the Copyright Act should be considered?  | Respondent skipped this question |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Q90 Any other comments on Transactions   | Respondent skipped this question |
| Page 16: Enforcement of Copyright  Q91 Q76How difficult is it for copyright owners to establish before the courts that copyright exists in a work and they are the copyright owners? What changes (if any) should be considered to help copyright owners take legal action to enforce their copyright?           | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q92 Q77What are the problems (or advantages) with reserving legal action to copyright owners and their exclusive licensees? What changes (if any) should be considered?  | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q93 Q78Should CMOs be able to take legal action to enforce copyright? If so, under what circumstances?   | Respondent skipped this question |
| <b>Q94</b> Q79Does the cost of enforcement have an impact on copyright owners' enforcement decisions? Please be specific about how decisions are affected and the impact of those decisions. What changes (if any) should be considered?   | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q95 Q80Are groundless threats of legal action for infringing copyright being made in New Zealand by copyright owners? If so, how wide spread do you think the practice is and what impact is the practice having on recipients of such threats?  | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q96 Q81Is the requirement to pay the \$5,000 bond to Customs deterring right holders from using the border protection measures to prevent the importation of infringing works? Are there any issues with the border protection measures that should be addressed? Please describe these issues and their impact. | Respondent skipped this question |
| <b>Q97</b> Q82Are peer-to-peer filing sharing technologies being used to infringe copyright? What is the scale, breadth and impact of this infringement?   | Respondent skipped this question |

**Q98** Q83Why do you think the infringing filing sharing regime is not being used to address copyright infringements that occur over peer-to peer file sharing technologies?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q99** Q84What are the problems (or advantages) with the infringing file sharing regime? What changes or alternatives to the infringing filing share regime (if any) should be considered?

The current infringing file sharing regime is fine. It should be left as it is.

| Q100 Q85What are the problems (or advantages) with     |
|--|
| the existing measures copyright owners have to address |
| online infringements? What changes (if any) should be  |
| considered?  |

Respondent skipped this question

# **Q101** Q86Should ISPs be required to assist copyright owners enforce their rights? Why / why not?

Respondent skipped this question

# **Q102** Q87Who should be required to pay ISPs' costs if they assist copyright owners to take action to prevent online infringements?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q103** Q88Are there any problems with the types of criminal offences or the size of the penalties available under the Copyright Act? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q104** Any other comments on Enforcement of copyright

Respondent skipped this question

#### Page 17: Other Issues: Relationship between copyright and registered design protection

**Q105** Q89Do you think there are any problems with (or benefits from) having an overlap between copyright and industrial design protection? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q106** Q90Have you experienced any problems when seeking protection for an industrial design, especially overseas?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q107** Q91We are interested in further information on the use of digital 3-D printer files to distribute industrial designs. For those that produce such files, how do you protect your designs? Have you faced any issues with the current provisions of the Copyright Act?

Q108 Q92Do you think there are any problems with (or benefits from) New Zealand not being a member of the Hague Agreement?

Joining the Hague Agreement would make it easier for NZ's industrial designs to be protected in multiple countries with minimal formalities.

Q109 Any other comments on Other Issues: Relationship between copyright and registered design protection

Respondent skipped this question

Page 18: Other issues: Copyright and the Wai 262 inquiry

Q110 Q93Have we accurately characterised the Waitangi Tribunal's analysis of the problems with the current protections provided for taonga works and mātauranga Māori? If not, please explain the inaccuracies.

Respondent skipped this question

Q111 Q94Do you agree with the Waitangi Tribunal's use of the concepts 'taonga works' and 'taonga-derived works'? If not, why not?

Respondent skipped this question

Q112 Q95The Waitangi Tribunal did not recommend any changes to the copyright regime, and instead recommended a new legal regime for taonga works and mātauranga Māori. Are there ways in which the copyright regime might conflict with any new protection of taonga works and mātauranga Māori?

Respondent skipped this question

Q113 Q96Do you agree with our proposed process to launch a new work stream on taonga works alongside the Copyright Act review? Are there any other Treaty of Waitangi considerations we should be aware of in the Copyright Act review?

Respondent skipped this question

Q114 Q97How should MBIE engage with Treaty partners Respondent skipped this question and the broader community on the proposed work stream on taonga works?

Q115 Any other comments on Other Issues: copyright and the Wai 262 inquiry

Respondent skipped this question

Page 20: Information you've provided that should not be publicly available

Q116 Please specify (by question number) which of your answers you object to being published by MBIE

Q117 Please specify (by question number) which of your answers contain information that MBIE should consider withholding if requested under the Official Information Act. For each question number, please tell us which information in your answer you believe would need to be withheld and why (preferably by referring to the relevant ground in the Official Information Act).